Freedom of association



International Labour Office

Geneva

Compilation of decisions of the Committee on Freedom of Association

Sixth edition, 2018

Freedom of Association

Compilation of decisions of the Committee on Freedom of Association

Sixth edition (2018)

INTERNATIONAL LABOUR OFFICE GENEVA

Copyright © International Labour Organization 2018

First published 1972 Sixth edition 2018

Publications of the International Labour Office enjoy copyright under Protocol 2 of the Universal Copyright Convention. Nevertheless, short excerpts from them may be reproduced without authorization, on condition that the source is indicated. For rights of reproduction or translation, application should be made to ILO Publications (Rights and Licensing), International Labour Office, CH-1211 Geneva 22, Switzerland, or by email: rights@ilo.org. The International Labour Office welcomes such applications.

Libraries, institutions and other users registered with a reproduction rights organization may make copies in accordance with the licences issued to them for this purpose. Visit www.ifrro.org to find the reproduction rights organization in your country.

Freedom of Association. Compilation of decisions of the Committee on Freedom of Association / International Labour Office – Geneva: ILO, 6th edition, 2018

ISBN 978-92-2-131205-5 (print) ISBN 978-92-2-131206-2 (web pdf)

Also available in French: *La liberté syndicale. Compilation des décisions du Comité de la liberté syndicale* (ISBN 978-92-2-231078-4 (print), ISBN 978-92-2-231079-1 (web pdf)), Geneva, 2018, and in Spanish: *La Libertad Sindical Recopilación de decisiones del Comité de Libertad Sindical* (ISBN 978-92-2-331118-6 (print), ISBN 978-92-2-331119-3 (web pdf)), Geneva, 2018.

ILO Cataloguing in Publication Data

The designations employed in ILO publications, which are in conformity with United Nations practice, and the presentation of material therein do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the International Labour Office concerning the legal status of any country, area or territory or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers.

The responsibility for opinions expressed in signed articles, studies and other contributions rests solely with their authors, and publication does not constitute an endorsement by the International Labour Office of the opinions expressed in them.

Reference to names of firms and commercial products and processes does not imply their endorsement by the International Labour Office, and any failure to mention a particular firm, commercial product or process is not a sign of disapproval.

Information on ILO publications and digital products can be found at: www.ilo.org/publns.

Cover design based on the drawing The Tree of Freedom by Veronika Vajdová.

This publication was produced by the Document and Publications Production, Printing and Distribution Unit (PRODOC) of the ILO. *Graphic and typographic design, layout and composition, printing,*

electronic publishing and distribution.

PRODOC endeavours to use paper sourced from forests managed in an environmentally sustainable and socially responsible manner.

Code: DTP-WEI-REPRO

Table of contents

Introduction	1
1 Procedure in respect of the Committee on Freedom of Association and the social partners	5
Function of the ILO with regard to freedom of association	5
Areas of competence of the Committee on Freedom of Association	8
Fundamental obligations of member States in respect of human and trade union rights	12
Obligations of governments relating to the procedure of the Committee on Freedom of Association	14
The functions of organizations of workers and of employers	16
2 Trade union and employers' organizations' rights and civil liberties	17
General principles	17
Right to life, security and the physical and moral integrity of the person	19
Arrest and detention of trade unionists and members of employers' organizations	26
Preventive detention	29
Detentions during a state of emergency	30
System of education through labour	30
Special bodies and summary procedures	31
Internment in psychiatric hospitals	31
Bringing of charges and sentencing of trade unionists and representatives of employers' organizations to imprisonment	31
Guarantee of due process of law	32
Freedom of movement	37
Rights of assembly and demonstration	39 39
B. Public meetings and demonstrations	40

C. International trade union and employers' organizations' meetings	43
Freedom of opinion and expression	44
A. General principles	44
B. Authorization and censorship of publications	47
C. Publications of a political character	48
F. Seizure of publications	49
Freedom of speech at the International Labour Conference	49
Protection against disclosure of information on the membership	
and activities of organizations	50
Protection of trade union and employers organizations' premises and property	51
Confiscation and occupation of property	53
State of emergency and the exercise of trade union	
and employers' organizations' rights	54
Questions of a political nature affecting trade union	
and employers' organizations' rights	56
7 Right of workers and employers without distinction whatsoever,	
5 to establish and to join organizations	59
General principle	59
Distinctions based on race, political opinion or nationality	59
Distinctions based on the nature of the contract	61
Distinctions based on occupational category	62
A. General principles	62
B. Public servants	62
(a) Members of the armed forces and the police	64 65
(c) Staff of the judiciary	65
(d) Local public service employees	65
(e) Firefighters	65
(f) Prison staff	66
(g) Customs officials, excise officers and employees of immigration services	66
(h) Employees in the labour inspectorate	66
(i) Teachers	66
(j) Personnel in embassies	67
(k) High-ranking public servants	67
C. Security agents	68
D. Agricultural workers	68
E. Plantation workers	68
F. Air and maritime transport workers	69 60
G. Port workers	69 60
H. Hospital personnel	69 60
I. Managerial and supervisory staff J. Self-employed workers and the liberal professions	69 70
<i>K. Temporary workers</i>	70
L. Workers undergoing a period of work probation	71
L. merkets undergoing a period of work production	/1

M. Workers hired under training contracts	71
N. Unemployed persons	72
<i>O. Persons working under community participation programmes intended to combat unemployment</i>	72
P. Workers in cooperatives	72
Q. Distributors, sales agents and subcontracted workers	73
<i>R. Workers in export processing zones</i>	73
S. Domestic workers	74
T. Home-based workers	74
U. Workers who have been dismissed	74
V. Retired workers	75
W. Professional footballers	75
X. Workers in small businesses	75
Distinctions based on age	75
Other distinctions	75
Dight of workers and employers to establish experimetions	
4 Right of workers and employers to establish organizations without previous authorization	77
-	
Requirement of previous authorization	77
Legal formalities for the establishment of organizations	78
Requirements for the establishment of organizations	78
Minimum number of members	80
Registration of organizations	81
Right of workers and employers to establish	
J and join organizations of their own choosing	87
General principles	87
Organizations' unity and pluralism	87
Freedom of choice of the organization's structure	93
Sanctions imposed for attempting to establish organizations	94
Favouritism or discrimination in respect of particular organizations	95
Admissible privileges for most representative organizations	97
Right to join organizations freely	101
Union security clauses	101
Undue intervention of the authorities with a view to eliminating trade unions	102
Ondue intervention of the authorities with a view to eminiating trade unions	105
R ight of organizations to draw up their constitutions	
U and rules	105
Legislation on the subject and interference by the authorities	105
Model constitutions	108
Racial discrimination	108
Relations between first-level trade unions and higher-level organizations	109

7 Right of organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom	111
General principles	111
Electoral procedures	112
Eligibility conditions	114
A. Racial discrimination	114
B. Employment in the occupation or enterprise	114
C. Minimum age	116
D. Duration of membership of the organization	116
E. Political opinions or activities	116
F. Moral standing of candidates for office	117
G. Nationality	117
H. Criminal record	117
I. Re-election	118
Obligation to participate in ballots	119
Intervention by the authorities in trade union and employers' organizations' elections	119
Challenges to trade union and employers' organizations' elections	121
Removal of executive committees and the placing of the organization under control	122
8 Right of organizations to organize their administration	125
General principles	125
Internal administration of organizations	125
Control over the internal activities of organizations	126
Financial administration of organizations	128
A. Financial independence in respect of the public authorities	128
B. Union dues	129
C. Control and restrictions on the use of trade union funds	131
Right of organizations freely to organize their activities	
3 and to formulate their programmes	135
General principles	135
Political activities and relations	136
Other activities of organizations (protest activities, representation of members,	
sit-ins, public demonstrations, etc.)	138
10 Right to strike	143
Importance of the right to strike and its legitimate exercise	143
Objective of the strike (strikes on economic and social issues,	
political strikes, solidarity strikes, etc.)	144
Types of strike action	148
Employer side during the strike	148
Prerequisites	149

Limitation of the duration of a strike	152
Recourse to compulsory arbitration	152
Cases in which strikes may be restricted or even prohibited,	
and compensatory guarantees	154
A. Acute national emergency	154
B. Public service	154
 C. Essential services D. Compensatory guarantees in the event of the prohibition of strikes in the public service or in essential services 	156 162
Situations in which a minimum service may be imposed to guarantee the safety of persons and equipment (minimum safety service)	164
Situations and conditions under which a minimum operational service could be required	164
Examples of when the Committee has considered that the conditions	
were met for requiring a minimum operational service	168
Non-compliance with a minimum service	170
Responsibility for declaring a strike illegal	170
Suspension of a strike	172
Back-to-work orders, the hiring of workers during a strike, requisitioning orders	172
Interference by the authorities during the course of the strike	174
Police intervention during the course of the strike	174
Pickets	175
Wage deductions	176
Sanctions	177
A. In the event of a legitimate strike	177
B. Cases of abuse while exercising the right to strike	180
C. In cases of peaceful strikes	181
D. Large-scale sanctions	182
Discrimination in favour of non-strikers	182
Closure of enterprises in the event of a strike	182
11 Dissolution and suspension of organizations	183
General principles	183
Voluntary dissolution	183
Dissolution on account of insufficient membership	184
Dissolution and suspension by administrative authority	184
Cancellation of registration or trade union status	184
Dissolution by legislative measures	185
Reasons for dissolution	186
Intervention by the judicial authorities	187
Use made of the assets of organizations that are dissolved	188
A. General principles	188
B. Transition to a situation of pluralism	188

12 Right of employers' and workers' organizations to establish federations and confederations and to affiliate with international organizations of employers and workers	
	191
Establishment of federations and confederations	191
Affiliation with federations and confederations	193
Rights of federations and confederations	194
Affiliation with international organizations of workers and employers	194
A. General principles	194
B. Intervention by the public authorities	195
C. Consequences of international affiliation	195
Participation in ILO meetings	198
13 Protection against discrimination	201
General principles	201
Workers protected	203
Forms of discrimination	203
A. General principles	203
B. Discrimination in relation to hiring	204
C. Discrimination during employment	205
D. Discriminatory dismissal	206
Organizations' leaders and representatives	208
A. General principles	208
B. Blacklists	210
C. Dismissal of trade union leaders	210
Need for rapid and effective protection	212
Reinstatement of trade unionists in their jobs	218
Discrimination against employers	221
14 Protection against acts of interference	223
General principles	223
Forms of interference	224
Need for effective protection	227
Solidarist or other associations	228
A. Definition	228
B. Safeguards to prevent associations from carrying out trade union activities	229
15 Collective bargaining	231
The right to bargain collectively – General principles	231
Workers covered by collective bargaining	232
A. Public servants	232
B. Workers of state-owned enterprises	236

C. Employees of the postal and telecommunications services	
D. Radio and television staff	
E. Teaching staff	
F. Hospital staff	
G. Aviation sector personnel	
H. Customs staff	
I. Seafarers	
J. Workers of cooperatives	
K. Temporary and part-time workers	
L. Workers employed under programmes to combat unemployment	
M. Subcontracted workers N. Civic volunteers	
O. Self-employed workers	
P. Non-unionized workers Q. Erga omnes effect of collective agreements	
Subjects covered by collective bargaining	
The principle of free and voluntary negotiation	
Mechanisms to facilitate collective bargaining	
The principle of bargaining in good faith	
Collective bargaining with representatives of non-unionized workers	250
Recognition of the most representative organizations	251
Determination of the trade union(s) entitled to negotiate	253
Rights of minority unions	257
Determination of employers' organizations entitled to negotiate	259
Representation of organizations in the collective bargaining process	
Level of bargaining	
Collective bargaining in the case of subcontracting	
Restrictions on the principle of free and voluntary bargaining	
A. Compulsory arbitration	
<i>B.</i> Intervention by the authorities in collective bargaining	
(a) General principles	
(b) The drafting of collective agreements	
(c) Administrative approval of freely concluded collective agreements	
and the national economic policy	266
(d) Administrative interventions which suspend or require the renegotiation	
of existing collective agreements	268
(e) Compulsory extension of the period for which collective agreements	2(0
are in force	
(g) Restrictions on clauses to index wages to the cost of living	
(h) Other forms of intervention by the authorities	
Collective bargaining in the public sector	
A. General principles	
B. Economic situation, budgetary powers and collective bargaining	
D. Demonte summon, ourgenary powers and concentre ourganning	•• 2/4

Relationship between ILO conventions Time-limits for bargaining Duration of collective agreements Extension of collective agreements Relationship between individual employment contracts and collective agreements	278 279 279 280 281
Incentives to workers and employers to give up the right to collective bargaining Closure of the enterprise and application of the collective agreement	282 282
16 Consultation with the organizations of workers and employers \ldots	283
General principles	283
Consultation during the preparation and application of legislation	286
Consultation and employment flexibility	288
Consultation and processes of restructuring, rationalization and staff reduction	289
Consultation concerning the bargaining process	290
Consultation with employers' organizations	291
Consultations on the redistribution of the assets of organizations	202
which have been dissolved	292
1 7 Participation of organizations of workers and employers	
L <i>I</i> in various bodies and procedures	293
18 Facilities for workers' representatives	295
General principles	295
Trade union meetings	296
Collection of dues	296
Access to the management	296
Access to the workplace	297
Use of the undertaking's facilities	298
Free time accorded to workers' representatives	299
Facilities on plantations	300
19 Conflicts within the trade union movement	301

Annex I. Special procedures for the examination in the International Labour Organization of complaints alleging violations of freedom of association	305
Background	305
Complaints received by the United Nations	306
Bodies competent to examine complaints	306
Composition and functioning of the Committee on Freedom of Association	307
Mandate and responsibility of the Committee	307
The Committee's competence to examine complaints	309
Receivability of complaints	310
Repetitive nature of complaints	311
Form of the complaint	311
Rules concerning relations with complainants	312
Prescription	313
Withdrawal of complaints	313
Rules for relations with the governments concerned	314
Requests for the postponement of the examination of cases	316
On-the-spot missions	317
Hearing of the parties	317
Effect given to the Committee's recommendations	318
Annex II. Chronological index of cases	321

Introduction

1. The Committee on Freedom of Association (CFA) is a tripartite body set up in 1951 by the Governing Body (GB) of the International Labour Organization (ILO). The CFA examines alleged infringements of the principles of freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining enshrined in the Constitution of the International Labour Organization (Preamble), in the Declaration of Philadelphia and as expressed by 1970 ILC Resolution.

2. The CFA is composed of nine regular members and nine deputies from the Government, Workers' and Employers' groups of the GB, and has an independent Chairperson. The CFA meets three times a year and, taking into account the observations transmitted by governments, carries out an examination of the complaints lodged against them and recommends to the GB, as appropriate, that a case requires no further examination (definitive report) or that it should draw the attention of the government concerned to the problems that have been found and invite it to take the appropriate measures to resolve them (interim or follow-up reports). Finally, the CFA may be called upon to ascertain whether it would be appropriate to endeavour to obtain the agreement of the government concerned for the case to be referred to a Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission.

3. The conclusions issued by the CFA in specific cases are intended to guide the governments and national authorities for discussion and the action to be taken to follow-up on its recommendations in the field of freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining. In making its conclusions and recommendations, the CFA is guided by the principles of freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining as expressed above, as well as by the long-standing experience and expertise of its members in the field of industrial relations. The object of the CFA complaint procedure is not to blame or punish anyone, but rather to engage in a constructive tripartite dialogue to promote respect for trade union rights in law and practice. When doing so, the CFA is cognizant of different national realities and legal systems.

4. The International Labour Office has prepared this publication to compile in concise form the conclusions of the Committee applying the principles of freedom of association in more than 3,200 cases over 65 years, up to its 379th Report (June 2016). The Office, through this compilation gives effect to a resolution adopted unanimously by the 54th International Labour Conference in 1970, which invited the GB to instruct the Director-General to publish and distribute widely in concise form the supplementary decisions taken by the CFA. It is intended to raise awareness and guide reflections for the effective respect of the fundamental principles of freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining. Since its first publication in 1972, the Office has updated this compilation on five occasions.

5. In the twelve years since publication of the previous edition of the Compilation, increased knowledge of the ILO, greater understanding of its special procedures relating to freedom of association and the prestige attached to the work of the CFA have been accompanied by a significant increase in the number of complaints received. As a result of the content of these complaints, the CFA is at the heart of current developments concerning the difficulties with which employers' and workers' organizations are faced and is called upon to consider the important evolution of the world of work and new problems raised in the area of collective labour relations. Over this last decade, the CFA has therefore had to resolve questions which had hitherto been unexplored and adopt a significant number of new conclusions and recommendations in order to give an appropriate, impartial and objective response to the allegations made in the complaints presented by employers' and workers' organizations.

6. At the same time, reliance on decisions drawn from previous conclusions it has taken enable the CFA to maintain continuity in the criteria employed in reaching new conclusions and, as appropriate to the individual case, in finding that the allegations are well-founded or require no further action.

7. The conclusions and recommendations of the CFA have been developed on the basis of complaints made by organizations of workers or of employers. In this respect, it should be noted that the majority of the complaints examined by the CFA to date have been submitted by organizations of workers, although the number of complaints made by employers' organizations has increased significantly in recent decades. This explains why the wording in this Compilation often refers to trade unions or workers' organizations. Nevertheless, the principles of freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining are of a general nature and aimed to protect the rights of both workers' and employers' organizations. Therefore, the CFA's decisions drawn from previous conclusions compiled herein can also apply, mutatis mutandis, to organizations of employers.

8. To guide the reader, for each of the decisions corresponding references are easily accessible via hyper-links (in the online version of the Compilation) and lists indicating the respective reports, case numbers, country, appropriate paragraphs and year of publication of the cases discussed up to its 379th Report (June 2016).

Indication of country as such is neither intended nor may be used to level charge at, or condemn, the country or its government but only intended to facilitate access to the full text of the individual case report, which all are invited to read for details of the case, including the context of its conclusions and recommendations.

9. Recalling the principles of universality, continuity, predictability, fairness and equal treatment, which it must ensure in the area of freedom of association, an easily accessible online version of the Compilation has been made available on the ILO website. As each case is unique and should be considered within its own specific context, this modern interface will facilitate access to the full examination of cases by the CFA. Regular updating in real time will also be facilitated through this tool.

Procedure in respect of the Committee on Freedom of Association and the social partners

Function of the ILO with regard to freedom of association

1. The function of the International Labour Organization in regard to freedom of association and the protection of the individual is to contribute to the effectiveness of the general principles of freedom of association, as one of the primary safeguards of peace and social justice. In fulfilling its responsibility in the matter, the Organization must not hesitate to discuss at the international level cases which are of such a character as to affect substantially the attainment of the aims and purposes of the ILO as set forth in the Constitution of the Organization, the Declaration of Philadelphia and the various Conventions concerning freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1; 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 985; 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 797; and 353th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 748.)

2. By virtue of its Constitution, the ILO was established in particular to improve working conditions and to promote freedom of association in the various countries. Consequently, the matters dealt with by the Organization in this connection no longer fall within the exclusive sphere of States and the action taken by the Organization for the purpose cannot be considered to be interference in internal affairs, since it falls within the terms of reference that the ILO has received from its Members with a view to attaining the aims assigned to it.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 2; 350th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 206; and 351st Report, Case No. 2591, para. 149.)

3. The Committee recalls/reminds the Government that the purpose of the whole procedure established by the International Labour Organization for the examination of allegations of violations of freedom of association of employers and workers is to promote and ensure respect for this freedom in law and in fact.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2262, para. 229, Case No. 2318, para. 247, Case No. 2421, para. 576, Case No. 2321, para. 590, Case No. 2365, para. 1046; 343rd Report, Case No. 2425, para. 255, Case No. 2426, para. 277, Case No. 2449, para. 698, Case No. 2348, para. 975, Case No. 2432, para. 1022, Case No. 2313, para. 1165; 344th Report, Case No. 2468, para. 433, Case No. 2471, para. 889; 346th Report, Case No. 2323, para. 1124; 348th Report,

Case No. 2262, para. 224, Case No. 2517, para. 833, Case No. 2520, para. 1029; 350th Report, Case No. 2384, para, 445, Case No. 2554, para, 501, Case No. 2543, para, 722, Case No. 2553, para. 1534; 351st Report, Case No. 2582, para. 238, Case No. 2318, para. 248, Case No. 2607, para. 583, Case No. 2581, para. 1326, Case No. 2598, para. 1349; 353rd Report, Case No. 2557, para. 836, Case No. 2615, para. 862, Case No. 2630, para. 911; 354th Report, Case No. 2601, para. 1011; 355th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 348, Case No. 2609, para. 857, Case No. 2664, para. 1086; 356th Report, Case No. 2673, para. 788, Case No. 2700, para. 800; 357th Report, Case No. 2361, para. 670, Case No. 2712, para. 1079, Case No. 2713, para. 1097, Case No. 2714, para. 1112; 358th Report, Case No. 2648, para. 769, Case No. 2729, para. 884, Case No. 2715, para. 903; 359th Report, Case No. 2753, para. 405, Case No. 2752, para. 915; 360th Report, Case No. 2709, para. 656, Case No. 2712, para. 1089, Case No. 2714, para. 1099; 362nd Report, Case No. 2733, para. 169, Case No. 2739, para. 313, Case No. 2795, para. 323, Case No. 2318, para. 334, Case No. 2808, para. 350, Case No. 2723, para. 830, Case No. 2794, para. 1134, Case No. 2815, para. 1368, Case No. 2713, para. 1421, Case No. 2715, para. 1433, Case No. 2797, para. 1448; 363rd Report, Case No. 2655, para. 384, Case No. 2714, para. 1094; 364th Report, Case No. 2712, para. 1015; 365th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 288, Case No. 2794, para. 1107, Case No. 2648, para. 1129, Case No. 2713, para. 1285, Case No. 2797, para. 1296; 367th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 267, Case No. 2753, para. 648, Case No. 2684, para. 741, Case No. 2869, para. 780, Case No. 2913, para. 799, Case No. 2925, para. 1136: 368th Report, Case No. 2786, para. 297, Case No. 2740. para. 592, Case No. 2945, para. 604; 370th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 158, Case No. 2957, para. 409, Case No. 2985, para. 421, Case No. 2723, para. 438, Case No. 2794, para. 462, Case No. 2902, para. 594, Case No. 2994, para. 731; 371st Report, Case No. 2655, para. 219, Case No. 2937, para. 651, Case No. 3010, para. 664, Case No. 2988, para. 839; 372nd Report, Case No. 2871, para. 170, Case No. 2896, para. 180, Case No. 2923, para. 190, Case No. 3007, para. 221, Case No. 3008, para. 241, Case No. 3013, para. 258, Case No. 2967, para. 303, Case No. 2989, para. 314, Case No. 3018, para. 491; 373rd Report, Case No. 3041, para. 97, Case No. 2978, para. 366, Case No. 3035, para. 375, Case No. 2949, para. 450; 374th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 119, Case No. 2655, para. 135, Case No. 2902, para. 593; 375th Report, Case No. 3070, para. 111, Case No. 2753, para. 177, Case No. 3018, para. 410, Case No. 3105, para. 520; 376th Report, Case No. 3081, para. 719, Case No. 3076, para. 740, Case No. 3101, para. 855, Case No. 3067, para. 945; 377th Report, Case No. 3104, para. 99; and 378th Report, Case No. 3018, para. 580 and Case No. 3119, para. 664.)

4. The Committee's existence derives from the fundamental constitutional obligation and the desire of the ILO's constituents to contribute to the effective implementation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2265, para. 1135.)

5. The object of the special procedure on freedom of association is not to blame or punish anyone, but rather to engage in a constructive tripartite dialogue to promote respect for trade union rights in law and practice.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2265, para. 1135; and 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1432.)

6. Since its creation in 1951, the Committee has been given the task to examine complaints alleging violations of freedom of association whether or not the country concerned has ratified the relevant ILO Conventions.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 985; 349th Report, Case No. 2524, para. 847; and 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 797.)

7. Complaints lodged with the Committee can be submitted whether or not the country concerned has ratified the freedom of association Conventions.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2577, para. 1058; and 358th Report, Case No. 2704, para. 355.)

8. The Committee's procedure can be set in motion in relation to States that have not ratified Conventions Nos. 87 and/or 98.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2446, para. 834.)

9. The mandate of the Committee consists in determining whether any given legislation or practice complies with the principles of freedom of association and collective bargaining laid down in the relevant Conventions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 6; 343rd Report, Case No. 2265, para. 1136; 346th Report, Case No. 2475, para. 992; 349th Report, Case No. 2577, para. 1058; 350th Report, Case No. 2476, para. 310; 358th Report, Case No. 2716, para. 849; 359th Report, Case No. 2752, para. 917; 363rd Report, Case No. 2704, para. 396, Case No. 2602, para. 461; 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 777; 367th Report, Case No. 2907, para. 895; 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 522; 371st Report, Case No. 2854, para. 114, Case No. 2988, para. 839; and 376th Report, Case No. 3027, para. 295.)

10. While recalling that questions of representation at the International Labour Conference fall within the competence of the Conference Credentials Committee, the Committee will proceed with its examination of this case on the basis of article 26bis, paragraph 6, of the Standing Orders of the International Labour Conference and its mandate to review the freedom of association aspects raised by the Credentials Committee.

(See 359th Report, Case No. 2807, para. 699.)

11. Complaints may be lodged not only in relation to acts by the Government but also to acts by any public or private authority that curtails the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2577, para. 1058.)

12. Although the use of internal legal procedures, whatever the outcome, is undoubtedly a factor to be taken into consideration, the Committee has always considered that, in view of its responsibilities, its competence to examine allegations is not subject to the exhaustion of national procedures.

(See 234th Report, Case No. 1212, para. 565; 324th Report, Case No. 2076, para. 873; 327th Report, Case No. 2153, para. 160; 329th Report, Case No. 2188, para. 210; 330th Report, Case No. 2196, para. 289; 335th Report, Case No. 2187, para. 116; 336th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 908; 346th Report, Case No. 2511, para. 897, Case No. 2528, para. 1431; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 892, Case No. 2519, para. 1139; 349th Report, Case No. 2546, para. 1213; 350th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 206; 353rd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 784; 358th Report, Case No. 2704, para. 353; 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 374; and 374th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 297.)

13. The Committee's mandate is not linked to the 1998 ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work – which has its own built-in follow-up

mechanisms – but rather stems directly from the fundamental aims and purposes set out in the ILO Constitution.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 8; 349th Report, Case No. 2524, para. 847; and 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 797.)

14. It is within the mandate of the Committee to examine whether, and to what extent, satisfactory evidence is presented to support allegations; this appreciation goes to the merits of the case and cannot support a finding of irreceivability.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 9; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1431; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 839.)

15. It is not always easy or possible to provide proof for all types of allegations. It is the evaluation of the proof submitted that is decisive (a process carried out when the Committee examines the case) and direct interest in the case in terms of receivability is assumed when, as in the present complaint, the complainant organizations allege widespread non-compliance with legislation concerning freedom of association.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2734, para. 688.)

16. The Committee always takes account of national circumstances, such as the history of labour relations and the social and economic context, but the freedom of association principles apply uniformly and consistently among countries.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 10; 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 997; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2637, para. 89.)

Areas of competence of the Committee on Freedom of Association

17. Where national laws, including those interpreted by the high courts, violate the principles of freedom of association, the Committee has always considered it within its mandate to examine the laws, provide guidelines and offer the ILO's technical assistance to bring the laws into compliance with the principles of freedom of association, as set out in the Constitution of the ILO and the applicable Conventions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 11; 348th Report, Case No. 2356, para. 362; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 839.)

18. The Committee has on a number of occasions requested the amendment of a country's legislation. The specific measures taken to give effect to these recommendations and the applicable internal procedure are clearly left to the discretion of the Government concerned.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2265, para. 1136.)

19. When it has had to deal with precise and detailed allegations regarding draft legislation, the fact that such allegations relate to a text that does not have the force of law should not in itself prevent the Committee from expressing its opinion on the merits of the allegations made. It has considered it desirable that, in such cases, the

Government and the complainant should be made aware of the Committee's point of view with regard to the proposed bill before it is enacted, since it is open to the Government, on whose initiative such a matter depends, to make any amendments thereto.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2970, para. 465.)

20. The Committee does not have the authority to interpret the scope of national legislation, which falls to the national competent authorities and ultimately the courts. (See 364th Report, Case No. 2891, para. 892.)

21. Where specific allegations have been examined by the national judiciary, including the Supreme Court, which has rendered a final decision, the Committee wishes to emphasize that it is not taking a position as to whether the interpretation of the national legislation by the courts is founded in light of particular circumstances.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2716, para. 849; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 461.)

22. Within the terms of its mandate, the Committee is empowered to examine to what extent the exercise of trade union rights may be affected in cases of allegations of the infringement of civil liberties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 7; and 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1431.)

23. While it is not for the Committee to decide upon questions concerning the occupation or administration of territories, as a Member of the ILO, the Government of the occupying country is bound to respect the principle of freedom of association as contained in the ILO Constitution in respect of the occupied territories where its national legislation does not apply and in respect of which the ratification of the international Conventions on freedom of association does not of itself create an obligation vis-à-vis the ILO. The Committee recalls, in this respect, that its competence in the matter is independent of the ratification of the Conventions on freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 12.)

24. The Committee is not competent to consider purely political allegations; it can, however, consider measures of a political character taken by governments in so far as these may affect the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 13.)

25. Cases relating to death threats against trade union members, burglary of trade union headquarters and theft from trade union organizations or trade unionists are matters in which the Committee has full competence.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2495, para. 877; and 346th Report, Case No. 2482, para. 1095.)

26. Questions of representation at the International Labour Conference, participation in the International Labour Conference and composition of delegations to the International Labour Conference fall within the competence of the Conference Credentials Committee.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 14; 359th Report, Case No. 2807, para. 699; 368th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 972; and 375th Report, Case No. 3105, para. 530.)

27. It is within the competence of the Committee to examine alleged obstacles to the effective exercise of the right to organize and collective bargaining by subcontracted workers in the metal sector.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 666.)

28. Questions concerning general tax legislation fall outside the competence of the Committee unless such legislation is used in practice to interfere in trade union activities.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2890, para. 1053.)

29. It is not within the mandate of the Committee to speak to matters of agrarian reform except in so far as the steps taken constitute discrimination against employers or where they concern enterprises where workers are employed and where breaches of Conventions Nos. 87 or 98 are alleged.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1345.)

30. Questions concerning social security legislation fall outside the competence of the Committee.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 388.)

31. The adoption of a legal system for pensions does not generally fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee. However, it can examine to what extent the principles of freedom of association have been respected in adopting that system.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 661.)

32. The alleged regressive and unconstitutional nature of legislative reform of a social security institution and irregularities in the legislative process lie outside the mandate of the Committee.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2577, para. 1060.)

33. The Committee can only examine allegations regarding dismissals when they entail anti-union discrimination.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2879, para. 643.)

34. It is not within the mandate of the Committee to assess the legislative and regulatory action of the Government to establish minimum employment and contractual conditions in a particular sector.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2905, para. 1225.)

35. The Committee does not have the mandate and will not pronounce itself with respect to the advisability of recourse to fixed-term or indefinite contracts.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2884, para. 213; 371st Report, Case No. 2998, para. 731; and 373rd Report, Case No. 2995, para. 208.)

36. The Committee wishes to point out that its powers are confined to verifying that national law and practice respect the exercise of the trade union rights enshrined in the Conventions on freedom of association and do not include examination of the

regime and duration of employment contracts or the level of conditions of work. Therefore, it can only concern itself with the problem raised from a very restricted standpoint: the impact in practice of these short-term contracts which are renewed indefinitely on the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2675, para. 873.)

37. The Committee recalled that its competence relates to cases of violations of freedom of association, and not cases of abuse of labour supply services or the misuse of temporary contracts, even though many workers may be affected, and that it is only competent to examine allegations made by the complainant union where a connection is established between such cases and the trade union membership or activities of the persons concerned.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2999, para. 741.)

38. In a case involving accusations of misappropriation, the Committee considered that it is not within its remits to determine the responsibilities of those involved, that task being a matter for the national judicial authorities, of whose decisions it will take note as appropriate.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1120.)

39. The Committee recalls that it has no competence to examine complaints relating to housing rights.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1177.)

40. The Committee is not in a position to opine as to migrant workers' legal right to reside in the country, nor is it within the Committee's mandate to examine a country's immigration policy unrelated to freedom of association.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 793.)

41. In a case where a complainant claimed that dismissals were unfair without specifically alleging that anti-union discrimination – or any violation of freedom of association principles, for that matter – played a part in dismissals, the Committee considered that this particular allegation called for no examination.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2500, para. 328.)

42. The Committee recalls that it is only able to give an opinion on allegations concerning programmes and processes of restructuring or economic rationalization, whether or not they entail staff reductions or the transfer of companies or services from the public to the private sector, if they give rise to acts of discrimination or anti-union interference.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2644, para. 550.)

43. The remits of courts should be determined by national legislation. The Committee's role is confined to ensuring that any decisions taken are in line with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2659, para. 242.)

Fundamental obligations of member States in respect of human and trade union rights

44. When a State decides to become a Member of the International Labour Organization, it accepts the fundamental principles embodied in the Constitution and the Declaration of Philadelphia, including the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 15; 340th Report, Cases Nos. 2166, 2173, 2180 and 2196, para. 49; 343rd Report, Case No. 2265, para. 1135; 344th Report, Case No. 2437, para. 1312; 349th Report, Case No. 2524, para. 847; 350th Report, Case No. 2433, para. 29; 351st Report, Case No. 2591, para. 150; 353rd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 118; 354th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 167; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 672; 356th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 103; 359th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 86, Case No. 2602, para. 368; 360th Report, Case No. 2301, para. 69; 365th Report, Case No. 2637, para. 104; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 837.)

45. The membership of a State in the International Labour Organization carries with it the obligation to respect in national legislation freedom of association principles and the Conventions which the State has freely ratified.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 16; 344th Report, Case No. 2364, para. 91, Case No. 2242, para. 144; 359th Report, Case No. 2474, para. 158; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 390; and 371st Report, Case No. 2508, para. 565.)

46. The ultimate responsibility for ensuring respect for the principles of freedom of association lies with the Government.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 17; 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 997; 349th Report, Case No. 2524, para. 847; 353rd Report, Case No. 2006, para. 164; 354th Report, Case No. 2633, para. 723; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 672, Case No. 2685, para. 908; 359th Report, Case No. 2474, para. 158, Case No. 2602, para. 368; 362nd Report, Case No. 2228, para. 80; 371st Report, Case No. 2508, para. 565; 375th Report, Case No. 3018, para. 417; and 376th Report, Case No. 3076, para. 747.)

47. Freedom of association is one of the primary safeguards of peace and social justice. The ILO member States have committed, through the 2008 Social Justice Declaration to respect, promote and realize the fundamental principles and rights and work, with an emphasis on freedom of association and effective recognition of collective bargaining as particularly important to the attainment of the four strategic objectives of the ILO Decent Work Agenda.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2988, para. 138.)

48. All States have the obligation to respect fully the commitments undertaken by ratification of ILO Conventions. While the manner in which the application of a ratified Convention is ensured in law and in practice varies from one State to another depending on the national constitutional and legal system, the basis for this obligation cannot be challenged.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2265, para. 1134.)

49. It is the responsibility of the Government to ensure the application of international labour Conventions concerning freedom of association which have been freely ratified and which must be respected by all state authorities, including the judicial authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 18; 344th Report, Case No. 2242, para. 144; and 356th Report, and Case No. 2663, para. 770.)

50. The Committee reminds the Government that it has a responsibility to ensure the application of international labour Conventions concerning freedom of association which have been freely ratified and which must be respected by all state authorities, and that the inviolability of trade union premises is a civil liberty which is essential to the exercise of trade union rights, the Committee trusts that the Government will ensure in particular full respect for these principles in the future.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2476, para. 38.)

51. The Committee wishes to emphasize in this respect that, when a State decides to become a Member of the Organization, it accepts the fundamental principles embodied in the Constitution and the Declaration of Philadelphia, including the principles of freedom of association; all ILO member States are therefore expected to give effect to these principles as expressed and developed in the fundamental Conventions on freedom of association and collective bargaining and this duty extends, in the Committee's view, to the embassies, consulates and other offices, as an integral part of the public administration.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2437, para. 1312.)

52. According to article 19, paragraph 8 of the ILO Constitution, in no case shall the adoption of any Convention or Recommendation by the Conference, or the ratification of any Convention by any Member, be deemed to affect any law, award, custom or agreement which ensures more favourable conditions to the workers concerned than those provided for in the Convention or Recommendation.

(See 351st Report, Case No. 2599, para. 543.)

53. Trade union rights, like other basic human rights, should be respected no matter what the level of development of the country concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 19; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1446; and 357th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 619.)

54. The Committee has referred to the Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy, adopted by the Governing Body of the ILO in November 1977, which states that (paragraph 46 of the Declaration, as amended in November 2000): "where governments of host countries offer special incentives to attract foreign investment, these incentives should not include any limitation of the workers' freedom of association or the right to organize and bargain collectively".

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 20; and 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1446.)

55. A State cannot use the argument that other commitments or agreements can justify the non-application of ratified ILO Conventions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 21.)

56. The level of protection for exercising trade union rights which results from the provisions and principles of Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 constitutes a minimum standard which may be complemented and it is desirable that other supplementary guarantees should be added resulting from the constitutional and legal system of any given country, its traditions as regards labour relations, trade union action or bargaining between the parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 22.)

57. Faced with allegations against one government of violations of trade union rights, the Committee recalled that a successive government in the same State cannot, for the mere reason that a change has occurred, escape the responsibility deriving from events that occurred under a former government. In any event, the new government is responsible for any continuing consequences which these events may have. Where a change of regime has taken place in a country, the new government should take all necessary steps to remedy any continuing effects which the events on which a complaint is based may have had since its accession to power, even though those events took place under its predecessor.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 23.)

Obligations of governments relating to the procedure of the Committee on Freedom of Association

58. The Committee remains confident that, if the procedure protects governments from unreasonable accusations, governments on their side will recognize the importance of formulating, for objective examination, detailed/precise/accurate replies concerning allegations made against them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 24; 342nd Report, Case No. 2262, para. 229, Case No. 2318, para. 247, Case No. 2421, para. 576, Case No. 2321, para. 590, Case No. 2365, para. 1046; 343rd Report, Case No. 2425, para. 255, Case No. 2426, para. 277, Case No. 2449, para. 698, Case No. 2348, para. 975, Case No. 2432, para. 1022, Case No. 2313, para. 1165; 344th Report, Case No. 2468, para. 433, Case No. 2471, para. 889; 346th Report, Case No. 2406, para. 224, Case No. 2203, para. 703, Case No. 2517, para. 833, Case No. 2520, para. 1029; 349th Report, Case No. 2229, para. 203, Case No. 2520, para. 208; 350th Report, Case No. 229, para. 203, Case No. 2520, para. 501, Case No. 2543, para. 722, Case No. 2317, para. 1418, Case No. 2553, para. 1534; 351st Report, Case No. 2582, para. 238, Case No. 2318, para. 248, Case No. 2607, para. 583, Case No. 2581, para. 1326, Case No. 2598, para. 1349; 353rd Report, Case No. 2557, para. 836, Case No. 2615, para. 862, Case No. 2630, para. 911; 354th Report, Case No. 2601, para. 1011; 355th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 348, Case No. 2609, para. 857, Case No. 2642, para. 1154; 356th Report, Case No. 2673,

para. 788, Case No. 2700, para. 800; 357th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 622, Case No. 2361, para. 670. Case No. 2712. para. 1079. Case No. 2713. para. 1097. Case No. 2714. para. 1112: 358th Report, Case No. 2648, para. 769, Case No. 2729, para. 884, Case No. 2715, para. 903; 359th Report, Case No. 2753, para. 405, Case No. 2752, para. 915; 360th Report, Case No. 2709, para. 656, Case No. 2712, para. 1089, Case No. 2714, para. 1099; 362nd Report, Case No. 2733, para. 169, Case No. 2739, para. 313, Case No. 2795, para. 323, Case No. 2318, para. 334, Case No. 2808, para. 350, Case No. 2723, para. 830, Case No. 2794, para. 1134, Case No. 2815, para. 1368, Case No. 2713, para. 1421, Case No. 2715, para. 1433, Case No. 2797, para. 1448; 363rd Report, Case No. 2655, para. 384, Case No. 2714, para. 1094; 364th Report, Case No. 2712, para. 1015; 365th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 288, Case No. 2794, para. 1107, Case No. 2648, para. 1129, Case No. 2713, para. 1285, Case No. 2797, para. 1296; 367th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 267, Case No. 2753, para. 648, Case No. 2684, para. 741, Case No. 2869, para. 780, Case No. 2913, para. 799, Case No. 2925, para. 1136; 368th Report, Case No. 2786, para. 297, Case No. 2740, para. 592, Case No. 2945, para. 604; 370th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 158, Case No. 2957, para. 409, Case No. 2985, para. 421, Case No. 2723, para. 438, Case No. 2794, para. 462, Case No. 2902, para. 594, Case No. 2994, para. 731; 371st Report, Case No. 2655, para. 219, , Case No. 2937, para. 651, , Case No. 3010, para. 664; 372nd Report, Case No. 2871, para. 170, Case No. 2896, para. 180, Case No. 2923, para. 190, Case No. 3007, para. 221, Case No. 3008, para. 241, Case No. 3013, para. 258, Case No. 2967, para, 303, Case No. 2989, para, 314, Case No. 3018, para, 491; 373rd Report, Case No. 3041, para. 97, Case No. 2978, para. 366, Case No. 3035, para. 375, Case No. 2949, para. 450; 374th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 119, Case No. 2655, para. 135, Case No. 2902, para. 593; 375th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 30, Case No. 3070, para. 111, Case No. 2753, para. 177, Case No. 3018, para. 410, Case No. 3105, para. 520; 376th Report, Case No. 3081, para. 719, Case No. 3076, para. 740, Case No. 3101, para. 855, Case No. 3067, para. 945; 377th Report, Case No. 3104, para. 99; and 378th Report, Case No. 3018, para. 580 and Case No. 3119, para. 664.)

59. In all the cases presented to it since it was first set up, the Committee has always considered that the replies of governments against whom complaints are made should not be limited to general observations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 25; 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1154; and 375th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 30.)

60. While no formal rules fixing any particular period of prescription are embodied in the procedure for the examination of complaints, it may be difficult – if not impossible – for a government to reply in detail to allegations regarding matters which occurred a long time ago.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2583, para. 613.)

61. When a case is classified as interim, this is because the Committee requires certain information from the Government or the complainants relating to particular aspects of the case in order to be able to make substantive rulings on these questions. There may however be matters within the case that do not require further information, thus enabling the Committee to express an opinion on the substance of such questions. At that point, the recommendations can be acted upon by the Government.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 478.)

The functions of organizations of workers and of employers

62. The development of free and independent organizations and negotiation with all those involved in social dialogue is indispensable to enable a government to confront its social and economic problems and resolve them in the best interests of the workers and the nation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 26; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2637, para. 89.)

63. The fundamental objective of the trade union movement should be to ensure the development of the social and economic well-being of all workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 27; and 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 778.)

64. The occupational and economic interests which workers and their organizations defend do not only concern better working conditions or collective claims of an occupational nature, but also the seeking of solutions to economic and social policy questions and problems facing the undertaking which are of direct concern to the workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 28.)

65. A trade union's activities cannot be restricted solely to occupational questions, since the choice of a general policy – in economic affairs for example – is bound to have consequences on the situation of workers (remuneration, holidays, the running of enterprises, etc.).

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1699, para. 544.)

66. In exercising freedom of association rights, workers and their organizations should respect the law of the land, which in turn should respect the principles of freedom of association.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 787.)

Trade union and employers' organizations' rights and civil liberties

General principles

67. The Committee has considered it appropriate to emphasize the importance to be attached to the basic principles set out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, considering that their infringement can adversely affect the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 30.)

68. On many occasions, the Committee has emphasized the importance of the principle affirmed in 1970 by the International Labour Conference in its resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, which recognizes that "the rights conferred upon workers' and employers' organizations must be based on respect for those civil liberties which have been enunciated in particular in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and that the absence of these civil liberties removes all meaning from the concept of trade union rights".

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 31; and 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para 645.)

69. The Committee has considered that a system of democracy is fundamental for the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 32; and 367th Report, Case No. 2949, para. 1224.)

70. The rights of employers' and workers' organizations can only be exercised within the framework of a system that guarantees the effective respect of the other fundamental human rights.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1308.)

71. A genuinely free and independent trade union movement can only develop where fundamental human rights are respected.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 33; 340th Report, Case No. 2268, para. 1094; 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1089; 351st Report, Case No. 2268, para. 1039; and 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 288.)

72. The Government has the duty to defend a social climate where respect for the law reigns as the only way of guaranteeing respect for and protection of individuals.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 34; 346th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 390; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1204; and 353rd Report, Case No. 2625, para. 963.)

73. All appropriate measures should be taken to guarantee that, irrespective of trade union affiliation, trade union rights can be exercised in normal conditions with respect for basic human rights and in a climate free of violence, pressure, fear and threats of any kind.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 35; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 787, Case No. 2528, para. 1453; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1204; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1145; and 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1076.)

74. The Committee requested a government to ensure that any emergency measures aimed at national security did not prevent in any way the exercise of legitimate trade union rights and activities, including strikes, by all trade unions irrespective of their philosophical or political orientation, in a climate of complete security.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1184.)

75. For the contribution of trade unions and employers' organizations to be properly useful and credible, they must be able to carry out their activities in a climate of freedom and security. This implies that, in so far as they may consider that they do not have the basic freedom to fulfil their mission directly, trade unions and employers' organizations would be justified in demanding that these freedoms and the right to exercise them be recognized and that these demands be considered as coming within the scope of legitimate trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 36; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 771; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 552; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 832; 372nd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 733; and 374th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 908.)

76. A free trade union movement can develop only under a regime which guarantees fundamental rights, including the right of trade unionists to hold meetings in trade union premises, freedom of opinion expressed through speech and the press and the right of detained trade unionists to enjoy the guarantees of normal judicial procedure at the earliest possible moment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 37; 351st Report, Case No. 2450, para. 794; and 356th Report, Case No. 2450, para. 679.)

77. The International Labour Conference has pointed out that the right of assembly, freedom of opinion and expression and, in particular, freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers, constitute civil liberties which are essential for the normal exercise of trade union rights (resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, adopted at the 54th Session, 1970).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 38; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1453; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 839; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 775.)

78. It should be the policy of every government to ensure observance of human rights. (See the 2006 Digest, para. 39.)

79. Although holders of trade union office do not, by virtue of their position, have the right to transgress legal provisions in force, these provisions should not infringe the basic guarantees of freedom of association, nor should they sanction activities which, in accordance with the principles of freedom of association, should be considered as legitimate trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 40; 342nd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 619; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 771; 353rd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 118; and 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 288.)

80. Allegations of criminal conduct should not be used to harass trade unionists by reason of their union membership or activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 41; and 365th Report, Case No. 2902, para. 1121.)

Right to life, security and the physical and moral integrity of the person

81. The right to life is a fundamental prerequisite for the exercise of the rights contained in Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 42; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1203; 355th Report, Case No. 2664, para. 1090; 359th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 630; 364th Report, Case No. 2859, para. 551; and 367th Report, Case No. 2923, para. 710.)

82. Freedom of association can only be exercised in conditions in which fundamental rights, and in particular those relating to human life and personal safety, are fully respected and guaranteed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 43; 342nd Report, Case No. 2203, para. 509; 343rd Report, Case No. 2445, para. 896; 346th Report, Case No. 2489, para. 461, Case No. 2528, para. 1437; 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 813, Case No. 2254, para. 1323; 350th Report, Case No. 2570, para. 269; 351st Report, Case No. 2540, para. 894; 355th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 863; 356th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 1646; 358th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 975; 359th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 61, Case No. 2609, para. 630; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1070; 363rd Report, Case No. 2761, para. 427; 364th Report, Case No. 2859, para. 551; 368th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 484; 371st Report, Case No. 2982, para. 700; 372nd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 733; 374th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 843.)

83. Freedom of association can only be exercised in conditions in which fundamental human rights, and in particular those relating to human life and personal safety, due process and the protection of premises and property belonging to workers' and employers' organizations, are fully respected and guaranteed.

(See 334th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1088)

84. The rights of workers' and employers' organizations can only be exercised in a climate that is free from violence, pressure or threats of any kind against the leaders and members of these organizations, and it is for governments to ensure that this principle is respected.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 44; 340th Report, Case No. 1787, para. 607, Case No. 2393, para. 1062, Case No. 2268, para. 1090; 342nd Report, Case No. 2298, para. 548, Case No. 2323, para. 695; 343rd Report, Case No. 1787, para. 418, Case No. 2445, para. 896, Case No. 2313, para. 1167; 344th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 140, Case No. 2486, para. 1213; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1437; 348th Report, Case No. 1787, para. 274, Case No. 2516, para. 684, Case No. 2540, para. 813, Case No. 2254, para. 1323; 349th Report, Case No. 2486, para. 1242; 350th Report, Case No. 2554, para. 504; 351st Report, Case No. 2540, para. 894, Case No. 2268, para. 1037; 353rd Report, Case No. 1787, para. 507, Case No. 2619, para. 580; 354th Report, Case No. 2068, para. 57; 355th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 863; 356th Report, Case No. 1787, para. 554, Case No. 2669, para. 1253; 357th Report, Case No. 2382, para. 25, Case No. 2713, para. 1102; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 555, Case No. 2735, para. 609; 359th Report, Case No. 2445, para. 571, Case No. 2609, para. 628; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 834; 363rd Report, Case No. 2761, para. 427, Case No. 2768, para. 636, Case No. 2850, para. 873; 364th Report, Case No. 2859, para. 551; 367th Report, Case No. 2761, para. 443, Case No. 2923, para. 710, Case No. 2913, para, 806; 368th Report, Case No. 2609, para, 458, Case No. 2959. para. 505, Case No. 2978, para. 519; 370th Report, Case No. 2957, para. 411, Case No. 2723, para. 441; 371st Report, Case No. 2982, para. 700; 372nd Report, Case No. 3018, para. 494; 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 468; 376th Report, Case No. 3067, para. 953, Case No. 3113, para. 987; and 378th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 300, Case No. 3119, para. 668 and Case No. 2254, para. 848.)

85. It is important to take strong measures to prevent threats, statements of incitement to hatred and the looting of property, all of which are harmful to individuals and organizations that are legitimately defending their interests under Conventions Nos. 87 and 98, which have been ratified by the State in question. The rights of workers' and employers' organizations can only be exercised in a climate free from violence, intimidation and fear, as such situations of insecurity are incompatible with the requirements of Convention No. 87.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 908.)

86. A genuinely free and independent trade union movement cannot develop in a climate of violence and uncertainty.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 45; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 787; 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 813; 351st Report, Case No. 2540, para. 894; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 671, Case No. 2609, para. 863; 359th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 61; and 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 288.)

87. A free and independent trade union movement can only develop in a climate free of violence, threats and pressure, and it is for the Government to guarantee that trade union rights can develop normally.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2241, para. 760.)

88. The exercise of trade union rights is incompatible with violence or threats of any kind and it is for the authorities to investigate without delay and, if necessary, penalize any act of this kind.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2786, para. 348.)

89. A climate of violence, such as that surrounding the murder or disappearance of trade union leaders, or one in which the premises and property of workers and employers are attacked, constitutes a serious obstacle to the exercise of trade union rights; such acts require severe measures to be taken by the authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 46; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1437; 350th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 905; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1203; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1070; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 843.)

90. Acts of intimidation and physical violence against trade unionists constitute a grave violation of the principles of freedom of association and the failure to protect against such acts amounts to a de facto impunity, which can only reinforce a climate of fear and uncertainty highly detrimental to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 834.)

91. Facts imputable to individuals bring into play the State's responsibility owing to the State's obligation to prevent violations of human rights. Consequently, governments should endeavour to meet their obligations regarding the respect of individual rights and freedoms, as well as their obligation to guarantee the right to life of trade unionists.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 47; 342nd Report, Case No. 2442, para. 799, Case No. 2446, para. 834; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1440; 350th Report, Case No. 2570, para. 269; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1203; and 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1070.)

92. The mere absence of a labour dispute or trade union campaign does not necessarily preclude any connection of the crime with the exercise of trade union activities, membership or office.

(See 370th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 81.)

93. Blanket linkages of trade unions to an insurgency have a stigmatizing effect and often place union leaders and members in a situation of extreme insecurity.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1182.)

94. The killing, disappearance or serious injury of trade union leaders and trade unionists requires the institution of independent judicial inquiries in order to shed full light, at the earliest date, on the facts and the circumstances in which such actions occurred and in this way, to the extent possible, determine where responsibilities lie, punish the guilty parties and prevent the repetition of similar events.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 48; 340th Report, Case No. 2268, para. 1090; 342nd Report, Case No. 2318, para. 253; 343rd Report, Case No. 2396, para. 645, Case No. 2313, para. 1167; 346th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 388, Case No. 1865, para. 794, Case No. 2528, para. 1438; 351st Report, Case No. 2318, para. 252, Case No. 2268, para. 1037, Case No. 2528, paras. 1203, 1215 and 1220; 354th Report, Case No. 2318,

para. 264; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1155; 358th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 327; 359th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 631, Case No. 2528, para. 1112; 364th Report, Case No. 2859, para. 551, Case No. 2528, para. 949; 367th Report, Case No. 2923, para. 711; 370th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 164; 377th Report, Case No. 2923, para. 307; and 378th Report, Case No. 3032, para. 386 and Case No. 2254, para. 843.)

95. Crimes such as extrajudicial killings, due to their seriousness should be investigated and prosecuted ex officio, i.e. even in the absence of a formal criminal complaint being lodged by a victim or an injured party.

(See 359th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1112)

96. It is important that investigations into the murders of trade unionists should yield concrete results in order to determine reliably the facts, the motives and the persons responsible, in order to apply the appropriate punishments and to prevent such incidents recurring in the future.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 1787, para. 512.)

97. The Government is under a responsibility to take all necessary measures to have the guilty parties identified and punished – in particular by ensuring that witnesses, who are crucial for the successful identification and prosecution of suspects, are effectively protected – and to successfully prevent the recurrence of human rights violations. Even in the absence of a formal filing of charges, each case should be thoroughly investigated and, where witnesses have come forward, appropriate and adequate protection should be provided.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1166.)

98. The Committee has emphasized the importance that the guilty parties should be punished in proportion to the seriousness of the crimes committed and the employer organization compensated for the loss and damage on account of illegal acts.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1332)

99. Investigations should focus not only on the individual author of the crime but also on the intellectual instigators in order for true justice to prevail and to meaningfully prevent any future violence against trade unionists. It is crucial that the responsibility in the chain of command also be duly determined when crimes are committed by military personnel or the police so that the appropriate instructions can be given at all levels and those with control held responsible in order to effectively prevent the recurrence of such acts.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1173.)

100. The Committee requested a government to issue appropriate high-level instructions to: (i) bring to an end prolonged military presence inside workplaces which is liable to have an intimidating effect on the workers wishing to engage in legitimate trade union activities and to create an atmosphere of mistrust which is hardly conducive to harmonious industrial relations; (ii) to ensure that any emergency measures aimed at national security do not prevent in any way the exercise of legitimate trade union rights and activities, including strikes, by all trade unions irrespective of their philosophical or political orientation, in a climate of complete security; and (iii) to ensure the strict observance of due process guarantees in the context of any surveillance and interrogation operations by the army and police in a way that guarantees that the legitimate rights of workers' organizations can be exercised in a climate that is free from violence, pressure or threats of any kind against their leaders and members.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1184.)

101. All allegations of violence against workers who are organizing or otherwise defending workers' interests should be thoroughly investigated and full consideration should be given to any possible direct or indirect relation that the violent act may have with trade union activity.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1143.)

102. It is important that all instances of violence against trade union members, whether these be murders, disappearances or threats, are properly investigated. Furthermore, the mere fact of initiating an investigation does not mark the end of the Government's work; rather, the Government must do all within its power to ensure that such investigations lead to the identification and punishment of the perpetrators.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 1787, para. 422.)

103. The Committee condemned the existence and actions of paramilitary organizations, which, in violation of human rights and of freedom of association principles, regard trade unionists as targets. It recalled that the responsibility to stop such organizations rests with the Government.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2489, para. 461.)

104. In cases in which the dispersal of public meetings by the police has involved loss of life or serious injury, the Committee has attached special importance to the circumstances being fully investigated immediately through an independent inquiry and to a regular legal procedure being followed to determine the justification for the action taken by the police and to determine responsibilities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 49; 340th Report, Case No. 2413, para. 903; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1434; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 795, Case No. 2528, para. 1449; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1236; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 736; 356th Report, Case No. 2693, para. 1047; 360th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 289, Case No. 2745, para. 1070; 363rd Report, Case No.2867, para. 351; 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 100; 368th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 100; 368th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 100; 370th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 679.)

105. In the event of assaults on the physical or moral integrity of individuals, the Committee has considered that an independent judicial inquiry should be instituted immediately with a view to fully clarifying the facts, determining responsibility, punishing those responsible and preventing the repetition of such acts.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 50; 344th Report, Cases Nos. 1937 and 2027, para. 247; 357th Report, Case No. 2382, para. 25, Case No. 2664, para. 813; 360th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 86, Case No. 2399, para. 95; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 834;

365th Report, Case No. 2906, para. 259, Case No. 2723, para. 769, Case No. 2902, para. 1121; 371st Report, Case No. 2713, para. 879; 372nd Report, Case No. 3018, para. 494, Case No. 2254, para. 734; 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 468; 376th Report, Case No. 3113, para. 987; and 378th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 317.)

106. In the event that judicial investigations into the murder and disappearance of trade unionists are rarely successful, the Committee has considered it indispensable that measures be taken to identify, bring to trial and convict the guilty parties and has pointed out that such a situation means that, in practice, the guilty parties enjoy impunity which reinforces the climate of violence and insecurity and thus has an extremely damaging effect on the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 51.)

107. In a case concerning a number of murders of trade union leaders and members, the Committee especially urged the Government to guarantee that the Public Prosecutor's Office would systematically request information from the unions involved to determine the victims' membership to the trade union movement and to identify possible anti-union motives behind the offences under investigation.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 484.)

108. In cases of physical or verbal violence against workers' and employers' leaders and their organizations, the Committee emphasized that the absence of judgements against the guilty parties creates, in practice, a situation of impunity, which reinforces the climate of violence and insecurity, and which is extremely damaging to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 52; 342nd Report, Case No. 2318, para. 253; 344th Report, Cases Nos. 1937 and 2027, para. 247; 346th Report, Case No. 2048, para. 115, Case No. 2318, para. 388, Case No. 2323, para. 1118, Case No. 2528, para. 1439; 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 813; 350th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 987; 351st Report, Case No. 2318, para. 252, Case No. 2540, para. 894, Case No. 2528, paras. 1214 and 1220; 355th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 863; 356th Report, Case No. 1787, para. 562, Case No. 2528, para. 1154; 357th Report, Case No. 2382, para. 25; 359th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1112; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1070; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 834; 363rd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 611; 364th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1121; 368th Report, Case No. 2445, para. 419, Case No. 2609, para. 465 and 484; 372nd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 734; 373rd Report, Case No. 2478, para. 39, Case No. 2445, para. 309 and Case No. 2982, para. 643.)

109. The Committee emphasized the need, in a case in which judicial inquiries connected with the death of trade unionists seemed to be taking a long time to conclude, of proceedings being brought to a speedy conclusion.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 53; and 368th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 466.)

110. The Committee has considered that detained trade unionists, like all other persons, should enjoy the guarantees enunciated in the Universal Declaration of Human

Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights according to which all persons deprived of their liberty must be treated with humanity and with respect for the inherent dignity of the human person.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 54; 340th Report, Case No. 2268, para. 1094; 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1081, Case No. 2486, para. 1244; 350th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1097; 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 983 and 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 397.)

111. As regards allegations of the physical ill-treatment and torture of trade unionists, the Committee has recalled that governments should give precise instructions and apply effective sanctions where cases of ill-treatment are found, so as to ensure that no detainee is subjected to such treatment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 55; 340th Report, Case No. 2268, para. 1094; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 834; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 769.)

112. In cases of alleged torture or ill-treatment while in detention, governments should carry out independent inquiries into complaints of this kind so that appropriate measures, including compensation for damages suffered and the sanctioning of those responsible, are taken to ensure that no detainee is subjected to such treatment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 56; 344th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 140; 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1081; 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 294; 374th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 85; and 375th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 363.)

113. As regards allegations relating to the ill-treatment or any other punitive measures said to have been taken against workers who took part in strikes, the Committee has pointed out the importance that it attaches to the right of trade unionists, like all other persons, to enjoy the guarantees afforded by due process of law in accordance with the principles enunciated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 57; 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 294.)

114. A climate of violence, coercion and threats of any type aimed at trade union leaders and their families does not encourage the free exercise and full enjoyment of the rights and freedoms set out in Conventions Nos. 87 and 98. All States have the undeniable duty to promote and defend a social climate where respect of the law reigns as the only way of guaranteeing respect for and protection of life.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 58; 342nd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 627; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1459; 349th Report, Case No. 2561, para. 381; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1226; 356th Report, Case No. 2669, para. 1253; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 842.)

115. Attacks against trade unionists and trade union premises and property constitute serious interference with trade union rights. Criminal activities of this nature create a climate of fear which is extremely prejudicial to the exercise of trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 59; 367th Report, Case No. 2913, para. 806.)

116. The environment of fear induced by threats to the life of trade unionists has inevitable repercussions on the exercise of trade union activities, and the exercise of these activities is possible only in a context of respect for basic human rights and in an atmosphere free of violence, pressure and threats of any kind.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 60; 367th Report, Case No. 2853, para. 482; and 378th Report, Case No. 3119, para. 668.)

117. As regards death threats made against the president of an employers' organization, the Committee observed that the Government took the necessary measures to protect this employers' leader and his residence. It requested the Government to continue providing such protection for as long as his life is in danger.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1700, para. 308.)

118. The Committee requested a government to take the necessary accompanying measures, including the issuance of appropriate high-level instructions, to bring to an end prolonged military presence inside workplaces which was liable to have an intimidating effect on the workers wishing to engage in legitimate trade union activities and to create an atmosphere of mistrust which was hardly conducive to harmonious industrial relations.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1184; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1076; and 378th Report, Case No. 3119, para. 671.)

Arrest and detention of trade unionists and members of employers' organizations

119. The absence of civil liberties removes all meaning from the concept of trade union rights; the rights conferred on workers' and employers' organizations must be based on respect for those civil liberties, such as security of the person and freedom from arbitrary arrest and detention.

(See 279th Report (November 1991), Case No. 1556, para. 58.)

120. The detention of trade union leaders or members for trade union activities or membership is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 61; and 370th Report, Case No. 2957, para. 411.)

121. The arrest, even if only briefly, of trade union leaders and trade unionists, and of the leaders of employers' organizations, for exercising legitimate activities in relation with their right of association constitutes a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 62; 340th Report, Case No. 2416, para. 1027; 343rd Report, Case No. 2426, para. 279; 346th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1186; 358th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 979; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 836; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 771.)

122. Measures depriving trade unionists of their freedom on grounds related to their trade union activity, even where they are merely summoned or questioned for a short period, constitute an obstacle to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 63; 343rd Report, Case No. 2451, para. 925; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1459; 348th Report, Case No. 2494, para. 962; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1142; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 609; 359th Report, Case No. 2753, para. 409; and 378th Report, Case No. 3119, para. 668.)

123. The detention of trade unionists for reasons connected with their activities in defence of the interests of workers constitutes a serious interference with civil liberties in general and with trade union rights in particular.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 64; 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 778, Case No. 2268, para. 1094; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 691; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1433; 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1089; 351st Report, Case No. 2268, para. 1039; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 744, Case No. 2620, para. 793; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1188; 357th Report, Case No. 2712, para. 1084; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 836; 363rd Report, Case No. 2761, para. 436; 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 296; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 771.)

124. Measures designed to deprive trade union leaders and members of their freedom entail a serious risk of interference in trade union activities and, when such measures are taken on trade union grounds, they constitute an infringement of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 65; 349th Report, Case No. 2585, para. 891; and 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1120.)

125. The detention of trade unionists on the grounds of trade union activities constitutes a serious obstacle to the exercise of trade union rights and an infringement of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 66; and 359th Report, Case No. 2760, para. 1172.)

126. The arrest of trade unionists and leaders of employers' organizations may create an atmosphere of intimidation and fear prejudicial to the normal development of trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 67; 340th Report, Case No. 1865, paras. 764 and 778; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 691; 343rd Report, Case No. 2426, para. 279; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 744, Case No. 2620, para. 793; 363rd Report, Case No. 2828, para. 897; 372nd Report, Case No. 3018, para. 494; 376th Report, Case No. 3076, para. 745; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 850.)

127. It is not possible for a stable industrial relations system to function harmoniously in the country as long as trade unionists are subject to arrests and detentions.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 765; and 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 774.)

128. The apprehension and systematic or arbitrary interrogation by the police of trade union leaders and unionists involves a danger of abuse and could constitute a serious attack on trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 68; 346th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1188; and 348th Report, Case No. 2494, para. 962.)

129. The arrest and detention of trade unionists without any charges being laid or court warrants being issued constitutes a serious violation of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 69; 349th Report, Case No. 2585, para. 891; 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 982; 357th Report, Case No. 2712, para. 1084; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 836; and 371st Report, Case No. 2713, para. 880.)

130. The arrest of trade unionists against whom no charge is brought involves restrictions on freedom of association, and governments should adopt measures for issuing appropriate instructions to prevent the danger involved for trade union activities by such arrests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 70; 343rd Report, Case No. 2440, para. 242, Case No. 2449, para. 701; 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 535, Case No. 2585, para. 891; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1218; 354th Report, Case No. 2228, para. 114; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1188; 359th Report, Case No. 2771, para. 1091; 363rd Report, Case No. 2761, para. 436, Case No. 2828, para. 897; and 370th Report, Case No. 2712, para. 693.)

131. The arrest of employers' officials for reasons linked to actions relating to legitimate demands is a serious restriction of their rights and a violation of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 71.)

132. While persons engaged in trade union activities or holding trade union office cannot claim immunity in respect of the ordinary criminal law, trade union activities should not in themselves be used by the public authorities as a pretext for the arbitrary arrest or detention of trade unionists.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 72; 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 778; 349th Report, Case No. 2585, para. 895; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 744; 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1122; and 376th Report, Case No. 3076, para. 744.)

133. While persons engaged in trade union activities or holding trade union office cannot claim immunity in respect of the ordinary criminal law, the arrest of, and criminal charges brought against, trade unionists may only be based on legal requirements that in themselves do not infringe the principles of freedom of association.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 836.)

134. Prosecutions, or other forms of sanction, should not in any way be instituted against trade union leaders who bring a case before the Freedom of Association Committee.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 73.)

135. Union leaders should not be subject to retaliatory measures, and in particular arrest and detention without trial, for having exercised their rights which derive from the ratification of ILO instruments on freedom of association, in this case for having lodged a complaint with the Committee on Freedom of Association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 74.)

136. The arrest and detention of trade unionists, even for reasons of internal security, may constitute a serious interference with trade union rights unless attended by appropriate judicial safeguards.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 75; 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 313, Case No. 2516, para. 690; and 368th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 490.)

Preventive detention

137. The preventive detention of leaders of workers' and employers' organizations for activities connected with the exercise of their rights is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 233rd Report, Case No. 1007, para. 233)

138. Measures of preventive detention may involve a serious interference with trade union activities which can only be justified by the existence of a serious situation or emergency and which would be open to criticism unless accompanied by adequate judicial safeguards applied within a reasonable period.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 76; 346th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1188; and 350th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1096.)

139. The preventive detention of trade unionists on the ground that breaches of the law may take place in the course of a strike involves a serious danger of infringement of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 77; and 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 535.)

140. Preventive detention should be limited to very short periods of time intended solely to facilitate the course of a judicial inquiry.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 78; 340th Report, Case No. 2412, para. 1136; 349th Report, Case No. 2585, para. 892; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1190; 375th Report, Case No. 3098, para. 552; and 378th Report, Cases Nos. 3110 and 3123, para. 625.)

141. In all cases in which trade union leaders are preventively detained, this can involve a serious interference with the exercise of trade union rights and the Committee has always emphasized the right of all detained persons to receive a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 79.)

142. Preventive detention should be accompanied by safeguards and limitations:

- 1) to ensure, in particular, that it is not extended beyond the time absolutely necessary and that it is not accompanied by measures of intimidation;
- 2) to prevent it being used for purposes other than those for which it is designed and, in particular, to exclude torture and ill-treatment and give protection against situations where the detention is unsatisfactory from the viewpoint of sanitation, unnecessary hardship or the right to defence.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 80; and 349th Report, Case No. 2585, para. 892.)

143. The prolonged detention of persons without bringing them to trial because of the difficulty of securing evidence under the normal procedure is a practice which involves an inherent danger of abuse; for this reason it is subject to criticism.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 81; and 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1190.)

144. Although the exercise of trade union activity or the holding of trade union office does not provide immunity as regards the application of ordinary criminal law, the continued detention of trade unionists without bringing them to trial may constitute a serious impediment to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 82; 343rd Report, Case No. 2449, para. 702; 348th Report, Case No. 2449, para. 627; and 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1189.)

Detentions during a state of emergency

145. The Committee, while refraining from expressing an opinion on the political aspects of a state of emergency, has always emphasized that measures involving detention must be accompanied by adequate judicial safeguards applied within a reasonable period and that all detained persons must receive a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 83.)

146. Where circumstances approximate to a situation of a civil war, the Committee has emphasized the importance attached to all detained persons receiving a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 84.)

147. Due process would not appear to be ensured if, under the national law, the effect of a state of emergency is that a court cannot examine, and does not examine, the merits of the case.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 85; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 841.)

148. When examining cases of detention under emergency regulations, the Committee has pointed out that measures of preventive detention should be limited to very short periods intended solely to facilitate the course of a judicial inquiry.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 86; and 340th Report, Case No. 2412, para. 1136.)

System of education through labour

149. The "system of education through labour" with regard to persons who have already been released, constitutes a form of forced labour and administrative detention of people who have not been convicted by the courts and who, in some cases, are not even liable to sanctions imposed by the judicial authorities. This form of detention and forced labour constitutes without any doubt a violation of basic ILO

standards which guarantee compliance with human rights and, when applied to people who have engaged in trade union activities, a blatant violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 87.)

150. The subjection of workers to the education through labour system without any court judgement is a form of administrative detention which constitutes a clear infringement of basic human rights, the respect of which is essential for the exercise of trade union rights, as pointed out by the International Labour Conference in 1970.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 88.)

Special bodies and summary procedures

151. In all cases where trade unionists and employers have been the subject of measures or decisions emanating from bodies of a special nature, the Committee has emphasized the importance which it attaches to the guarantees of due legal process.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 89.)

152. The Committee has considered that, when trade unionists have been sentenced under summary procedures, they have not enjoyed all the safeguards of a normal procedure. Accordingly, the Committee has suggested that it should be possible to review cases of trade unionists sentenced under such procedures so as to ensure that no one is deprived of their liberty without the benefit of a normal procedure before an impartial and independent judicial authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 90.)

Internment in psychiatric hospitals

153. All the necessary safeguards should be provided to prevent individuals being committed to psychiatric hospitals as a sanction or a means of pressure against persons who wish to establish a new organization independent of the existing trade union structure.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 91.)

Bringing of charges and sentencing of trade unionists and representatives of employers' organizations to imprisonment

154. The Committee has pointed out the danger for the free exercise of trade union rights of sentences imposed on representatives of workers for activities related to the defence of the interests of those they represent.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 92.)

155. The criminal prosecution and conviction to imprisonment of trade union leaders by reason of their trade union activities are not conducive to a harmonious and stable industrial relations climate.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 773.)

156. No one should be deprived of their freedom or be subject to penal sanctions for the mere fact of organizing or participating in a peaceful strike, public meetings or processions, particularly on the occasion of May Day.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2323, para. 1122.)

157. The arrest and sentencing of trade unionists to long periods of imprisonment on grounds of the "disturbance of public order", in view of the general nature of the charges, might make it possible to repress activities of a trade union nature.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 93; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 395.)

158. In cases involving the arrest, detention or sentencing of a trade union official, the Committee, taking the view that individuals have the right to be presumed innocent until found guilty, has considered that it was incumbent upon the government to show that the measures it had taken were in no way occasioned by the trade union activities of the individual concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 94; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1079; 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1007; 370th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 677; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 850.)

159. Any sentences passed on trade unionists on the basis of the ordinary criminal law should not cause the authorities to adopt a negative attitude towards the organization of which these persons and others are members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 95.)

Guarantee of due process of law

160. The Committee stressed the importance that should be attached to the right of freedom and security of person and freedom from arbitrary arrest and detention, as well as to the right to a fair trial by an independent and impartial tribunal, in accordance with the provisions of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2318, para. 250; and 370th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 164.)

161. Because of the fact that detention may involve serious interference with trade union rights and because of the importance which it attaches to the principle of fair trial, the Committee has pressed governments to bring detainees to trial in all cases, irrespective of the reasons put forward by governments for prolonging the detention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 96.)

162. It is one of the fundamental rights of the individual that a detained person should be brought without delay before the appropriate judge, this right being recognized in such instruments as the United Nations International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. In the case of persons engaged in trade union activities, this is one of the civil liberties which should be ensured by the authorities in order to guarantee the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 97.)

163. It is one of the fundamental rights of the individual that a detainee be brought without delay before the appropriate judge and, in the case of trade unionists, freedom from arbitrary arrest and detention and the right to a fair and rapid trial are among the civil liberties which should be ensured by the authorities in order to guarantee the normal exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 98; 343rd Report, Case No. 2449, para. 703; and 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1120.)

164. Anyone who is arrested should be informed, at the time of the arrest, of the reasons for the arrest and should be promptly notified of any charges brought against her or him.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 99; and 349th Report, Case No. 2585, para. 892.)

165. It should be the policy of every government to ensure observance of human rights and especially of the right of all detained or accused persons to receive a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 100; and 344th Report, Case No. 2486, para. 1211.)

166. The Committee has emphasized the importance that should be attached to the principle that all arrested persons should be subject to normal judicial procedure in accordance with the principles enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and in accordance with the principle that it is a fundamental right of the individual that a detained person should be brought without delay before the appropriate judge, this right being recognized in such instruments as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man and the American Convention of Human Rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 101.)

167. Detained trade unionists, like anyone else, should benefit from normal judicial proceedings and have the right to due process, in particular, the right to be informed of the charges brought against them, the right to have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of their defence and to communicate freely with counsel of their own choosing, and the right to a prompt trial by an impartial and independent judicial authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 102; 340th Report, Case No. 2268, para. 1094; 343rd Report, Case No. 2449, para. 702; 348th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 690; 349th Report, Case No. 2585, para. 892; and 351st Report, Case No. 2268, para. 1039.)

168. Respect for due process of law should not preclude the possibility of a fair and rapid trial and, on the contrary, an excessive delay may intimidate the employers' leaders concerned, thus having repercussions on the exercise of their activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 103.)

169. As concerns allegations that legal proceedings are overly lengthy, the Committee has recalled the importance it attaches to such proceedings being concluded expeditiously, as justice delayed is justice denied.

(See the 2006 Digest, 2006, para. 104; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1169; 362nd Report, Case No. 2228, para. 77, Case No. 2667, para. 134; 370th Report, Case No. 2812, para. 25; and 376th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 239.)

170. Justice delayed is justice denied.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 105; 340th Report, Case No. 2188, para. 24, Case No. 2301, para. 131, Case No. 2267, para. 150, Case No. 2291, para. 171, Case No. 2395, para. 178, Case No. 1865, para. 756; 342nd Report, Case No.2160, para. 178; 343rd Report, Case No. 2176, para. 124: 344th Report, Case No. 2301, para. 126, Case No. 2169, para. 139, Case No. 2373, para. 264, Case No. 2474, para. 1155; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1439; 348th Report, Case No. 2356, para. 369; 349th Report, Case No. 2474, para. 250; 350th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 137, Case No. 2273, para. 145, Case No. 2476, para. 311, Case No. 2621, para. 1240, Case No. 2478, para. 1396, Case No. 2592, para. 1578; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1214; 353rd Report, Case No. 2153, para. 30, Case No. 2302, para. 34, Case No. 2006, para. 164, Case No. 2169, para. 173, Case No. 2273, para. 181, Case No. 2291, para. 252, Case No. 2592, para. 1329; 354th Report, Case No. 2476, para. 284, 354th Report, Case No. 2594, para. 1082 ; 356th Report, Case No. 2590, para. 113, Case No. 2474, para. 167, Case No. 1787, para. 562, Case No. 2665, para. 996, Case No. 2528, paras. 1154 and 1189; 358th Report, Case No. 2616, para. 67; 359th Report, Case No. 2291, para. 154, Case No. 2474, para. 158; 360th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 87, Case No. 2399, para. 94, Case No. 2801, para. 482; 362nd Report, Case No. 2228, para. 77; 363rd Report, Case No. 2356, para. 41, Case No. 2616, para. 190, Case No. 2291, para. 205; 364th Report, Case No. 2613, para. 68, Case No. 2203, para. 515, Case No. 2864, para. 785; 365th Report, Case No. 2709, para. 1019; 367th Report, Case No. 2667, para. 79, Case No. 2833, para. 103, Case No. 2763, para. 1283; 368th Report, Case No.2867, para. 17, Case No. 2850, para. 54, Case No. 2291, para. 123; 370th Report, Case No. 2900, para. 625; 371st Report, Case No. 3016, para. 967; 372nd Report, Case No. 2384, para. 28, Case No. 2341, para. 40, Case No. 2254, para. 734; 373rd Report, Case No. 2893, para. 281; 374th Report, Case No. 2679, para. 63, Case No. 3056, para. 829, Case No. 2254, para. 911; 375th Report, Case No. 2850, para. 60, Case No. 2962, para. 348, Case No. 3018, para. 412; 376th Report, Case No. 2916, para. 85, Case No. 3075, para. 186; 377th Report, Case No. 2976, para. 64; and 378th Report, Case No. 3032, para. 387.)

171. The absence of guarantees of due process of law may lead to abuses and result in trade union officials being penalized by decisions that are groundless. It may also create a climate of insecurity and fear which may affect the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 106; 342nd Report, Case No. 2249, para. 198; and 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1121.)

172. The safeguards of normal judicial procedure should not only be embodied in the law, but also applied in practice.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 107.)

173. The Committee has drawn attention to the importance that should be attached to the principle that not only must justice be done, it must also be seen to be done.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3145, para. 756.)

174. When the Government carries out investigations on trade unions and their members, they should be based on duly founded accusations and kept strictly confidential, in order to prevent trade unions, their officials and members from being stigmatized, a situation that could pose a threat to their lives or safety.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2617, para. 498.)

175. Due process of law should include the non-retroactive application of the criminal law.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 108.)

176. The Committee has always attached great importance to the principle of prompt and fair trial by an independent and impartial judiciary in all cases, including cases in which trade unionists are charged with political or criminal offences.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 109; 343rd Report, Case No. 2313, para. 1166; 353rd Report, Case No. 2516, para. 1002; and 378th Report, Cases Nos. 3110 and 3123, para. 625.)

177. If a government has sufficient grounds for believing that the persons arrested have been involved in subversive activity, these persons should be rapidly tried by the courts with all the safeguards of a normal judicial procedure.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 110.)

178. In cases where the complainants alleged that trade union leaders or workers had been arrested for trade union activities, and the governments' replies amounted to general denials of the allegation or were simply to the effect that the arrests were made for subversive activities, for reasons of internal security or for common law crimes, the Committee has always followed the rule that the governments concerned should be requested to submit further and as precise information as possible concerning the arrests, particularly in connection with the legal or judicial proceedings instituted as a result thereof and the result of such proceedings, in order to be able to make a proper examination of the allegations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 111; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 684; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1461; 350th Report, Case No. 2323, para. 992; 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 984; 365th Report, Case No. 2758, para. 1400; and 378th Report, Case No. 2753, para. 222.)

179. In many cases, the Committee has asked the governments concerned to communicate the texts of any judgements that have been delivered together with the grounds adduced therefor.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 112; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1461; 350th Report, Case No. 2317, para. 1420; and 353rd Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1334.)

180. The Committee has emphasized that when it requests a government to furnish judgements in judicial proceedings, such a request does not reflect in any way on the integrity or independence of the judiciary. The very essence of judicial procedure is that its results are known, and confidence in its impartiality rests on their being known.

(See the 2006 Digest, para.113; 344th Report, Case No. 2486, para. 1207; 350th Report, Case No. 2317, para. 1420; and 353rd Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1334.)

181. The Committee has pointed out that, where persons have been sentenced on grounds that have no relation to trade union rights, the matter falls outside its competence. It has, however, emphasized that whether a matter is one that relates to the criminal law or to the exercise of trade union rights is not one which can be determined unilaterally by the government concerned. This is a question to be determined by the Committee after examining all the available information and, in particular, the text of the judgement.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 114; 344th Report, Case No. 2486, para. 1207; and 353rd Report, Case No. 2516, para. 1002.)

182. If in certain cases the Committee has reached the conclusion that allegations relating to measures taken against trade unionists did not warrant further examination, this was only after it had received information from the governments showing sufficiently precisely that the measures were in no way occasioned by trade union activities, but solely by activities outside the trade union sphere that were either prejudicial to public order or political in nature.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 115.)

183. When it appeared from the information available that the persons concerned had been judged by the competent judicial authorities, with the safeguards of normal procedure, and sentenced on account of actions which were not connected with normal trade union activities or which went beyond the scope of such activities, the Committee has considered that the case called for no further examination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 116.)

184. Any trade unionist who is arrested should be presumed innocent until proven guilty after a public trial during which he or she has enjoyed all the guarantees necessary for his or her defence.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 117; 344th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 139; and 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1087.)

185. The Committee has recalled that the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, in Article 14, states that everyone charged with a criminal offence shall have the right to adequate time and the necessary facilities for the preparation of their defence and to communicate with counsel of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 118; and 350th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1102.)

186. Article 14 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights provides that everyone convicted of a crime shall have the right to his/her conviction and sentence being reviewed by a higher tribunal according to law.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1088.)

187. The right to legal counsel of one's own choosing should result in an obligation on the Government to investigate allegations of harassment of lawyers and ensure that the defendants can benefit from unobstructed legal counsel.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1087.)

188. The Committee is not required to express an opinion on the question of the granting of permission for a foreign lawyer to plead.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 119.)

189. The Committee considered that the provisions of a legislation which permit the Minister in his discretion to confine trade union leaders to a particular area, to prohibit them from entering the areas in which they normally carry on their trade union activities, and to hold them in solitary confinement for a 90 days' period which can be renewed, without trial or even without charges being laid, are incompatible with the right to exercise trade union activities and functions and with the principle of fair trial.

(See 85th Report, Cases Nos. 300, 311 and 321, para. 110.)

Freedom of movement

190. Trade unionists, just like all persons, should enjoy freedom of movement. In particular they should enjoy the right, subject to national legislation, which should not be such so as to violate freedom of association principles, to participate in trade union activities abroad.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 121; and 376th Report, Case No. 3113, para. 988.)

191. The Committee has drawn attention to the importance that it attaches to the principle set out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that everyone has the right to leave any country, including one's own, and to return to one's own country.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 122; 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1319; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1331; and 376th Report, Case No. 3113, para. 988.)

192. The Committee recalls the importance that it attaches to the principle set out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that everyone has the right to leave any country, including one's own, and to return to one's own country, particularly when participation in the activities of organizations of employers or workers abroad is involved.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1656.)

193. As regards the climate of intimidation which has led a large number of activists and trade union leaders to go into exile, the Committee recalled that the forced exile of trade unionists is a serious violation of freedom of association. Therefore, it urged the Government to enable these unionists to return to the country and to carry out their trade union activities in full freedom.

(See 300th Report, Cases Nos. 1682, 1711 and 1716, para. 176.)

194. The imposition of sanctions, such as restricted movement, house arrest or banishment for trade union reasons, constitutes a violation of the principles of freedom of association. The Committee has considered it unacceptable that sanctions of this nature should be imposed by administrative action.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 124.)

195. As regards the exile, banishment or the placing under house arrest of trade unionists, the Committee, while recognizing that this procedure may be occasioned by a crisis in a country, has drawn attention to the appropriateness of this procedure being accompanied by all the safeguards necessary to ensure that it shall not be utilized for the purpose of impairing the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 125; and 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1123.)

196. The exile of trade unionists, which is in violation of human rights, is particularly grave since it deprives the persons concerned of the possibility of working in their country and of maintaining contacts with their families.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 126.)

197. The granting of freedom to a trade unionist on condition that he leave the country is not compatible with the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 127.)

198. The Committee noted that the expulsion from their country of trade union or employers' leaders for activities related to the exercise of their functions as such is not only contrary to human rights but is, furthermore, an interference in the activities of the organization to which they belong.

(See 233rd Report, Cases Nos. 1183 and 1205, para. 510.)

199. Measures of deportation of trade union leaders while legal appeals are pending may involve a risk of serious interference with trade union activities.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 793; 362nd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 595; and 367th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 554.)

200. The restriction of a person's movements to a limited area, accompanied by the prohibition of entry into the area in which his or her trade union operates and in which he or she normally carries on trade union functions, is inconsistent with the normal enjoyment of the right of association and with the exercise of the right to carry on trade union activities and functions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 129; 349th Report, Case No. 2486, para. 1239; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1066; 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 997; and 370th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 674.)

201. The loss of fundamental rights, namely, the right to stay in or pass through towns for a long period, could be justified only with reference to criminal charges unconnected with trade union activities, and is serious enough to impugn the personal integrity of the individual concerned.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2486, para. 1211.)

Rights of assembly and demonstration

A. Internal meetings of organizations, meetings in their premises and in relation to labour disputes

202. Trade unions should be able to hold meetings without the need to communicate the agenda to the authorities, in accordance with the principle embodied in Article 3 of Convention No. 87, whereby organizations have the right freely to organize their activities without interference from the authorities.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2491, para. 349.)

203. The right of occupational organizations to hold meetings in their premises to discuss occupational questions, without prior authorization and interference by the authorities, is an essential element of freedom of association and the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede its exercise, unless public order is disturbed thereby or its maintenance seriously and imminently endangered.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 130; 344th Report, Case No. 2456, para. 278; 348th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 678; and 374th Report, Case No. 3032, para. 418.)

204. The right to strike and to organize union meetings are essential aspects of trade union rights, and measures taken by the authorities to ensure the observance of the law should not, therefore, prevent unions from organizing meetings during labour disputes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 131; and 368th Report, Case No. 2912, para. 227.)

205. Freedom of assembly and freedom of opinion and expression are a sine qua non for the exercise of freedom of association.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 839.)

206. Where a representative of the public authorities can attend trade union meetings, this may influence the deliberations and the decisions taken (especially if this representative is entitled to participate in the proceedings) and hence may constitute an act of interference incompatible with the principle of freedom to hold trade union meetings.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 132; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 839; 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 775; and 378th Report, Case No. 3032, para. 393.)

207. The Committee considered that a provision of a regulation concerning the presence of a Ministry representative at meetings of the general assembly of a trade union or an employers' organization posed a serious risk of interference by the public authorities.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2988, para. 140.)

B. Public meetings and demonstrations

208. Workers should enjoy the right to peaceful demonstration to defend their occupational interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 133; 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 764; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 691; 350th Report, Case No. 2554, para. 505, Case No. 2508, para. 1104; 351st Report, Case No. 2616, para. 1011; 354th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 921; 355th Report, Case No. 2680, para. 883; 360th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 289; 363rd Report, Case No. 2753, para. 483; 365th Report, Case No. 2902, para. 1121; 367th Report, Case No. 2680, para. 66, Case No. 2743, para. 160; 368th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 2755, para. 200; 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 152, Case No. 3024, para. 427; 375th Report, Case No. 3070, para. 113, Case No. 3059, para. 661, Case No. 3082, para. 692; 377th Report, Case No. 3100, para. 377; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 489 and Cases Nos. 3110 and 3123, para. 625.)

209. The right to organize public meetings constitutes an important aspect of trade union rights. In this connection, the Committee has always drawn a distinction between demonstrations in pursuit of purely trade union objectives, which it has considered as falling within the exercise of trade union rights, and those designed to achieve other ends.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 134; and 356th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1276.)

210. Protests are protected by the principles of freedom of association only when such activities are organized by trade union organizations or can be considered as legitimate trade union activities as covered by Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 135.)

211. Trade union organizations should conduct themselves responsibly and respect the peaceful manner in which the right of assembly should be exercised.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2562, para. 404; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 682; and 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 662.)

212. The right to organize public meetings and processions, particularly on the occasion of May Day, constitutes an important aspect of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 136; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 686; 349th Report, Case No. 2585, para. 891; 357th Report, Case No. 2711, para. 1180; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 391; and 364th Report, Case No. 2862, para. 1141.)

213. The holding of public meetings and the voicing of demands of a social and economic nature on the occasion of May Day are traditional forms of trade union action. Trade unions should have the right to organize freely whatever meetings they

wish to celebrate on May Day, provided that they respect the measures taken by the authorities to ensure public order.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 137; 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1091; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 391; and 367th Report, Case No. 2949, para. 1219.)

214. A demonstration to commemorate the 50th anniversary of Convention No. 87 falls within the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 138.)

215. A procession to request the implementation of the recommendations of the Committee on Freedom of Association falls within the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 41.)

216. Trade union rights include the right to organize public demonstrations. Although the prohibition of demonstrations on the public highway in the busiest parts of a city, when it is feared that disturbances might occur, does not constitute an infringement of trade union rights, the authorities should strive to reach agreement with the organizers of the demonstration to enable it to be held in some other place where there would be no fear of disturbances.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 139; and 349th Report, Case No. 2562, para. 404.)

217. The authorities should resort to the use of force only in situations where law and order is seriously threatened. The intervention of the forces of order should be in due proportion to the danger to law and order that the authorities are attempting to control and governments should take measures to ensure that the competent authorities receive adequate instructions so as to eliminate the danger entailed by the use of excessive violence when controlling demonstrations which might result in a disturbance of the peace.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 140; 340th Report, Case No. 2413, para. 903; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 671; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 779; 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 819, Case No. 2530, para. 1193; 349th Report, Case No. 2382, para. 33, Case No. 2562, para. 404; 350th Report, Case No. 2554, para. 505; 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 982, Case No. 2528, para. 1236, Case No. 2598, para. 1353; 362nd Report, Case No. 2702, para. 151; 368th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 475; 372nd Report, Case No. 3024, para. 427; 376th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 41, Case No. 3076, para. 748; 377th Report, Case No. 3100, para. 377; and 378th Report, Case No. 2824, para. 157.)

218. The requirement of administrative permission to hold public meetings and demonstrations is not objectionable per se from the standpoint of the principles of freedom of association. The maintenance of public order is not incompatible with the right to hold demonstrations so long as the authorities responsible for public order reach agreement with the organizers of a demonstration concerning the place where it will be held and the manner in which it will take place.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 141; 351st Report, Case No. 2616, para. 1011; 356th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1276; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 389.)

219. Permission to hold public meetings and demonstrations, which is an important trade union right, should not be arbitrarily refused.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 142; 351st Report, Case No. 2616, para. 1012; 356th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1276; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 391, Case No. 2723, para. 839; 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 775; and 378th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 264.)

220. Although the right of holding trade union meetings is an essential aspect of trade union rights, the organizations concerned must observe the general provisions relating to public meetings, which are applicable to all. This principle is contained in Article 8 of Convention No. 87, which provides that workers and their organizations, like other persons or organized collectivities, shall respect the law of the land.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 143; 367th Report, Case No. 2706, para. 946; 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 923; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3024, para. 427.)

221. Workers' organizations should respect legal provisions on public order and abstain from acts of violence in demonstrations.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2564, para. 611.)

222. Trade unions must conform to the general provisions applicable to all public meetings and must respect the reasonable limits which may be fixed by the authorities to avoid disturbances in public places.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 144; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 778; 349th Report, Case No. 2546, para. 1215; 351st Report, Case No. 2616, paras. 1011 and 1012; 355th Report, Case No. 2680, para. 883; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 152.)

223. The right to hold trade union meetings cannot be interpreted as relieving organizations from the obligation to comply with reasonable formalities when they wish to make use of public premises.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 145.)

224. The principles of freedom of association do not protect abuses consisting of criminal acts while exercising protest action.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2743, para. 164.)

225. It is for the government, which is responsible for the maintenance of public order, to decide whether meetings, including trade union meetings, may, in particular circumstances, endanger public order and security, and to take any necessary preventive measures.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 146.)

226. Trade unions should respect legal provisions which are intended to ensure the maintenance of public order; the public authorities should, for their part, refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of trade unions to organize the holding and proceedings of their meetings in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 147; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 686; 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 535; and 368th Report, Case No. 2912, para. 227.)

227. The obligation on a procession to follow a predetermined itinerary does not constitute a violation of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 148.)

228. A time restriction placed by legislation on the right to demonstrate is not justified and may render that right inoperative in practice.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 149.)

229. In general, the use of the forces of order during trade union demonstrations should be limited to cases of genuine necessity.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 150; 350th Report, Case No. 2570, para. 269; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1140; 357th Report, Case No. 2711, para. 1181; 360th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 289; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 395; 363rd Report, Case No. 2753, para. 483; and 368th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 200.)

230. The police authorities should be given precise instructions so that, in cases where public order is not seriously threatened, people are not arrested simply for having organized or participated in a demonstration.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 151; 350th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1104; 354th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 921; 357th Report, Case No. 2711, para. 1181; 363rd Report, Case No. 2753, para. 483; and 377th Report, Case No. 3100, para. 377.)

C. International trade union and employers' organizations' meetings

231. Trade union meetings of an international character may give rise to special problems, not only because of the nationality of the participants, but also because of the international policy and commitments of the country in which these meetings are to take place. As a result of such commitments, the government of a particular country may consider it necessary to adopt restrictive measures on the grounds of certain special circumstances prevailing at a particular time. Such measures might be justified in exceptional cases, having more regard to specific situations, and provided they conform to the laws of the country. However, it should never be possible to apply measures of a general nature against particular trade union organizations unless in each case sufficient grounds exist to justify the government decision, such as genuine dangers which may arise for the international relations of a State or for security and public order. Otherwise, the right of assembly, the exercise of which by international organizations should also be recognized, would be seriously restricted.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 152.)

232. Participation by trade unionists in international trade union meetings is a fundamental trade union right and governments should therefore abstain from any measure, such as withholding travel documents, that would prevent representatives of workers' organizations from exercising their mandate in full freedom and independence.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 153; 357th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 263; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2753, para. 482.)

Freedom of opinion and expression

A. General principles

233. Freedom of opinion and expression constitutes one of the basic civil liberties essential for the normal expression of trade union rights.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2546, para. 1215.)

234. The Committee wishes to emphasize the importance which it places on respect for the basic civil liberties of trade unionists and for employers' organizations, including freedom of expression, as essential prerequisites to the full exercise of freedom of association.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 552.)

235. Freedom of opinion and expression and, in particular, the right not to be penalized for one's opinions, is an essential corollary of freedom of association, and workers, employers and their organizations should enjoy freedom of opinion and expression in their meetings, publications and in the course of their trade union activities.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2712, para. 1083.)

236. The full exercise of trade union rights calls for a free flow of information, opinions and ideas, and to this end workers, employers and their organizations should enjoy freedom of opinion and expression at their meetings, in their publications and in the course of other trade union activities. Nevertheless, in expressing their opinions, these organizations should respect the limits of propriety and refrain from the use of insulting language.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 154; 340th Report, Case No. 2340, para. 143; 348th Report, Case No. 2542, para. 530; 349th Report, Case No. 2591, para. 1091; 355th Report, Case No. 2680, para. 884; 358th Report, Case No. 2724, para. 825; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 131; 370th Report, Case No. 2595, para. 37; 373rd Report, Case No. 3002, para. 73; 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 471; and 377th Report, Case No. 3104, para. 110.)

237. The authorities' threatening to press criminal charges in response to legitimate opinions of trade union representatives may have an intimidating and detrimental effect on the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 373rd Report, Case No. 3002, para. 73.)

238. The Committee emphasizes the close link between the rights of employers' organizations and the exercise of fundamental rights in practice, including freedom of expression.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1310.)

239. The right of workers' and employers' organizations to express opinions through the press or otherwise is an essential aspect of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 155; 342nd Report, Case No. 2366, para. 915; 349th Report, Case No. 2546, para. 1219; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 581; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1140; 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1126; 358th Report, Case No. 2724, para. 825; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 839; 365th Report, Case No. 2858, para. 279; 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 152, Case No. 3004, para. 570; 373rd Report, Case No. 2949, para. 459; and 377th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 196.)

240. The right to express opinions without previous authorization through the press is one of the essential elements of the rights of occupational organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 156; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 856.)

241. The right to express opinions through the press or otherwise is an essential aspect of trade union rights and the full exercise of trade union rights calls for a free flow of information, opinions and ideas within the limits of propriety and non-violence.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2366, para. 915.)

242. The right of workers' and employers' organizations to express their opinions through the press or other social communication media is a fundamental element of freedom of association and the authorities should abstain from unduly impeding its lawful exercise, and should fully guarantee freedom of expression in general and that of employers' organizations.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1655.)

243. The Committee requested a government to guarantee through the existence of independent means of expression, the free flow of ideas, essential to the life and wellbeing of employers' and workers' organizations.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1655.)

244. The freedom of expression which should be enjoyed by trade unions and their leaders should also be guaranteed when they wish to criticize the government's economic and social policy.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 157; 348th Report, Case No. 2542, para. 530; 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1126; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 552; 359th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 19; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 832; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 856; 372nd Report, Case No. 3004, para. 570; 373rd Report, Case No. 2949, para. 459; 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 471; and 377th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 196.)

245. The right to express opinions, including those criticizing the Government's economic and social policy, is one of the essential elements of the rights of occupational organizations.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2758, para. 1399; and 368th Report, Case No. 2758, para. 130.)

246. The Committee requested a Government to ensure that public officials' trade unions have the possibility to express their views publicly on the wider economic and social policy questions which have a direct impact on their members' interests.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 749; and 353th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 705.)

247. The right of an employers' or workers' organization to express its opinion uncensored through the independent press should in no way differ from the right to express opinions in exclusively occupational or trade union journals.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 158.)

248. In a case in which the major communications media had been closed down for months, the Committee emphasized that the right of workers' and employers' organizations to express their views in the press or through other media is one of the essential elements of freedom of association; consequently the authorities should refrain from unduly impeding its lawful exercise.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 159; 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1310.)

249. Measures taken against the media used by employers' organizations or which are more or less in tune with the employers' socio-economic stance can impede the means through which employers' organizations exercise their freedom of expression.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1308.)

250. The Committee requested a government to refrain from all interference in the editorial line of independent communication media, including the use of economic or legal sanctions, and to guarantee through the existence of independent means of expression, the free flow of ideas, essential to the life and well-being of employers' and workers' organizations.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1310.)

251. With regard to legislation which allowed the temporary or definitive suspension of journals and publications which "compromise the economic stability of the nation", the Committee considered that such restrictions, which amount to a constant threat of suspension of publications, cannot but impede considerably the right of trade union and professional organizations to express their views in the press, in their own publications or through other media, which is one of the essential elements of trade union rights and consequently governments should refrain from unduly impeding the lawful exercise thereof.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 160.)

252. As a general rule, the distribution of leaflets calling on workers to take industrial action is a legitimate trade union activity.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2521, para. 1034.)

253. The choice of union insignia falls within the scope of freedom of expression, the respect of which is essential for the normal exercise of trade union rights, and

therefore should, as a general principle, be left solely to the internal affairs of the trade union in question.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 161.)

254. The display of union flags at meetings in the workplace, the putting up of union bulletin boards, the distribution of union news and leaflets, the signing of petitions and participation in union rallies constitute legitimate trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 162; and 374th Report, Case No. 2946, para. 244.)

255. The prohibition of the placing of posters stating the point of view of a trade union organization is an unacceptable restriction on trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 163; and 340th Report, Case No. 2340, para. 143.)

256. While having stressed the importance which it attaches to freedom of expression as a fundamental corollary to freedom of association and the exercise of trade union rights on numerous occasions, the Committee has also considered that they must not become competing rights, one aimed at eliminating the other.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2683, para. 584).

257. The resolution of 1970 concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties places special emphasis on freedom of opinion and expression, which are essential for the normal exercise of trade union rights.

(See 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para. 645).

B. Authorization and censorship of publications

258. If before being able to publish a newspaper trade unions are required to furnish a substantial bond, this would constitute, especially in the case of smaller unions, such an unreasonable condition as to be incompatible with the exercise of the right of trade unions to express their opinions through the press.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 164.)

259. The fear of the authorities of seeing a trade union newspaper serve political ends unrelated to trade union activities or which, at least, lie far outside their normal scope, is not a sufficient reason to refuse to allow such a newspaper to appear.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 165.)

260. The publication and distribution of news and information of general or special interest to trade unions and their members constitutes a legitimate trade union activity and the application of measures designed to control publication and means of information may involve serious interference by administrative authorities with this activity. In such cases, the exercise of administrative authority should be subject to judicial review at the earliest possible moment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 166; and 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 987.)

261. The discretionary power of the public authorities to revoke the licence granted to a trade union newspaper, without it being possible to appeal against such decisions to a court of law, is not compatible with the provisions of Convention No. 87, which provides that workers' organizations have the right to organize their activities without interference by the public authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 167.)

262. Placing leaflets containing slogans such as "let those who caused the crisis pay for it", "fight substandard employment", and "we demand our night shift pay" or similar slogans on the list of extremist literature impedes considerably the right of trade unions to express their views and is an unacceptable restriction on trade union activities and, as such, a grave violation of freedom of association.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2758, para. 1399; and 368th Report, Case No. 2758, para. 130.)

263. While the imposition of general censorship is primarily a matter that relates to civil liberties rather than to trade union rights, the censorship of the press during an industrial dispute may have a direct effect on the conduct of the dispute and may prejudice the parties by not allowing the true facts surrounding the dispute to become known.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 168.)

C. Publications of a political character

264. When issuing their publications, trade union organizations should have regard, in the interests of the development of the trade union movement, to the principles enunciated by the International Labour Conference at its 35th Session (1952) for the protection of the freedom and independence of the trade union movement and the safeguarding of its fundamental task, which is to ensure the social and economic well-being of all workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 169.)

265. In a case in which a trade union newspaper, in its allusions and accusations against the government, seemed to have exceeded the admissible limits of controversy, the Committee pointed out that trade union publications should refrain from extravagance of language. The primary role of publications of this type should be to deal with matters essentially relating to the defence and furtherance of the interests of the unions' members in particular and with labour questions in general. The Committee, nevertheless, recognized that it is difficult to draw a clear distinction between what is political and what is strictly trade union in character. It pointed out that these two notions overlap, and it is inevitable and sometimes normal for trade union publications to take a stand on questions having political aspects, as well as on strictly economic or social questions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 170; and 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para. 645.)

266. In a case where the distribution of all the publications of a trade union organization was prohibited, the Committee suggested that the order in question be re-examined in the light of the principle that trade union organizations should have the right to distribute the publications in which their programme is formulated, and so as to distinguish between those trade union publications which deal with problems normally regarded as falling directly or indirectly within the competence of trade unions and those which are obviously political or anti-national in character.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 171.)

F. Seizure of publications

267. The confiscation of May Day propaganda material or other trade union publications may constitute a serious interference by the authorities in trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 172.)

268. The attitude adopted by the authorities in systematically seizing a trade union newspaper does not seem to be compatible with the principle that the right to express opinions through the press or otherwise is one of the essential aspects of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 173.)

Freedom of speech at the International Labour Conference

269. The Committee has pointed out that delegates of workers' and employers' organizations to the International Labour Conference deal, in their speeches, with questions which are of direct or indirect concern to the ILO. The functioning of the Conference would risk being considerably hampered and the freedom of speech of the workers' and employers' delegates paralysed if they were to be threatened with criminal prosecution based, directly or indirectly, on the contents of their speeches at the Conference. Article 40 of the Constitution of the Organization provides that delegates to the Conference shall enjoy such "immunities as are necessary for the independent exercise of their functions in connection with the Organisation". The right of delegates to the Conference to express freely their point of view on questions within the competence of the Organization implies that delegates of employers' and workers' organizations have the right to inform their members in their respective countries of their speeches. The arrest and sentencing of a delegate following a speech to the Conference jeopardize freedom of speech for delegates as well as the immunities they should enjoy in this regard.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 174.)

Protection against disclosure of information on the membership and activities of organizations

270. Tampering with correspondence is an offence which is incompatible with the free exercise of trade union rights and civil liberties; the International Labour Conference in its 1970 resolution on trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties stated that particular attention should be given to the right to the inviolability of correspondence and telephonic conversations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 175.)

271. In one case where it was alleged that the military police had sent out a questionnaire to undertakings in which it was asked whether there were any natural leaders among the employees, strike instigators, trade union delegates or workers' organizations in the undertaking, the Committee considered that such an inquiry could involve a risk of being put to improper use by the military authorities or the police in the event of a labour dispute. For example, workers might be taken into custody simply because they were on a list of persons thus established, even though they had not committee any offence. The Committee also considered that, because of the atmosphere of mistrust that it created, such a procedure was hardly favourable for the development of harmonious industrial relations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 176.)

272. The confidentiality of trade union membership should be ensured. A code of conduct should be established between trade unions, governing the conditions in which membership data is to be supplied, through appropriate means of personal data processing, with guarantees of absolute confidentiality.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 2411, para. 1394.)

273. The establishment of a register containing data on trade union members does not respect rights of the person (including privacy rights) and such a register may be used to compile blacklists of workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 177; 357th Report, Case No. 2711, para. 1188; and 374th Report, Case No. 2946, para. 243.)

274. The police should abstain from any declaration which might damage the reputation of a trade union as long as the matters in question have not been confirmed by the judicial authorities.

(See 335th Report, Case No. 2304, para. 1018; and 376th Report, Case No. 2304, para. 51.)

Protection of trade union and employers organizations' premises and property

275. It is stated in the resolution on trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, adopted by the International Labour Conference at its 54th Session (1970), that the right to adequate protection of trade union property is one of those civil liberties which are essential for the normal exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 183; 343rd Report, Case No. 2426, para. 280; and 344th Report, Case No.2476, para. 458.)

276. The Committee recalls that the inviolability of trade union premises and property, including its mail, is a civil liberty which is essential to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 178; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 787, Case No. 2528, para. 1459; 349th Report, Case No. 2561, para. 379; 350th Report, Case No. 2476, para. 312; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1332, Case No. 2598, para. 1354; 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1104; 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1125; 356th Report, Case No. 2476, para. 38; 357th Report, Case No. 2713, para. 1100; 358th Report, Case No. 2726, para. 216; 359th Report, Case No. 2753, para. 410; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 391; 367th Report, Case No. 2913, para. 807; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 843.)

277. The Committee has drawn attention to the importance of the principle that the property of trade unions should enjoy adequate protection.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 189; 343rd Report, Case No. 2381, para. 135; 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1173; and 357th Report, Case No. 2748, para. 1061.)

278. The occupation of trade union premises by the security forces, without a court warrant authorizing such occupation, is a serious interference by the authorities in trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 179; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1332, Case No. 2598, para. 1354; and 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1104.)

279. The right of the inviolability of the premises of organizations of workers and employers also necessarily implies that the public authorities may not insist on entering such premises without prior authorization or without having obtained a legal warrant to do so.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 180; 354th Report, Case No.2476, para. 286; and 357th Report, Case No. 2713, para. 1100.)

280. The entry by police or military forces into trade union premises without a judicial warrant constitutes a serious and unjustifiable interference in trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 181; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 787; 348th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 678; and 350th Report, Case No.2476, para. 312.)

281. Any search of trade union premises, or of unionists' homes, without a court order constitutes an extremely serious infringement of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 182; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 837.)

282. When examining allegations of attacks carried out against trade union premises and threats against trade unionists, the Committee has recalled that activities of this kind create among trade unionists a climate of fear which is extremely prejudicial to the exercise of trade union activities and that the authorities, when informed of such matters, should carry out an immediate investigation to determine who is responsible and punish the guilty parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 184; 346th Report, Case No. 2482, para. 1094; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1332; 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1104; and 358th Report, Case No. 2726, para. 216, Case No. 2740, para. 659.)

283. Searches of trade union premises should be made only following the issue of a warrant by the ordinary judicial authority where that authority is satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for supposing that evidence exists on the premises material to a prosecution for a penal offence and on condition that the search be restricted to the purpose in respect of which the warrant was issued.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 185; 348th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 678; and 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1125.)

284. If trade union premises are used as a refuge by persons who have committed serious crimes, or as a meeting place for a political organization, the trade unions concerned cannot claim any immunity against the entry of the authorities into these premises.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 186.)

285. Even if police intervention in trade union premises may be justified in particularly serious circumstances, such intervention should in no case entail the ransacking of the premises and archives of an organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 187.)

286. The burglary of trade union headquarters and theft from trade union organizations or trade unionists require that judicial investigations be promptly carried out in order to clarify fully as soon as possible the events and the circumstances in which they occurred, so as to be able to identify, to the extent possible, those responsible, to determine the motives of the offences, to punish those responsible, to prevent the repetition of such acts and to make possible the recovery of the stolen property.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2482, para. 1095.)

287. The occupation or sealing of trade union premises should be subject to independent judicial review before being undertaken by the authorities in view of the significant risk that such measures may paralyse trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 188.)

288. The confiscation of trade union property by the authorities, without a court order, constitutes an infringement of the right of trade unions to own property and undue interference in trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 190; 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1173; 357th Report, Case No. 2748, para. 1061; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 844.)

289. A climate of violence, in which attacks are made against trade union premises and property, constitutes serious interference with the exercise of trade union rights; such situations call for severe measures to be taken by the authorities, and in particular the arraignment of those presumed to be responsible before an independent judicial authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 191; 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1124; 372nd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 733; and 374th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 908.)

290. The access of trade union members to their union premises should not be restricted by the state authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 192; 359th Report, Case No. 2753, para. 410; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 391; and 367th Report, Case No. 2913, para. 807.)

291. The access of trade union members to their union premises should not be restricted by the enterprise.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1173; and 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 675.)

292. The closure of trade union offices, as a consequence of a 45-minute protest organized during lunch break, constitutes a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 768.)

Confiscation and occupation of property

293. Acts of confiscation and occupation of property of leaders of employers' or workers' organizations are contrary to freedom of association if they are taken as a consequence of their activities as representatives of such organizations.

(See 372nd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 743.)

294. It is important to take every measure to avoid any kind of discretion or discrimination in the legal mechanisms governing the expropriation or recovery of land, or other mechanisms that affect the right to own property.

(See 372nd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 744.)

295. In a case concerning the expropriation of land and assets of the leaders of a central employers' organization in the context of an agrarian reform, the Committee, while realising that the persons in question cannot take advantage of their position as employers' leaders to evade the consequences of an agrarian reform policy, noted

with concern that these measures had allegedly affected a large number of leaders of the employers' organisation in a discriminatory fashion and expressed the hope that the persons in question would be fairly compensated for their losses.

(See 255th Report, Case No. 1344, para. 55.)

296. So-called "land recovery measures" applied against an employers' leader can have an intimidating effect on employers' leaders and their organizations and limit the free exercise of their activities, in violation of Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1542.)

297. With regard to the confiscation of the land of employers' leaders, the Committee was convinced, in the light of information gathered during a direct contacts mission, that the actual possibilities for the persons concerned of appealing against these measures to the courts were relatively limited and that there was either no compensation for these confiscations (in the case of land that was unused, unprofitable or abandoned), or inadequate compensation (the issue of agrarian reform "bonds"). The Committee therefore considered that all the provisions concerning compensation for land expropriation should be reviewed to make sure that there was real and fair compensation for the losses thus sustained by the owners, and that the Government should reopen the compensation files if so requested by persons who considered they had been despoiled in the agrarian reform process.

(See 261st Report, Case No. 1454, para. 29.)

State of emergency and the exercise of trade union and employers' organizations' rights

298. The Committee on Freedom of Association has recalled that the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has emphasized that the freedom of association Conventions do not contain any provision permitting derogation from the obligations arising under the Convention, or any suspension of their application, based on a plea that an emergency exists.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 193; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1453; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1205; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1145; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 839.)

299. In cases of repeated renewals of the state of emergency, the Committee has pointed out that the resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, adopted by the International Labour Conference in 1970, states that "the rights conferred upon workers' and employers' organizations must be based on respect for (...) civil liberties (...) and that the absence of these civil liberties removes all meaning from the concept of trade union rights".

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 194; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 839.)

300. When a state of emergency has continued over a period of several years, entailing serious restrictions on trade union rights and civil liberties that are essential for the exercise of such rights, the Committee has considered that it is necessary to safeguard the exercise specifically of trade union rights such as the establishment of employers' and workers' organizations, the right to hold trade union meetings in trade union premises and the right to strike in non-essential services.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 195.)

301. The enactment of emergency regulations which empower the government to place restrictions on the organization of public meetings and which are applicable not only to public trade union meetings, but also to all public meetings, and which are occasioned by events which the government considered so serious as to call for the declaration of a state of emergency, does not in itself constitute a violation of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 196; and 340th Report, Case No. 2412, para. 1133.)

302. Where restrictions imposed by a revolutionary government on certain publications during a period of emergency appeared mainly to have been imposed for reasons of a general political character, the Committee, while taking account of the exceptional nature of these measures, drew the attention of the government to the importance of ensuring respect for the freedom of trade union publications.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 197.)

303. Restrictions on the right to strike and on freedom of expression imposed in the context of an attempted coup d'état against the constitutional government, which gave rise to a state of emergency called in accordance with the constitution, do not violate freedom of association on the grounds that such restrictions are justified in the event of an acute national emergency.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 198.)

304. Any measures of suspension or dissolution by administrative authority, when taken during an emergency situation, should be accompanied by normal judicial safeguards, including the right of appeal to the courts against such dissolution or suspension.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 199.)

305. In a case in which emergency measures had been extended over many years, the Committee pointed out that martial law was incompatible with the full exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 200.)

306. Emergency legislation aimed at anti-social disruptive elements should not be applied against workers for exercising their legitimate trade union rights.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 201.)
```

307. As regards countries which are in a state of political crisis or have just undergone grave disturbances (civil war, revolution, etc.), the Committee has considered it necessary, when examining the various measures taken by governments, including some against trade union organizations, to take account of such exceptional circumstances when examining the merits of the allegations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 202.)

308. In cases in which actions were taken under a constitutional provision made for a state of emergency and special provisions were adopted against terrorism, although the Committee is aware of the serious situation of violence which may affect a country, it has to point out that as far as possible recourse should be made to the provisions of the ordinary law rather than emergency measures which are liable, by their very nature, to involve certain restrictions on fundamental rights.

(See 56th Report, Case No. 216, para. 157; and 294th Report, Case No. 1689, para 301.)

309. The Committee would also recall that where a state of emergency exists, it is desirable that the Government in its relations with occupational organizations and their representatives, should rely, as far as possible, on the ordinary law rather than on emergency measures which are liable, by their very nature, to involve certain restrictions on fundamental rights.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 2412, para. 1133)

310. If a revolutionary government suspends constitutional safeguards, this may constitute serious interference by the authorities in trade union affairs, contrary to Article 3 of Convention No. 87, except where such measures are necessary because the organizations concerned have diverged from their trade union objectives and have defied the law. In any case, such measures should be accompanied by adequate judicial guarantees that may be invoked within a reasonable time.

(See 131st Report, Cases Nos. 626 and 659, para. 113.)

Questions of a political nature affecting trade union and employers' organizations' rights

311. It is important to distinguish between the evolution of a country's political institutions and matters relating to the exercise of freedom of association, if, as was emphasized by the International Labour Conference in 1970 in the resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, respect for freedom of association is closely bound up with respect for civil liberties. In general, workers' and employers' organizations nevertheless have their own specific functions to perform, irrespective of the country's political system.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 205.)

312. Measures which, although of a political nature and not intended to restrict trade union rights as such, may nevertheless be applied in such a manner as to affect the exercise of such rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 206; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 552; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 832.)

313. As stated by the International Labour Conference in 1970, although respect for freedom of association is closely bound up with respect for civil liberties in general, it is nevertheless important to distinguish between the recognition of freedom of association and questions relating to a country's political evolution.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 207.)

314. Political matters which do not impair the exercise of freedom of association are outside the competence of the Committee. The Committee is not competent to deal with a complaint that is based on subversive acts, and it is likewise incompetent to deal with political matters that may be referred to in a government's reply.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 208; and 350th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1101.)

Right of workers and employers without distinction whatsoever, to establish and to join organizations

General principle

315. Article 2 of Convention No. 87 is designed to give expression to the principle of non-discrimination in trade union matters, and the words "without distinction whatsoever" used in this Article mean that freedom of association should be guaranteed without discrimination of any kind based on occupation, sex, colour, race, beliefs, nationality, political opinion, etc., not only to workers in the private sector of the economy, but also to civil servants and public service employees in general.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 209; 353rd Report, Case No. 2625, para. 961, Case No. 2637, para. 1051; 362nd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 595; 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 302; 367th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 553; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 841; 374th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 301; and 378th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 69.)

Distinctions based on race, political opinion or nationality

316. A law which prohibits African workers from establishing trade unions which can be registered and participate in industrial councils for the purpose of negotiating agreements and settling disputes constitutes a form of discrimination which is inconsistent with the principle accepted in the majority of countries, and embodied in Convention No. 87, that workers without distinction whatsoever should have the right to establish and, subject only to the rules of the organization concerned, to join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization. It is also inconsistent with the principle that all workers' organizations should enjoy the right of collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 210.)

317. The prohibition of registration of mixed trade unions (consisting of workers of different races) is not compatible with the generally accepted principle that workers, without distinction whatsoever, should have the right to establish and, subject only to the rules of the organizations concerned, to join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 211.)

318. Workers should have the right, without distinction whatsoever, in particular without discrimination on the basis of political opinion, to join the organization of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 212; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1453; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1205; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1145; and 378th Report, Case No. 3119, para. 668.)

319. Workers should have the right to establish the organizations that they consider necessary in a climate of complete security irrespective of whether or not they support the social and economic model of the Government, including the political model of the country.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 213; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1453; 351st Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1205; 355th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 702; 356th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1145; and 378th Report, Case No. 3119, para. 668.)

320. Referring to Article 2 of Convention No. 87, the Committee recalls that on numerous occasions it has interpreted the right of freedom of association to include migrant workers.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2637, para. 1051; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2637, para. 90.)

321. The Committee has emphasized the importance of guaranteeing the right of migrant workers, both documented and undocumented, to organize.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 706.)

322. The right of workers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish and join organizations of their own choosing, without previous authorization, implies that anyone legally residing in the country benefits from trade union rights, including the right to vote, without any distinction based on nationality.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 842; and 378th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 69.)

323. With regard to the denial of the right to organize to migrant workers in an irregular situation, the Committee recalled that all workers, with the sole exception of the armed forces and the police, are covered by Convention No. 87, and it therefore requested the Government to take the terms of Article 2 of Convention No. 87 into account in the legislation in question.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 214; 353rd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 788; 355th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 705; 358th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 458; 362nd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 595; 367th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 553; and 371st Report, Case No. 2620, para. 252.)

324. The Committee recalled the resolution concerning a fair deal for migrant workers in a global economy adopted by the ILO Conference at its 92nd Session (2004) according to which "[a]ll migrant workers also benefit from the protection offered by the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and its Follow-up (1998). In addition, the eight core ILO Conventions regarding freedom of association and the right to bargain collectively, non-discrimination in employment

and occupation, the prohibition of forced labour and the elimination of child labour, cover all migrant workers, regardless of status" [para. 12].

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 788; 355th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 705; 358th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 458; and 371st Report, Case No. 2620, para. 252 and Case No. 2988, para. 842.)

325. With regard to the granting of trade union rights to aliens, the requirement of reciprocity is not acceptable under Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 215.)

Distinctions based on the nature of the contract

326. The Committee referred to the findings of the General Survey of the Committee of Experts on the fundamental Conventions on labour rights in the light of the ILO Declaration on Social Justice for a Fair Globalization, paragraph 935, in which it is indicated that: "the Committee observes that one of the main concerns expressed by trade union organizations is the adverse impact of insecure forms of employment on trade union rights and the protection of workers' rights, especially in the case of repeatedly renewed short-term temporary contracts; outsourcing, which is used even by some governments in their own public services to perform legally mandated ongoing tasks; and the non-renewal of contracts for anti-union reasons. Some of these modalities often deprive workers of access to freedom of association and collective bargaining, especially when they conceal a genuine and ongoing labour relationship. Some forms of job insecurity can also deter workers from joining trade unions. The Committee wishes to emphasize the importance of examining, within a tripartite framework, the impact of these forms of employment on the exercise of trade union rights in all member States."

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2899, para. 572.)

327. All workers must be able to enjoy the right to freedom of association regardless of the type of contract by which the employment relationship has been formalized.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 560.)

328. The status under which workers are engaged with the employer should not have any effect on their right to join workers' organizations and participate in their activities.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2556, para. 754; 378th Report, Case No. 2824, para. 158.)

329. All workers, regardless of their status, should be guaranteed their freedom of association rights so as to avoid the possibility of having their precarious situation taken advantage of.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 706.)

330. The criterion for determining the persons covered by the right to organize is not based on the existence of an employment relationship. Workers who do not have employment contracts should have the right to form the organizations of their choosing if they so wish.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2498, para. 735; 353rd Report, Case No. 2498, para. 557; and 354th Report, Case No. 2560, para. 439.)

331. All workers employed in agri-food enterprises, irrespective of the type of their employment relationship with those enterprises, should have the right to join the trade union organizations representing the interests of the workers in that sector.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 2824, para. 158.)

Distinctions based on occupational category

A. General principles

332. All workers, without distinction whatsoever, including without discrimination in regard to occupation, should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 216; 349th Report, Case No. 2524, para. 854; 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 801; 353rd Report, Case No. 2620, para. 788; 355th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 705; 358th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 458; 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 305, Case No. 2848, para. 425; 371st Report, Case No. 2620, para. 252, Case No. 2988, para. 841; and 373rd Report, Case No. 3048, para. 424.)

333. To establish a limited list of occupations with a view to recognizing the right to associate would be contrary to the principle that workers, without distinction whatsoever, should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 217.)

B. Public servants

334. The standards contained in Convention No. 87 apply to all workers "without distinction whatsoever", and are therefore applicable to employees of the State. It was indeed considered inequitable to draw any distinction in trade union matters between workers in the private sector and public servants, since workers in both categories should have the right to organize for the defence of their interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 218; 348th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 675; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 840; and 370th Report, Case No. 2926, para. 385 and Case No. 2961, para. 488.)

335. Article 2 of Convention No. 87 stipulates that workers, without distinction whatsoever, shall have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing. This implies that public administration workers should also enjoy the same right.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2363, para. 89.)

336. Public servants, like all other workers, without distinction whatsoever, have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing, without previous authorization, for the promotion and defence of their occupational interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 219; 340th Report, Case No. 2412, para. 1140; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 741; 347th Report, Case No. 2537, para. 19; 353rd Report, Case No. 2650, para. 418, Case No. 1865, para. 698; 357th Report, Case No. 2707, para. 397; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 388; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 841; and 377th Report, Case No. 3064, para. 210.)

337. Public employees (with the sole possible exception of the armed forces and the police, by virtue of Article 9 of Convention No. 87) should, like workers in the private sector, be able to establish organizations of their own choosing to further and defend the interests of their members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 220; 340th Report, Case No. 2433, para. 323, Case No. 2431, para. 922; 343rd Report, Case No. 2430, para. 360; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 741; 348th Report, Case No. 2433, para. 48, Case No. 2516, para. 675; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 698; 354th Report, Case No. 2433, para. 18; 357th Report, Case No. 2707, para. 397; 363rd Report, Case No. 2892, para. 1152; 367th Report, Case No. 2892, para. 1236; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 841.)

338. In view of the importance of the right of employees of the State and local authorities to constitute and register trade unions, the prohibition of the right of association for workers in the service of the State is incompatible with the generally accepted principle that workers, without distinction whatsoever, should have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 221.)

339. The denial of the right of workers in the public sector to set up trade unions, where this right is enjoyed by workers in the private sector, with the result that their "associations" do not enjoy the same advantages and privileges as "trade unions", involves discrimination as regards government-employed workers and their organizations as compared with private sector workers and their organizations. Such a situation gives rise to the question of compatibility of these distinctions with Article 2 of Convention No. 87, according to which workers "without distinction whatsoever" shall have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization, as well as with Articles 3 and 8, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 222; 355th Report, Case No. 2680, para. 887; 360th Report, Case No. 2680, para. 59; 363rd Report, Case No. 2680, para. 154; and 367th Report, Case No. 2680, para. 65.)

340. There are no grounds for challenging the validity of special legal regulations which govern public servants' right to organize in so far as such regulations comply with the provisions of Convention No. 87

(See 371st Report, Case No. 3031, para. 637.)

341. The existence of a dispute settlement mechanism cannot justify the denial to government employees of the right to organize.

(See 367th Report, Case No. 2680, para. 65.)

342. The transfer of public sector workers from a private law system to a public law system is not problematic per se, as long as it respects the principles of freedom of association and collective bargaining.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2970, para. 466.)

343. The Committee has underlined the need for a Government to recognize the right to organize of workers who are hired by the State on the basis of civil contracts for professional services.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2768, para. 641; and 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 532.)

(a) Members of the armed forces and the police

344. The members of the armed forces who can be excluded from the application of Convention No. 87 should be defined in a restrictive manner.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 223; and 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1027.)

345. Article 9, paragraph 1, of Convention No. 87 provides that "the extent to which the guarantees provided for in this Convention shall apply to the armed forces and the police shall be determined by national laws or regulations"; under this provision, it is clear that the International Labour Conference intended to leave it to each State to decide on the extent to which it was desirable to grant members of the armed forces and of the police the rights covered by the Convention, which means that States having ratified the Convention are not required to grant these rights to the said categories of persons.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 224; 357th Report, Case No. 2738, para. 1134; 368th Report, Case No. 2943, para. 758; and 374th Report, Case No. 3073, para. 501.)

346. The fact that Article 9, paragraph 1, of Convention No. 87 stipulates that the extent to which the guarantees provided for in the Convention shall apply to the armed forces and the police shall be determined by national laws and regulations cannot warrant the assumption that any limitations or exclusions imposed by the legislation of a State as regards the trade union rights of the armed forces and the police are contrary to the Convention; this is a matter which has been left to the discretion of the Members States of the ILO.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 225.)

347. Article 2 of Convention No. 87 provides that workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, shall have the right to establish and to join organizations of their own choosing. While Article 9 of the Convention does authorize exceptions to the scope of its provisions for the police and the armed forces, the Committee would recall that the members of the armed forces who can be excluded should be defined in a restrictive manner. Furthermore, the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has observed that, since this Article of the Convention provides only for exceptions to the general principle, workers should be considered as civilians in case of doubt.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 226.)

(b) Civilian staff in the armed forces

348. Civilian workers in the manufacturing establishments of the armed forces should have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization, in conformity with Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 227; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1027; 348th Report, Case No. 2520, para. 1032; 349th Report, Case No. 2520, para. 206; 353rd Report, Case No. 2520, para. 188; and 355th Report, Case No. 2520, para. 111.)

349. The civilian staff working at the Army Bank should enjoy the right to establish and join trade union organizations, and adequate protection against acts of anti-union discrimination, in the same way as other trade union members and leaders in the country.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 228.)

350. Civilians working in the services of the army should have the right to form trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 229; 344th Report, Case No. 2273, para. 147, Case No. 2454, para. 1065; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 841.)

(c) Staff of the judiciary

351. A provision that denies the right to set up trade unions to judges and public prosecutors is contrary to the principles of freedom of association as laid down in the relevant Conventions, according to which workers "without distinction whatsoever" shall have the right to establish and join organizations "of their own choosing" without previous authorization.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2892, para. 933.)

352. Judges, like all other workers, should benefit from the right to freedom of association.

(See 377th Report, Case No. 3064, para. 210.)

(d) Local public service employees

353. Local public service employees should be able effectively to establish organizations of their own choosing, and these organizations should enjoy the full right to further and defend the interests of the workers whom they represent.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 230; and 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 772.)

(e) Firefighters

354. The functions exercised by firefighters do not justify their exclusion from the right to organize. They should therefore enjoy the right to organize.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 231; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 741; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 698; and 373rd Report, Case No. 3035, para. 377.)

355. The right of firefighters to form and join organizations of their own choosing should also be guaranteed (although the right to collective action may be subject to restrictions or a prohibition).

(See 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 751.)

356. Firefighters should be afforded the right under Article 2 of Convention No. 87 to establish and join the organization of their own choosing, including the right to be able to form or join higher-level organizations with a membership that is no longer restricted but may also encompass firefighters covered by general labour law.

(See 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778.)

(f) Prison staff

357. Prison staff should enjoy the right to organize.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 232; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1027; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 741; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 698; 355th Report, Case No. 2617, para. 503; and 368th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 469.)

(g) Customs officials, excise officers and employees of immigration services

358. Customs officials are covered by Convention No. 87 and therefore have the right to organize.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 233; and 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1027.)

359. The functions exercised by employees of customs and excise, immigration, prison and preventive services should not justify their exclusion from the right to organize on the basis of Article 9 of Convention No. 87.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1027.)

(h) Employees in the labour inspectorate

360. The denial of the right to organize to workers in the labour inspectorate constitutes a violation of Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 234; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 741; and 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 698.)

(i) Teachers

361. Teachers should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing, without previous authorization, for the promotion and defence of their occupational interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 235; 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 801; and 357th Report, Case No. 2707, para. 397.)

362. Teachers, like all other workers, should benefit from the right to freedom of association.

(See 377th Report, Case No. 3064, para. 210.)

363. With regard to the instructors governed by contracts for the provision of services, the Committee considered that since Convention No. 87 only allows exclusion from its scope of the armed forces and the police, the instructors in question should be able to establish, and join, organizations of their own choosing.

(See 326th Report, Case No. 2013, para 416.)

364. Workers in public or private universities shall have the right to establish organizations and to join them.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2677, para. 79.)

365. Teaching and research assistants in so far as they are workers should be ensured full protection of their right to organize.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 801.)

366. The Committee requested a government to take measures to repeal a provision of the Universities Act which empowered the employer to determine the persons who could be members of academic staff associations. The Committee also recommended that consideration be given to the possibility of introducing an independent system for the designation, where necessary, of academic staff members, either through third party arbitration or some form of informal machinery.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 237.)

(j) Personnel in embassies

367. Conventions No. 87 and No. 98 are applicable to locally recruited personnel in embassies.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 238.)

368. The duty to apply the principles of freedom of association extends to embassies, consulates and other offices, as an integral part of the public administration. Even if the Committee were to accept a Government's argument that ILO Conventions were not applicable to embassies because they do not form part of its territory, it considers that this argument does not apply to the fundamental principles of freedom of association, respect for which it has been mandated to promote.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2437, para. 1312.)

(k) High-ranking public servants

369. The total exclusion from the legislation of high-ranking public servants is a violation of their fundamental right to organize. Therefore, it is necessary to ensure that such public servants obtain the right to form their own associations to defend their interests and that this category of staff is not defined so broadly as to weaken the organizations of other public employees.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 1865, paras. 751 and 752.)

370. As concerns persons exercising senior managerial or policy-making responsibilities, the Committee is of the opinion that while these public servants may be barred from joining trade unions which represent other workers, such restrictions should be strictly limited to this category of workers and they should be entitled to establish their own organizations to defend their interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 253; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 741; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 698; and 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 121.)

371. The exclusion found in Convention No. 151 with regard to policy decision-makers or high-ranking public officials relates to the issue of collective bargaining and not to the right to organize which should be guaranteed to all public officials without distinction.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 741.)

C. Security agents

372. Private security agents should freely be able to establish trade union organizations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 239; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2423, para. 482.)

373. A national constitution should not have the effect of denying the right to organize of workers who need to carry arms because of the nature of their work.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 240; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2423, para. 482.)

D. Agricultural workers

374. Agricultural workers should enjoy the right to organize.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 241; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 841.)

375. Legislation which lays down that not less than 60 per cent of the members of a trade union must be literate is incompatible with the principle established in Convention No. 87 that workers, without distinction whatsoever, shall have the right to establish organizations of their choosing. Article 1 of Convention No. 11 confirms this principle and lays down that each Member of the International Labour Organization which ratifies this Convention undertakes to secure to all those engaged in agriculture the same rights of association and combination as to industrial workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 242.)

E. Plantation workers

376. In the resolution adopted by the Plantations Committee at its First Session in 1950, it is provided that employers should remove existing hindrances, if any, in the way of the organization of free, independent and democratically controlled trade unions by plantation workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 243.)

F. Air and maritime transport workers

377. Air and maritime transport workers, like all other workers, should benefit from the right to freedom of association.

(See 377th Report, Case No. 3064, para. 210.)

378. The prohibition of trade union activities in international airlines constitutes a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 244.)

G. Port workers

379. In one case where the port employees of a country were, by custom and agreement, classified as government officials and were therefore outside the coverage of the Trade Unions Act, and the government had considered that Convention No. 87 (ratified by the country concerned) did not apply to them, the Committee pointed out that the government had assumed an international obligation to apply the Convention to workers "without distinction whatsoever", and that in these circumstances the provisions of the Convention could not be modified as regards particular categories of workers because of any private or national agreement, custom or other arrangement between such categories of workers and the government.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 245.)

H. Hospital personnel

380. The right to establish and to join organizations for the promotion and defence of workers' interests without previous authorization is a fundamental right which should be enjoyed by all workers without distinction whatsoever, including hospital personnel.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 246; and 367th Report, Case No. 2885, para. 383.)

I. Managerial and supervisory staff

381. It is not necessarily incompatible with the requirements of Article 2 of Convention No. 87 to deny managerial or supervisory employees the right to belong to the same trade unions as other workers, on condition that two requirements are met: first, that such workers have the right to establish their own associations to defend their interests and, second, that the categories of such staff are not defined so broadly as to weaken the organizations of other workers in the enterprise or branch of activity by depriving them of a substantial proportion of their present or potential membership.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 247; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 741; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 698; 356th Report, Case No. 2717, para. 841; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 574; and 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 559.)

382. As regards provisions which prohibit supervisory employees from joining workers' organizations, the Committee has taken the view that the expression "supervisors" should be limited to cover only those persons who genuinely represent the interests of employers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 248; 349th Report, Case No. 2524, para. 854; and 356th Report, Case No. 2717, para. 841.)

383. Limiting the definition of managerial staff to persons who have the authority to appoint or dismiss is sufficiently restrictive to meet the condition that these categories of staff are not defined too broadly.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 249; 349th Report, Case No. 2524, para. 854; 356th Report, Case No. 2717, para. 841; and 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 574.)

384. A reference in the definition of managerial staff to the exercise of disciplinary control over workers could give rise to an expansive interpretation which would exclude large numbers of workers from workers' rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 250; 349th Report, Case No. 2524, para. 854; and 356th Report, Case No. 2717, para. 841.)

385. An excessively broad interpretation of the concept of "worker of confidence", which denies such workers their right of association, may seriously limit trade union rights and even, in small enterprises, prevent the establishment of trade unions, which is contrary to the principle of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 251; 356th Report, Case No. 2717, para. 841; and 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 536.)

386. Legal provisions which permit employers to undermine workers' organizations through artificial promotions of workers constitute a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 252.)

J. Self-employed workers and the liberal professions

387. By virtue of the principles of freedom of association, all workers – with the sole exception of members of the armed forces and the police – should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing. The criterion for determining the persons covered by that right, therefore, is not based on the existence of an employment relationship, which is often non-existent, for example in the case of agricultural workers, self-employed workers in general or those who practise liberal professions, who should nevertheless enjoy the right to organize.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 254; 342nd Report, Case No. 2423, para. 479; 359th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 365, Case No. 2786, para. 453; 360th Report, Case No. 2757, para. 990; 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 461, Case No. 2888, para. 1084; and 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 532.)

388. The Committee requested a government to take the necessary measures to ensure that self-employed workers fully enjoyed freedom of association rights, in particular the right to join the organizations of their own choosing.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2786, para. 349.)

389. It is contrary to Convention No. 87 to prevent trade unions of self-employed workers who are not subordinate to, or dependent on, a person.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2868, para. 1005.)

K. Temporary workers

390. All workers, without distinction whatsoever, whether they are employed on a permanent basis, for a fixed term or as contract employees, should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 255; 342nd Report, Case No. 2423, para. 479; 343rd Report, Case No. 2430, para. 360; 349th Report, Case No. 2556, para. 754; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 671, Case No. 2547, para. 801; 351st Report, Case No. 2556, para. 34, Case No. 2600, para. 572; 353rd Report, Case No. 2637, para. 1051; 355th Report, Case No. 2600, para. 477, Case No. 2602, para. 654; 356th Report, Case No. 2637, para. 84; 357th Report, Case No. 2687, para. 891; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 841.)

L. Workers undergoing a period of work probation

391. Workers undergoing a period of work probation should be able to establish and join organizations of their choosing, if they so wish.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 256; and 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 801.)

392. The denial of the right to organize to workers undergoing a period of work probation could raise problems with regard to the application of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 257; and 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 801.)

M. Workers hired under training contracts

393. Persons hired under training agreements should have the right to organize. (See the 2006 Digest, para. 258; 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 801; 360th Report, Case No. 2757, para. 990; and 365th Report, Case No. 2757, para. 157.)

394. The status under which workers are engaged with the employer, as apprentices or otherwise, should not have any effect on their right to join workers' organizations and participate in their activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 259; 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 801; 360th Report, Case No. 2757, para. 990; and 365th Report, Case No. 2757, para. 157.)

N. Unemployed persons

395. The Committee does not find that granting unemployed persons solely the right to join a trade union and participate in its functioning subject to the rules of the organization concerned is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2888, para. 1085.)

O. Persons working under community participation programmes intended to combat unemployment

396. Persons working under community participation programmes intended to combat unemployment are workers within the meaning of Convention No. 87 and they must have the right to organize, given that they undeniably have collective interests which must be promoted and defended.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 260.)

P. Workers in cooperatives

397. The Promotion of Cooperatives Recommendation, 2002 (No. 193), calls on governments to ensure that cooperatives are not set up or used for non-compliance with labour law or used to establish disguised employment relationships.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 261; and 350th Report, Case No. 2589, para. 948.)

398. Mindful of the particular characteristics of cooperatives, the Committee considers that associated labour cooperatives (whose members are their own bosses) cannot be considered, in law or in fact, as "workers' organizations" within the meaning of Convention No. 87, that is organizations that have as their objective to promote and defend workers' interests. That being so, referring to Article 2 of Convention No. 87 and recalling that the concept of worker means not only salaried worker, but also independent or autonomous worker, the Committee has considered that workers associated in cooperatives should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 262; 342nd Report, Case No. 2448, para. 405; 344th Report, Case No. 2448, para. 818; 349th Report, Case No. 2448, para. 50; 350th Report, Case No. 2362, para. 415; and 354th Report, Case No. 2668, para. 679.)

399. The Committee cannot cease consideration of the special situation of workers with regard to cooperatives, in particular as concerns the protection of their labour interests and considers that such workers should enjoy the right to join or form trade unions in order to defend those interests.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2448, para. 405; and 348th Report, Case No. 2237, para. 76.)

400. The Committee requested a government to ensure that cooperatives were not used as a means of preventing workers from exercising trade union rights, in

particular by publicizing among both members and workers of cooperatives the rights and obligations of both.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2237, para. 76.)

Q. Distributors, sales agents and subcontracted workers

401. The Committee requested a government to develop, in consultation with the social partners concerned, appropriate mechanisms, including an agreed process for dialogue determined in advance, aimed at strengthening the protection of subcontracted/agency workers' rights to freedom of association and collective bargaining, thus preventing any abuse of subcontracting as a way to evade in practice the exercise by these workers of their fundamental rights.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 457.)

402. The Committee does not have the competence to express an opinion concerning the legal relationship (labour or commercial) of certain distributors and sales agents of an enterprise including on the question of whether the absence of a recognized employment relationship implies that they are not covered by the Labour Act. Nevertheless, in view of the fact that Convention No. 87 permits the exclusion only of the armed forces and the police, the sales agents in question should be able to establish organizations of their own choosing (Convention No. 87, Article 2).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 263.)

R. Workers in export processing zones

403. Workers in export processing zones – despite the economic arguments often put forward – like other workers, without distinction whatsoever, should enjoy the trade union rights provided for by the freedom of association Conventions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 264; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1446; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1056; 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 995; 370th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 673; and 371st Report, Case No. 2908, para. 290.)

404. The Committee recalled that the standards contained in Convention. No 87 apply to all workers "without distinction whatsoever" and that the ILO Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy provides that special incentives to attract foreign investment should not include any limitation of the workers' freedom of association or the right to organize and bargain collectively and thus considered that legislation concerning export processing zones should ensure these rights.

(See 333rd Report, Case No. 2281, para. 636.)

405. The ILO Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy provides that special incentives to attract foreign investment should not include any limitation of the workers' freedom of association or the right

to organize and bargain collectively. The Committee considers that legal provisions on export processing zones should ensure the right to organize and bargain collectively for workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 266; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1052; and 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 982.)

S. Domestic workers

406. Domestic workers are not excluded from the application of Convention No. 87 and should therefore be governed by the guarantees it affords and have the right to establish and join occupational organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 267; 353rd Report, Case No. 2637, para. 1051; 356th Report, Case No. 2637, para. 84; 362nd Report, Case No. 2637, para. 90; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 841.)

407. Domestic workers, like all other workers, should benefit from the right to freedom of association.

(See 377th Report, Case No. 3064, para. 210.)

T. Home-based workers

408. Home-based workers are not excluded from the application of Convention No. 87 and should therefore be governed by the guarantees it affords and have the right to establish and join occupational organizations.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2888, para. 1085.)

409. Persons working from home in the social services, health and childcare sectors should be able to enjoy the provisions of the Labour Code, or enjoy genuinely equivalent rights.

(See 340th Report, Cases Nos. 2314 and 2333, paras. 420 and 423.)

U. Workers who have been dismissed

410. A provision depriving dismissed workers of the right to union membership is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association since it deprives the persons concerned of joining the organization of their choice. Such a provision entails the risk of acts of anti-union discrimination being carried out to the extent that the dismissal of trade union activists would prevent them from continuing their trade union activities within their organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 268; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 761; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 720; and 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 575.)

411. The loss of a person's trade union status as a result of dismissal for strike activities is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 269.)

V. Retired workers

412. The Committee does not find that granting retired workers solely the right to join a trade union and participate in its functioning subject to the rules of the organization concerned is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2888, para. 1085.)

413. The right to decide whether or not a trade union should represent retired workers for the defence of their specific interests is a question pertaining to the internal autonomy of all trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 270.)

W. Professional footballers

414. The status of the professional football players as workers is undeniable. It follows that they must be covered by Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 and, hence, that they must enjoy the right to associate in defence of their interests, even if, given the specific characteristics of their work, the football players have deemed it appropriate to form a civil organization rather than a trade union. This fact does nothing to diminish the status of that civil organization as an organization representing football workers.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2481, para. 838.)

X. Workers in small businesses

415. If an exemption for small businesses employing ten or fewer employees from the right to form trade unions was envisaged, this would clearly be in contravention of Article 2 of Convention No. 87, which states that all workers without distinction whatsoever have the right to establish or join an organization of their own choosing.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1541.)

416. The right to organize should not be dependent on the size of the enterprise, or the number of workers employed by it.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 845.)

Distinctions based on age

417. With reference to Article 2 of Convention No. 87, the Committee considers that minor workers should be allowed to form or join trade union organizations of their own choosing.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2448, para. 405.)

Other distinctions

418. The requirement for the establishment of a trade union that workers need to be employees of only one employer is a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 271.)

Right of workers and employers to establish organizations without previous authorization

Requirement of previous authorization

419. The principle of freedom of association would often remain a dead letter if workers and employers were required to obtain any kind of previous authorization to enable them to establish an organization. Such authorization could concern the formation of the trade union organization itself, the need to obtain discretionary approval of the constitution or rules of the organization, or, again, authorization for taking steps prior to the establishment of the organization. This does not mean that the founders of an organization are freed from the duty of observing formalities concerning publicity or other similar formalities which may be prescribed by law. However, such requirements must not be such as to be equivalent in practice to previous authorization that they amount in practice to outright prohibition. Even in cases where registration is optional but where such registration confers on the organization the basic rights enabling it to "further and defend the interests of its members", the fact that the authority competent to effect registration has discretionary power to refuse this formality is not very different from cases in which previous authorization is required.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 272; 357th Report, Case No. 2701, para. 137; 367th Report, Case No. 2944, para. 138, Case No. 2952, para. 876; and 370th Report, Case No. 2961, para. 489.)

420. It is contrary to Convention No. 87 to make the granting of legal personality to a trade union subject to the approval of the President of the Republic.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2868, para. 1005.)

421. A law providing that the right of association is subject to authorization granted by a government department purely in its discretion is incompatible with the principle of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 273; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 388, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778.)

422. The absence of recourse to a judicial authority against any refusal by the Ministry to grant an authorization to establish a trade union violates the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 274.)

Legal formalities for the establishment of organizations

423. In its report to the 1948 International Labour Conference, the Committee on Freedom of Association and Industrial Relations declared that "the States would remain free to provide such formalities in their legislation as appeared appropriate to ensure the normal functioning of occupational organizations". Consequently, the formalities prescribed by national regulations concerning the constitution and functioning of workers' and employers' organizations are compatible with the provisions of that Convention provided, of course, that the provisions in such regulations do not impair the guarantees laid down in Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 275.)

424. Although the founders of a trade union should comply with the formalities prescribed by legislation, these formalities should not be of such a nature as to impair the free establishment of organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 276; 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 360; 344th Report, Case No. 2423, para. 931; 351st Report, Case No. 2622, para. 288; 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778; and 365th Report, Case No. 2840, para. 1057.)

425. A provision stating that workers will not be allowed to establish workers' associations until the expiry of a period of three months following the commencement of commercial production in the concerned unit is contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 87 and should be amended to ensure that the workers in question may establish workers' associations from the beginning of their contractual relationship.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 277.)

426. The Committee considered that if there is grave suspicion that trade union leaders have committed acts which are punishable by law, they should be subject to normal legal proceedings in order to determine the extent of their liability, and that arrest should not in itself constitute an obstacle to the granting of legal personality to the organization concerned.

(See 129th Report, Case No. 514, para 115.)

Requirements for the establishment of organizations

427. The formalities prescribed by law for the establishment of a trade union should not be applied in such a manner as to delay or prevent the establishment of trade union organizations. Any delay caused by authorities in registering a trade union constitutes an infringement of Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 279; 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 360, Case No. 2431, para. 923; 342nd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 624; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1137; 356th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1275; 357th Report, Case No. 2701, para. 137; 359th Report, Case No. 2751, para. 1043; 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 779; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 125; 365th Report, Case No. 2840, para. 1057;

367th Report, Case No. 2944, para. 138; 375th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 39; and 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 535.)

428. If the body responsible for granting legal recognition of organizations considers that there are irregularities in the documentation submitted, an opportunity should be provided to those organizations so that the irregularities may be rectified.

(See 334th Report, Case No. 2282, para. 638; 337th Report, Case No. 2346, para. 1056; 340th Report, Case No. 2393, para. 1059.)

429. National legislation providing that an organization must deposit its rules is compatible with Article 2 of Convention No. 87 if it is merely a formality to ensure that those rules are made public. However, problems may arise when the competent authorities are obliged by law to request the founders of organizations to incorporate in their constitution certain provisions which are not in accord with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 280; 348th Report, Case No. 2450, para. 557; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1136; and 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 125.)

430. Obliging trade union organizations to meet the costs of publishing their statutes in the Official Journal when this involves large amounts of money seriously impedes the free exercise of the right of the workers to establish organizations without previous authorization, thus violating Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See 351st Report, Case No. 2622, para. 288.)

431. Employers' occupational associations should not be restricted by excessively detailed provisions which discourage their establishment, contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 87, which provides that employers, as well as workers, shall have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 281.)

432. The requirement that a trade union shall have a registered office is a normal requirement in a large number of countries.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 282.)

433. Although the requirement for simple formalities for the formation of trade union organizations is compatible with Convention No. 87, it is contrary to Convention No. 87 to demand information from the founders of an organization such as their telephone number, marital status or home address (this indirectly excludes from membership workers with no fixed abode or those who cannot afford to pay for a telephone).

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2868, para. 1005.)

434. The list of members of a trade union given for registration purposes should be kept confidential in order to prevent acts of trade union discrimination.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2734, para. 697.)

Minimum number of members

435. The legally required minimum number of members must not be so high as to hinder in practice the establishment of trade union organizations.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 540.)

436. A minimum requirement of 100 workers to establish unions by branch of activity, occupation or for various occupations must be reduced in consultation with the workers' and employers' organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 283; and 368th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 564.)

437. The establishment of a trade union may be considerably hindered, or even rendered impossible, when legislation fixes the minimum number of members of a trade union at obviously too high a figure, as is the case, for example, where legislation requires that a union must have at least 50 founder members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 284; 359th Report, Case No. 2751, para. 1043; and 376th Report, Case No. 3113, para. 990.)

438. The requirement of 50 public servants for the establishment of a trade union association is excessive.

(359th Report, Case No. 2751, para. 1044.)

439. Even though the minimum number of 30 workers would be acceptable in the case of sectoral trade unions, this minimum number should be reduced in the case of works councils so as not to hinder the establishment of such bodies, particularly when it is taken into account that the country has a very large proportion of small enterprises and that the trade union structure is based on enterprise unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 285.)

440. The legal requirement laid down in the Labour Code for a minimum of 30 workers to establish a trade union should be reduced in order not to hinder the establishment of trade unions at enterprises, especially taking into account the very significant proportion of small enterprises in the country.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 286; 367th Report, Case No. 2909, para. 695; 368th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 564; and 371st Report, Case No. 2928, para. 309.)

441. While a minimum membership requirement is not in itself incompatible with Convention No. 87, the number should be fixed in a reasonable manner so that the establishment of organizations is not hindered. What constitutes a reasonable number may vary according to the particular conditions in which a restriction is imposed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 287; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 367th Report, Case No. 2909, para. 695; 371st Report, Case No. 2928, para. 309; and 376th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 45.)

442. A minimum membership requirement of 30 per cent of the workers concerned to establish an organization is too high.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 288; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842.)

443. Provisions which impose a membership requirement of 30 per cent of the total number of workers employed in the establishment or group of establishments concerned for a union to be registered and which permit dissolution if membership falls below that level are not in conformity with Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 289.)

444. A provision imposing a minimum membership of 50 per cent to form a workers' organization would not be in line with Convention No. 87.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778.)

445. The introduction into federal legislation of a minimum membership requirement of 10,000 members for the registration of trade unions at the federal level could influence unduly the workers' free choice of union to which they wish to belong, even when federal registration is only one of the alternatives available for protecting their rights.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1559, para. 263(a).)

446. The legal requirement that there be a minimum number of 20 members to form a union does not seem excessive and, therefore, does not in itself constitute an obstacle to the formation of a trade union.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 292; and 378th Report, Case No. 3177, para. 503.)

447. The Committee considered - in regard to a provision which stipulates that "ten or more employers engaged in the same industry or activity, or similar or related industries of activities may form an employers' organization"- that a minimum number of ten is extremely high and violates the employers' right to form organizations of their own choosing.

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 15.)

Registration of organizations

448. If the conditions for the granting of registration are tantamount to obtaining previous authorization from the public authorities for the establishment or functioning of a trade union, this would undeniably constitute an infringement of Convention No. 87. This, however, would not seem to be the case when the registration of trade unions consists solely of a formality where the conditions are not such as to impair the guarantees laid down by the Convention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 294; and 373rd Report, Case No. 2949, para. 458.)

449. The right to official recognition through legal registration is an essential facet of the right to organize since that is the first step that workers' or employers' organizations must take in order to be able to function efficiently, and represent their members adequately.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 295; 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1302; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1136; 356th Report, Case No. 2317, para. 94; 357th Report, Case No. 2701, para. 137, Case No. 2516, para. 618; 362nd Report, Case No. 2516, para. 799; 365th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 682; 367th Report, Case No. 2944, para. 138; 372nd Report, Case No. 2989, para. 316; and 373rd Report, Case No. 2708, para. 332, Case No. 3035, para. 377 and Case No. 2949, para. 458.)

450. Although the registration procedure very often consists in a mere formality, there are a number of countries in which the law confers on the relevant authorities more or less discretionary powers in deciding whether or not an organization meets all the conditions required for registration, thus creating a situation which is similar to that in which previous authorization is required. Similar situations can arise where a complicated and lengthy registration procedure exists, or where the competent administrative authorities may exercise their powers with great latitude; these factors are such as to create a serious obstacle for the establishment of a trade union and lead to a denial of the right to organize without previous authorization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 296; 357th Report, Case No. 2676, para. 298; and 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 779.)

451. The administrative authorities should not be able to refuse registration of an organization simply because they consider that the organization could exceed normal union activities or that it might not be able to exercise its functions. Such a system would be tantamount to subjecting the compulsory registration of trade unions to the previous authorization of the administrative authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 297.)

452. A provision whereby registration of a trade union may be refused if the union "is about to engage" in activities likely to cause a serious threat to public safety or public order could give rise to abuse, and it should therefore be applied with the greatest caution. The refusal to register should only take place under the supervision of the competent judicial authorities where serious acts have been committed, and have been duly proven.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 298.)

453. A request to the Ministry of Education, who is the employer in this case, concerning the appropriateness of registering an association of teachers is contrary to the right of workers to form and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2516, para. 999.)

454. The obligation for trade unions to obtain the consent of a central trade union organization in order to be registered must be removed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 299.)

455. The Committee, while recognizing that there might be applicable national legislation relating to the transfer of an organizations' assets when it ceases to exist, has considered that provisions of by-laws concerning devolution of trade union property in case of voluntary dissolution should not, as a general rule, hinder registration of a union.

(See 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778.)

456. An appeal should lie to the courts against any administrative decision concerning the registration of a trade union. Such a right of appeal constitutes a necessary safeguard against unlawful or ill-founded decisions by the authorities responsible for registration.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 300; 348th Report, Case No. 2450, para. 558; and 359th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 366.)

457. A decision to prohibit the registration of a trade union which has received legal recognition should not become effective until the statutory period of lodging an appeal against this decision has expired without an appeal having been lodged, or until it has been confirmed by the courts following an appeal.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 301; 342nd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 624; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1448; and 359th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 366.)

458. Where a registrar has to form his or her own judgement as to whether the conditions for the registration of a trade union have been fulfilled, although an appeal lies against the registrar's decisions to the courts, the Committee has considered that the existence of a procedure of appeal to the courts does not appear to be a sufficient guarantee; in effect, this does not alter the nature of the powers conferred on the authorities responsible for effecting registration, and the judges hearing such an appeal would only be able to ensure that the legislation has been correctly applied. The Committee has drawn attention to the desirability of defining clearly in the legislation the precise conditions which trade unions must fulfil in order to be entitled to registration and on the basis of which the registrar may refuse or cancel registration, and of prescribing specific statutory criteria for the purpose of deciding whether such conditions are fulfilled or not.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 302; 360th Report, Case No. 2301, para. 70; and 377th Report, Case No. 3128, para. 466.)

459. Where the difficulties with regard to the interpretation of standards concerning the inclusion of trade unions in the appropriate state registers create situations where competent authorities make excessive use of their powers, problems of compatibility with Convention No. 87 may arise.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 303.)

460. Judges should be able to deal with the substance of a case concerning a refusal to register so that they can determine whether the provisions on which the administrative measures in question are based constitute a violation of the rights accorded to occupational organizations by Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 304; 360th Report, Case No. 2301, para. 70; and 376th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 44 and Case No. 3042, para. 538.)

461. Normal control of the activities of trade unions should be effected a posteriori and by the judicial authorities; and the fact that an organization which seeks to enjoy the status of an occupational organization might in certain cases engage in activities unconnected with trade union activities would not appear to constitute a sufficient reason for subjecting trade union organizations a priori to control with respect to their composition and with respect to the composition of their management committees. The refusal to register a union because the authorities, in advance and in their own judgement, consider that this would be politically undesirable, would be tantamount to submitting the compulsory registration of trade unions to previous authorization on the part of the authorities, which is not compatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 305.)

462. In a legal system where registration of a workers' organization is optional, the act of registration may confer on an organization a number of important advantages such as special immunities, tax exemption, the right to obtain recognition as exclusive bargaining agent, etc. In order to obtain such recognition, an organization may be required to fulfil certain formalities which do not amount to previous authorization and which do not normally pose any problem as regards the requirements of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 306.)

463. A long registration procedure constitutes a serious obstacle to the establishment of organizations and amounts to a denial of the right of workers to establish organizations without previous authorization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 307; 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1302; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1137; 356th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1275; 357th Report, Case No. 2701, para. 137; 364th Report, Case No. 2864, para. 785; 368th Report, Case No. 2991, paras. 561 and 565; 374th Report, Case No. 2944, para. 17; 376th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 46, Case No. 3042, paras. 535 and 552; 377th Report, Case No. 2949, para. 440, Case No. 3128, para. 468; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 487 and Case No. 3177, para. 503.)

464. In one case, the Committee could not rule out the possibility that the delay in the registration procedure may have had a negative impact on the union's ability to fulfil the minimum membership requirement and consequently become registered and obtain trade union immunity for its executive committee.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3177, para. 503.)

465. A period of one month envisaged by the legislation to register an organization is reasonable.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 308; 368th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 561; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 487.)

466. In case of a period of more than three months, the Committee expressed regret that there was a delay in registering the union despite the fact that there were no apparent obstacles justifying the delay.

(See 238th Report, Case No. 1289, para. 148; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 487.)

467. A one-year period for treating a union's application for registration is excessive and not conducive to harmonious industrial relations.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 561.)

468. In a case where the Committee noted an excessive delay with which the Ministry issues decisions regarding applications for registration by organizations and expressed concern at the complexity of the Ministry's internal proceedings in that regard, the Committee urged the government to expedite considerably its internal registration procedures and to ensure that trade unions have access to rapid and effective administrative and judicial remedies if they are not registered.

```
(See 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 538).
```

469. The requirement of a notarial certificate to establish a trade union organization should not lead to delays in the registration of trade unions, especially given that the law requires the submission of a certified copy, which could not only take the form of a notarial certificate, but could also be through certification by the legal authority or an administrative authority. Moreover, the notary's refusal to issue a notarial certificate containing the by-laws of the trade union organization constitutes an infringement of the right of workers to establish or join the organization of their own choosing.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 2431, para. 923.)

470. Issues involving complex legal appraisals in certain cases, such as determining whether or not the union's founders occupy positions of trust, should not delay the registration process.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 536.)

471. Determining whether or not the union's founders occupy positions of trust, which may involve a complex legal appraisal, should not delay the registration procedure for the trade union concerned since this could be considered after registration in the event of any objections, especially where there are allegations of interference by the employer in the process of establishing the trade union.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 555.)

Right of workers and employers to establish and join organizations of their own choosing 5

General principles

472. The right of workers to establish and join organizations of their own choosing in full freedom cannot be said to exist unless such freedom is fully established and respected in law and in fact.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 309; 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 301; and 365th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 685.)

473. The fact that an employers' organization did not have the status of a trade union organization in the eyes of the national legislation did not dispense a government from the obligations arising from its ratification of Convention No. 87, in particular to respect the freedom of employers to establish organizations of their own choosing and the right of such organizations to organize their administration and activities and to formulate their programmes without interference which would restrict this right.

(See 208th Report, Case No. 1007, para. 386.)

474. The Committee has emphasized the importance that it attaches to the fact that workers and employers should in practice be able to establish and join organizations of their own choosing in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 310; 343rd Report, Case No. 2439, para. 38, Case No. 2472, para. 957; 360th Report, Case No. 2709, para. 661; and 368th Report, Case No. 2919, para. 651.)

Organizations' unity and pluralism

475. The right of workers to establish organizations of their own choosing implies, in particular, the effective possibility of forming, in a climate of full security, organizations independent both of those which exist already and of any political party.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 311; 353rd Report, Case No. 2516, para. 999; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 151.)

476. The free choice of workers to establish and join organizations is so fundamental to freedom of association as a whole that it cannot be compromised by delays.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 312; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 759; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 718; 374th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 297; and 377th Report, Case No. 3128, para. 472.)

477. The existence of an organization in a specific occupation should not constitute an obstacle to the establishment of another organization, if the workers so wish.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 313; 365th Report, Case No. 2516, para. 685; and 378th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 68.)

478. The provisions contained in a national constitution concerning the prohibition of creating more than one trade union for a given occupational or economic category, regardless of the level of organization, in a given territorial area which in no case may be smaller than a municipality, are not compatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 314; and 346th Report, Case No. 2523, para. 350.)

479. The right of workers to establish organizations of their own choosing implies, in particular, the effective possibility to create – if the workers so choose – more than one workers' organization per enterprise.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 315; 340th Report, Case No. 2433, para. 324; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 846.)

480. It is contrary to Convention No. 87 to prevent two enterprise trade unions coexisting.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2868, para. 1005.)

481. A provision of the law which does not authorize the establishment of a second union in an enterprise fails to comply with Article 2 of Convention No. 87, which guarantees workers the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 316.)

482. Provisions which require a single union for each enterprise, trade or occupation are not in accordance with Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 317; and 376th Report, Case No. 2977, para. 66.)

483. The principle of trade union pluralism is grounded in the right of workers to come together and form organizations of their own choosing, independently and with structures which permit their members to elect their own officers, draw up and adopt their by-laws, organize their administration and activities and formulate their programmes without interference from the public authorities and in the defence of workers' interests.

(See 359th Report, Case No. 2807, para. 701; 360th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 803, Case No. 2747, para. 838; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2807, para. 720.)

484. The Committee has pointed out that the International Labour Conference, by including the words "organizations of their own choosing" in Convention No. 87, made allowance for the fact that, in certain countries, there are a number of different workers' and employers' organizations which an individual may choose to join for occupational, denominational or political reasons; it did not pronounce, however, as to whether, in the interests of workers and employers, a unified trade union movement is preferable to trade union pluralism. The Conference thereby recognized the right of any group of workers (or employers) to establish organizations in addition to the existing organization if they think this desirable to safeguard their material or moral interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 318.)

485. While it may generally be to the advantage of workers to avoid a multiplicity of trade union organizations, unification of the trade union movement imposed through state intervention by legislative means runs counter to the principle embodied in Articles 2 and 11 of Convention No. 87. The Committee of Experts of the ILO on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has emphasized on this question that "there is a fundamental difference, with respect to the guarantees of freedom of association and protection of the right to organize, between a situation in which a trade union monopoly is instituted or maintained by legislation and the factual situations which are found to exist in certain countries in which all the trade union organizations join together voluntarily in a single federation or confederation, without this being the direct or indirect result of legislative provisions applicable to trade unions and to the establishment of trade union organizations. The fact that workers and employers generally find it in their interests to avoid a multiplication of the number of competing organizations does not, in fact, appear sufficient to justify direct or indirect intervention by the State, and especially, intervention by the State by means of legislation". While fully appreciating the desire of any government to promote a strong trade union movement by avoiding the defects resulting from an undue multiplicity of small and competing trade unions, whose independence may be endangered by their weakness, the Committee has drawn attention to the fact that it is more desirable in such cases for a government to seek to encourage trade unions to join together voluntarily to form strong and united organizations than to impose upon them by legislation a compulsory unification which deprives the workers of the free exercise of their right of association and thus runs counter to the principles which are embodied in the international labour Conventions relating to freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 319.)

486. While it is generally to the advantage of workers and employers to avoid the proliferation of competing organizations, a monopoly situation imposed by law is at variance with the principle of free choice of workers' and employers' organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 320; 350th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 1163; and 378th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 68.)

487. Unity within the trade union movement should not be imposed by the State through legislation because this would be contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 321; 367th Report, Case No. 2977, para. 860; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 846.)

488. The government should neither support nor obstruct a legal attempt by a trade union to displace an existing organization. Workers should be free to choose the union which, in their opinion, will best promote their occupational interests without interference by the authorities. It may be to the advantage of workers to avoid a multiplicity of trade unions, but this choice should be made freely and voluntarily. By including the words "organizations of their own choosing" in Convention No. 87, the International Labour Conference recognized that individuals may choose between several workers' or employers' organizations for occupational, denominational or political reasons. It did not pronounce as to whether, in the interests of workers and employers, a unified trade union movement is preferable to trade union pluralism.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 322; and 372nd Report, Case No. 2954, para. 94.)

489. Where one government stated that it was not prepared to "tolerate" a trade union movement split into several tendencies and that it was determined to impose unity on the whole movement, the Committee recalled that Article 2 of Convention No. 87 provides that workers and employers shall have the right to establish and to join organizations "of their own choosing". This provision of the Convention is in no way intended as an expression of support either for the idea of trade union unity or for that of trade union diversity. It is intended to convey, on the one hand, that in many countries there are several organizations among which the workers or the employers may wish to choose freely and, on the other hand, that workers and employers may wish to establish new organizations in a country where no such diversity has hitherto been found. In other words, although the Convention is evidently not intended to make trade union diversity an obligation, it does at least require this diversity to remain possible in all cases. Accordingly, any governmental attitude involving the "imposition" of a single trade union organization would be contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 323.)
```

490. A situation in which an individual is denied any possibility of choice between different organizations, by reason of the fact that the legislation permits the existence of only one organization in the area in which that individual carries on his or her occupation, is incompatible with the principles embodied in Convention No. 87; in fact, such provisions establish, by legislation, a trade union monopoly which must be distinguished both from union security clauses and practices and from situations in which the workers voluntarily form a single organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 324.)

491. The power to impose an obligation on all the workers in the category concerned to pay contributions to the single national trade union, which is permitted to be formed in any one occupation in a given area, is not compatible with the principle that workers should have the right to join organizations "of their own choosing". In these circumstances, it would seem that a legal obligation to pay contributions to that monopoly trade union, whether workers are members or not, represents a further consecration and strengthening of that monopoly.

(See 65th Report, Case No. 266, paras. 61 and 62.)

492. The Committee has suggested that a State should amend its legislation so as to make it clear that when a trade union already exists for the same employees as those whom a new union seeking registration is organizing or is proposing to organize, or the fact that the existing union holds a bargaining certificate in respect of such class of employees, this cannot give rise to objections of sufficient substance to justify the registrar in refusing to register the new union.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 326; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2850, para. 872.)

493. In respect to a legislation designed to set up and maintain a single trade union system by expressly mentioning the national trade union confederation, the Committee pointed out that the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has considered that this provision might constitute an obstacle to the creation of another confederation if the workers so wished and had expressed the hope that the Government will adopt the necessary measures to delete the reference in the legislation to a specific trade union organization. In these circumstances the Committee endorsed the comments made by the Committee of Experts.

(See 230th Report, Case No. 1198, para. 724.)

494. A provision authorizing the refusal of an application for registration if another union, already registered, is sufficiently representative of the interests which the union seeking registration proposes to defend, means that, in certain cases, workers may be denied the right to join the organization of their own choosing, contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 328; 349th Report, Case No. 2536, para. 987; 354th Report, Case No. 2536, para. 152; 363rd Report, Case No. 2850, para. 872; 377th Report, Case No. 3128, para. 467; and 378th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 68.)

495. Where workers' organizations have themselves requested the unification of the trade unions, and this desire has been confirmed in such a way as to make it equivalent to a legal obligation, the Committee has pointed out that, when a unified trade union movement results solely from the will of the workers, this situation does not require to be sanctioned by legal texts, the existence of which might give the impression that the unified trade union movement is merely the result of existing legislation or is maintained only through such legislation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 329.)

496. Even in a situation where, historically speaking, the trade union movement has been organized on a unitary basis, the law should not institutionalize this situation by referring, for example, to the single federation by name, even if it is referring to the will of an existing trade union organization. In fact, the right of workers who do not wish to join the federation or the existing trade unions should be protected, and such workers should have the right to form organizations of their own choosing, which is not the case in a situation where the law has imposed the system of the single trade union.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 330.)

497. The requirement that a trade union is obliged to obtain the recommendation of a specific central organization in order to be duly recognized constitutes an obstacle for workers to establish freely the organization of their own choosing and is therefore contrary to freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 331.)

498. Trade union unity voluntarily achieved should not be prohibited and should be respected by the public authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 332.)

499. The compulsory membership of employers in Chambers of Commerce when such Chambers have the powers of employers' organizations in the meaning of Article 10 of Convention No. 87 is contrary to freedom of association standards and principles.

(See 327th Report, Case No. 2146, para. 895.)

500. The Committee recalled that the organizational monopoly required by the law was at the root of the freedom of association problems in the country and the main hurdle to the recognition of an employers' organization, and requested a government to take measures to amend the legislation so as to ensure the right of workers and employers to establish more than one organization, be it at the enterprise, sectoral or national level, and in a manner that does not prejudice the rights formerly held by the employers' organization.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 946).

501. The unification into a single employers' organization must be the result of the free choice of the members concerned and should not be the consequence of any eventual pressure or interference by the public authorities within the framework of a monopolistic system of industrial relations.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 704).

Freedom of choice of the organization's structure

502. The free exercise of the right to establish and join unions implies the free determination of the structure and composition of unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 333; 346th Report, Case No. 2523, para. 350; 349th Report, Case No. 2556, para. 754; 362nd Report, Case No. 2842, para. 419; 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 302; 367th Report, Case No. 2892, para. 1236; 373rd Report, Case No. 3048, para. 424; 376th Report, Case No. 3042, paras. 542 and 551; and 377th Report, Case No. 2949, para. 440.)

503. Questions of trade union structure and organization are matters for the workers themselves.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2301, para. 124.)

504. Workers should be free to decide whether they prefer to establish, at the primary level, a works union or another form of basic organization, such as an industrial or craft union.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 334; 346th Report, Case No. 2523, para. 350; and 367th Report, Case No. 2892, para. 1236.)

505. The right of workers to establish organizations of their own choosing includes the right to form organizations at the enterprise level in addition to the higher level organization to which they already belong.

(See 341st Report, para. 49.)

506. Under Article 2 of Convention No. 87, workers have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing, including organizations grouping together workers from different workplaces and different cities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 335; 356th Report, Case No. 2717, para. 844; 360th Report, Case No. 2818, para. 633, Case No. 2717, para. 857; and 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 543.)

507. A provision which prohibits the establishment of trade unions on an occupational or workplace basis is contrary to the principles of freedom of association as laid down in the relevant Conventions, according to which workers without distinction whatsoever shall have the right to establish and join organizations "of their own choosing" without previous authorization.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2892, para. 933.)

508. Workers who provide their services to companies in a certain sector should be entitled to become members of a national trade union in that sector if they so wish. Indeed, given that they conduct their activities in the sector, they may wish to join a trade union that represents the interests of workers in that sector at the national level.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2595, para. 584.)

509. In a case where a government appeared to imply that, because negotiation under the local public service law was to be at the regional level, this meant that the negotiating organization must also be one existing only at the regional level, the Committee considered that such a restriction may constitute a limitation of the right of workers to establish and join organizations of their own choosing and to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See 54th Report, Case No. 179, para. 156.)

510. With regard to restrictions limiting all public servants to membership of unions confined to that category of workers, it is admissible for first-level organizations of public servants to be limited to that category of workers on condition that their organizations are not also restricted to employees of any particular ministry, department or service, and that the first-level organizations may freely join the federations and confederations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 337; 347th Report, Case No. 2537, para. 19; 359th Report, Case No. 2782, para. 503; and 360th Report, Case No. 2818, para. 633.)

511. Limiting first-level trade unions to specific administrative departments- like local authorities- enables the Government to interfere with the activities of a trade union and put into question its very existence and financial viability simply by changing the administrative departments within which public employees operate, thereby leading to an automatic termination of the union's membership and check-off facility. The legislation also means that the duties of trade union officers would be terminated where changes occurred in branch classifications. Such acts constitute not only a violation of the right of public employees to join the trade union of their own choice, but also serious interference in trade union activities, in violation of Articles 2 and 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See 347th Report, Case No. 2537, para. 20.)

512. It should be possible for a trade union organization in the education sector to group together workers from both public and private schools, on the understanding that each group should conduct separate negotiations, being subject to a separate budget and separate regulations.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 551.)

Sanctions imposed for attempting to establish organizations

513. Measures taken against workers because they attempt to constitute organizations or to reconstitute organizations of workers outside the official trade union organization would be incompatible with the principle that workers should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 338; 351st Report, Case No. 2568, para. 907; and 364th Report, Case No. 2864, para. 787.)

Favouritism or discrimination in respect of particular organizations

514. The spirit of Convention No. 87 calls for impartial treatment of all trade union organizations by the authorities, even if they criticize the social or economic policies of national or regional executives, as well as avoidance of reprisals for pursuing legitimate trade union activities.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2674, para. 1628.)

515. Any favourable or unfavourable treatment by the public authorities of a particular trade union as compared with others, if it is not based on objective pre-established criteria of representativeness and goes beyond certain preferential rights related to collective bargaining and consultation, would constitute an act of discrimination which might jeopardize the right of workers to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2850, para. 872.)

516. Considering the limited functions which, in one case, were by law open to certain categories of trade unions, the Committee felt that the distinction made between trade unions under the national legislation could have the indirect consequence of restricting the freedom of workers to belong to the organizations of their choosing. The reasons which led the Committee to adopt this position are as follows. As a general rule, when a government can grant an advantage to one particular organization or withdraw that advantage from one organization in favour of another, there is a risk, even if such is not the government's intention, that one trade union will be placed at an unfair advantage or disadvantage in relation to the others, which would thereby constitute an act of discrimination. More precisely, by placing one organization at an advantage or at a disadvantage in relation to the others, a government may either directly or indirectly influence the choice of workers regarding the organization to which they intend to belong, since they will undeniably want to belong to the union best able to serve them, even if their natural preference would have led them to join another organization for occupational, religious, political or other reasons. The freedom of the parties to choose is a right expressly laid down in Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 339; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2317, para. 863.)

517. By according favourable or unfavourable treatment to a given organization as compared with others, a government may be able to influence the choice of workers or employers as to the organization which they intend to join. In addition, a government which deliberately acts in this manner violates the principle laid down in Convention No. 87 that the public authorities shall refrain from any interference which would restrict the rights provided for in the Convention or impede their lawful exercise; more indirectly, it would also violate the principle that the law of the land shall not be such as to impair, nor shall it be so applied as to impair, the guarantees provided for in the Convention. It would seem desirable that, if a government wishes

to make certain facilities available to trade union organizations or employers' organizations, these organizations should enjoy equal treatment in this respect.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 340; 342nd Report, Case No. 2317, para. 863; 343rd Report, Case No. 2426, para. 283; 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1316; 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1668; 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1305; 359th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1289; 362nd Report, Case No. 2842, para. 419; 367th Report, Case No. 2911, para. 1099; and 370th Report, Case No. 2951, para. 190 and Case No. 2961, para. 490.)

518. In a case in which there was at the very least a close working relationship between a trade union and the labour and other authorities, the Committee emphasized the importance it attaches to the resolution of 1952 concerning the independence of the trade union movement and urged the government to refrain from showing favouritism towards, or discriminating against, any given trade union, and requested it to adopt a neutral attitude in its dealings with all workers' and employers' organizations, so that they are all placed on an equal footing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 341; and 370th Report, Case No. 2961, para. 490.)

519. On more than one occasion, the Committee has examined cases in which allegations were made that the public authorities had, by their attitude, favoured or discriminated against one or more trade union organizations:

- 1) pressure exerted on workers by means of public statements made by the authorities;
- 3) unequal distribution of subsidies among unions or the granting to one union, rather than to the others, of premises for holding its meetings or carrying on its activities;
- 4) refusal to recognize the leaders of certain organizations in the performance of their legitimate activities.

Discrimination by such methods, or by others, may be an informal way of influencing the trade union membership of workers. It is therefore sometimes difficult to prove. The fact, nevertheless, remains that any discrimination of this kind jeopardizes the right of workers set out in Convention No. 87, Article 2, to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 342; and 350th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 1160.)

520. The Committee considered that a government had demonstrated de facto favouritism towards one employers' organization by registering it as the replacement for another employers' organization, and had called upon the government in question to remedy the effects of this favouritism.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 945).

521. Both the government authorities and employers should refrain from any discrimination between trade union organizations, especially as regards recognition of their leaders who seek to perform legitimate trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 343; 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 361; 342nd Report, Case No. 2249, para. 201; 343rd Report, Case No. 2439, para. 39; 348th Report, Case No. 2422, para. 1346; 367th Report, Case No. 2911, para. 1099; and 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 534.)

522. In a particular case the Committee considered that a bonus of $\notin 80$ a year to certain employees of the public service belonging to representative trade union organizations does not seem to constitute a real means of pressure leading to the conclusion that the public authorities intend, through the advantages granted to certain workers, to influence unduly the choice of workers with regard to the organization that they intend to join. For it to retain its present quality, it is important that the amount of the bonus in question does not exceed a symbolic level.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2529, para. 497.)

523. Situations in which the local authorities interfere in the activities of a freely constituted trade union by establishing alternative workers' organizations and inciting workers using unfair means to change their membership violate the right of workers to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 344; and 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 301.)

524. Generally, the fact that a government is able to offer the use of premises to a particular organization, or to evict a given organization from premises which it has been occupying in order to offer them to another organization, may, even if this is not intended, lead to the favourable or unfavourable treatment of a particular trade union as compared with others, and thereby constitute an act of discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 345; and 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1305.)

Admissible privileges for most representative organizations

525. The Committee has pointed out on several occasions, and particularly during discussion on the draft of the Right to Organize and Collective Bargaining Convention, that the International Labour Conference referred to the question of the representative character of trade unions, and, to a certain extent, it agreed to the distinction that is sometimes made between the various unions concerned according to how representative they are. Article 3, paragraph 5, of the Constitution of the ILO includes the concept of "most representative" organizations. Accordingly, the Committee felt that the mere fact that the law of a country draws a distinction between the most representative trade union organizations and other trade union organizations is not in itself a matter for criticism. Such a distinction, however, should not result in the most representative organizations being granted privileges extending beyond that of priority in representation, on the ground of their having the largest membership, for such purposes as collective bargaining or consultation by governments, or for the purpose of nominating delegates to international bodies. In other words, this distinction should not have the effect of depriving trade union organizations that are not recognized as being among the most representative of the essential means for defending the occupational interests of their members, for organizing their administration and activities and formulating their programmes, as provided for in Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 346; 343rd Report, Case No. 2438, para. 226; 358th Report, Case No. 2759, para. 520; 362nd Report, Case No. 2843, para. 1487; 364th Report, Case No. 2898, para. 910; 367th Report, Case No. 2940, para. 257; 372nd Report, Case No. 3007, para. 224; and 378th Report, Case No. 3169, para. 349.)

526. Where it appears from legislation that the only distinction between representative and other trade unions is that the former can sign collective agreements, sit on joint committees and participate in international events, the Committee considers that such privileges granted to representative trade unions are not excessive.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2843, para. 1487.)

527. The establishment of the notion of representativity presupposes that governments ensure an atmosphere in which trade union organizations are able to freely flourish in the country.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2843, para. 1487.)

528. To be admissible, the criteria applied to distinguish between more or less representative organizations must be objective, must not leave any scope for abuse and must not be allowed to detract from the fundamental rights and guarantees of the less representative organizations.

(See 243rd Report, Case No. 1320, para. 112)

529. The determination of the most representative trade union should always be based on objective and pre-established criteria so as to avoid any opportunity for partiality or abuse.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 347; 349th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 273; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1148; 358th Report, Case No. 2759, para. 520; and 378th Report, Case No. 3142, para. 128.)

530. Pre-established, precise and objective criteria for the determination of the representativity of workers' and employers' organizations should exist in the legislation and such a determination should not be left to the discretion of governments.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 348; 348th Report, Case No. 2153, para. 22; 358th Report, Case No. 2759, para. 520; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2843, para. 1488.)

531. Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 are compatible with systems which envisage union representation for the exercise of collective trade union rights based on the degree of actual union membership, as well as those envisaging union representation on the basis of general ballots of workers or officials, or a combination of both systems.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 349; and 378th Report, Case No. 3142, para. 128 and Case No. 3169, para. 349.)

532. A system under which the apportioning of the number of union stewards for joint organizations is determined by a committee responsible for verifying the trade union membership of the different organizations is compatible with the principles of freedom of association, as long as it offers certain guarantees. Clearly, the protection of data regarding union membership is a fundamental aspect of human rights and, in particular, with regard to the right to privacy; however, inasmuch as the verification of union membership is subject to strict guarantees, there is no reason why it should not be compatible with the observance of such rights or guarantee confidentiality in respect of members' identities. It is also important for the bodies responsible for verifying the membership levels of union organizations to enjoy the confidence of all such organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 350; and 371st Report, Case No. 2908, para. 289.)

533. The determination to ascertain or verify the representative character of trade unions can best be ensured when strong guarantees of secrecy and impartiality are offered. Thus, verification of the representative character of a union should a priori be carried out by an independent and impartial body.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 351; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 378; 362nd Report, Case No. 2843, para. 1492; 371st Report, Case No. 2908, para. 289; and 378th Report, Case No. 3155, para. 111.)

534. It is unnecessary to draw up a list of trade union members in order to determine the number of members; this will be evident from the record of trade union membership dues, and there is no need for a list of names which could make acts of anti-union discrimination easier.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 352.)

535. The Committee recalls the risk of reprisals and anti-union discrimination inherent in demands for lists of the names of members of an organization and copies of their membership cards for the determination of the representativity of the organization.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2153, para. 22.)

536. The requirement that the authorities make in practice of obtaining a list of the names of all the members of an organization and a copy of their membership card to determine the most representative organization poses a problem with regard to the principles of freedom of association. There is a risk of reprisals and anti-union discrimination inherent in this type of requirement

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 353; and 344th Report, Case No. 2153, para. 23.)

537. The Committee recalled the position of the Committee of Experts, according to which a considerably larger membership amounting to 10 per cent more members than the union holding most representative status is too high a requirement for obtaining trade union status (privileged status) and is contrary to Convention No. 87. In practice, it stands in the way of trade unions that are merely registered and that wish to claim trade union status.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2515, para. 213.)

538. In light of the national conditions, the Committee considered that the legal requirement to have a given national coverage in order to enjoy at a national level the status of most representative agricultural organization and participate in the Agricultural Advisory Committee – specifically: (a) running in elections in at least nine of the 17 autonomous communities; or (b) being recognized as most representative in ten autonomous communities - which in practice requires 10 or 15 per cent of the votes, depending on the case – was an objective and relatively frequent criterion in comparative law aimed at ensuring that the strongest and largest organizations are those which are integrated into the state advisory bodies.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2759, para. 521.)

539. Pursuant to case law which establishes that, where disaffiliation of a trade union of a confederation, whether chosen or imposed, occurs after occupational elections, the trade union may no longer use the results obtained as a basis for claiming to be representative, the Committee considers that, inasmuch as the Court considered that the question of affiliation to a confederation constituted a key factor in the choice made by workers at the time of elections, the resulting loss of representativeness is indeed justified.

(See 367th Report, Case No. 2931, para. 764.)

540. Recognizing the possibility of trade union pluralism does not preclude granting certain rights and advantages to the most representative organizations. However, the determination of the most representative organization must be based on objective, pre-established and precise criteria so as to avoid any possibility of bias or abuse, and the distinction should generally be limited to the recognition of certain preferential rights, for example for such purposes as collective bargaining, consultation by the authorities or the designation of delegates to international organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 354; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 115; 371st Report, Case No. 2953, para. 619; and 378th Report, Case No. 3142, para. 128 and Case No. 3169, para. 349.)

541. The Committee has considered that certain advantages, especially with regard to representation, might be accorded to trade unions by reason of the extent of their representativeness. But it has taken the view that the intervention of the public authorities as regards such advantages should not be of such a nature as to influence unduly the choice of the workers in respect of the organization to which they wish to belong.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 355; 342nd Report, Case No. 2317, para. 863; and 349th Report, Case No. 2529, para. 491.)

542. The fact of establishing in the legislation a percentage in order to determine the threshold for the representativeness of organizations and grant certain privileges to the most representative organizations (in particular for collective bargaining purposes) does not raise any difficulty provided that the criteria are objective, precise and pre-established, in order to avoid any possibility of bias or abuse.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 356; 362nd Report, Case No. 2750, para. 933; and 367th Report, Case No. 2940, para. 257.)

543. The Committee has considered, with regard to legislation establishing a system for determining representivity, that granting the right to sit on the Economic and Social Council only to those trade union organizations deemed to be the most representative would not appear to influence workers unduly in the choice of organization that they wish to join, nor to prevent less representative organizations from defending the interests of their members, organizing their activities and formulating their programmes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 357; 367th Report, Case No. 2940, para. 257; and 378th Report, Case No. 3169, para. 353.)

544. The Committee has considered that a registration system set up by law which grants exclusive negotiation rights to registered unions would not be incompatible with the principles of freedom of association provided that the registration is based on objective and predetermined criteria. However, the granting of exclusive rights to the most representative organization should not mean that the existence of other unions to which certain involved workers might wish to belong is prohibited.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 358; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2843, para. 1490.)

545. Minority trade unions that have been denied the right to negotiate collectively should be permitted to perform their activities and at least to speak on behalf of their members and represent them in the case of an individual claim.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 359; 340th Report, Case No. 2351, para. 1347; 348th Report, Case No. 2153, para. 23; 362nd Report, Case No. 2805, para. 201, Case No. 2750, para. 933; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 115; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3007, para. 224.)

Right to join organizations freely

546. Workers should be able, if they so wish, to join trade unions at the branch level as well as the enterprise level at the same time.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 360; 367th Report, Case No. 2896, para. 677; 375th Report, Case No. 2896, para. 257; and 376th Report, Case No. 3042, paras. 545 and 552.)

547. Workers should be able to simultaneously join a company union and a union of groups of undertakings.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 545.)

548. The impossibility, for workers, even if they have more than one employment contract, to become members of more than one trade union, in either their enterprise, industry, occupation or trade, or institution, does not comply with the principles of freedom of association, as it unduly impedes the right of workers to join organizations of their own choosing.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3101, para. 857.)

549. In one case where any member of a trade union who wished to resign from the union could only do so in the presence of a notary who had to verify the identity of the person concerned and attest his or her signature, the Committee considered that this requirement in itself did not constitute an infringement of trade union rights provided that this was a formality which, in practice, could be carried out easily and without delay. However, if such a requirement could, in certain circumstances, present practical difficulties for workers wishing to withdraw from a union, it might restrict the free exercise of their right to join organizations of their own choosing. In order to avoid such a situation, the Committee considered that the government should examine the possibility of introducing an alternative method of resigning from a union which would involve no practical or financial difficulties for the workers concerned.

⁽See the 2006 Digest, para. 361.)

550. The Committee urged a government to withdraw the requirement by the Seamen Employment Control Division that seafarers must sign an affidavit before leaving the country restricting their right to affiliate with or contact an international trade union organization for assistance to protect their occupational interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 362.)

Union security clauses

551. A distinction should be made between union security clauses allowed by law and those imposed by law, only the latter of which appear to result in a trade union monopoly system contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 363; and 378th Report, Cases Nos. 3110 and 3123, para. 621.)

552. The admissibility of union security clauses under collective agreements was left to the discretion of ratifying States, as evidenced by the preparatory work for Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 364.)

553. In addressing the issue of union security clauses, the Committee has referred to the debates that took place during the International Labour Conference, when the Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98) was adopted. On that occasion, the Committee on International Relations of the Conference, taking into consideration the debate which it had held on the issue of union security clauses, finally agreed to recognize that the Convention should in no way be interpreted as authorizing or prohibiting union security arrangements, such matters being matters for regulation in accordance with national practice.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1579, para. 64; 358th Report, Case No. 2739, para. 316; and 364th Report, Case No. 2739, para. 332.)

554. Problems related to union security clauses should be resolved at the national level, in conformity with national practice and the industrial relations system in each country. In other words, both situations where union security clauses are authorized and those where they are prohibited can be considered to be in conformity with ILO principles and standards on freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 365; 358th Report, Case No. 2739, para. 316; 364th Report, Case No. 2739, para. 332; and 378th Report, Cases Nos. 3110 and 3123, para. 621.)

555. Union security clauses should be agreed freely.

(See 378th Report, Cases Nos. 3110 and 3123, para. 621.)

556. In certain cases where the deduction of union contributions and other forms of union protection were instituted, not in virtue of the legislation in force, but as a result of collective agreements or established practice existing between both parties, the Committee has declined to examine the allegations made, basing its reasoning

on the statement of the Committee on Industrial Relations appointed by the International Labour Conference in 1949, according to which Convention No. 87 can in no way be interpreted as authorizing or prohibiting union security arrangements, such questions being matters for regulation in accordance with national practice. According to this statement, those countries – and more particularly those countries having trade union pluralism – would in no way be bound under the provisions of the Convention to permit union security clauses either by law or as a matter of custom, while other countries which allow such clauses would not be placed in the position of being unable to ratify the Convention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 366.)

557. Basing its reasoning on the declarations contained in the 1949 Report of the Committee on Industrial Relations of the International Labour Conference, the Committee considers that legislation which provides that no one shall be compelled to join or not to join a trade union does not in itself infringe Conventions Nos. 87 and 98.

(See See 85th Report, Case No. 335, paras. 425 and 427.)

558. Where union security arrangements exist requiring membership of a given organization as a condition of employment, there might be discrimination if unreasonable conditions were to be imposed upon persons seeking such membership.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 368.)

559. In a case where the law authorized the trade union to set unilaterally and to receive from non-members the amount of the special contribution set for members, as a token of solidarity and in recognition of the benefits obtained from a collective agreement, the Committee concluded that to bring this in line with the principles of freedom of association, the law should establish the possibility for both parties acting together – and not the trade union unilaterally – to agree in collective agreements to the possibility of collecting such a contribution from non-members for the benefits that they may enjoy.

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 27.)

Undue intervention of the authorities with a view to eliminating trade unions

560. In a case where the Government stated that the steps it had taken had no anti-union objective, but the authorities seemed to have gone beyond the mere exercise of freedom of speech by explicitly urging members to resign from the union and by advocating a new trade union system, the Committee emphasized the importance that the authorities' statements to the media should not seek to influence the right of workers to join organizations of their own choosing.

(See 360th Report, Case No. 2767, para. 605.)

Right of organizations to draw up their constitutions and rules

Legislation on the subject and interference by the authorities

561. In accordance with Convention No. 87, trade unions should have the right to include in their statutes the peaceful objectives that they consider necessary for the defence of the rights and interests of their members.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2366, para. 915).

562. Limits may be placed on the right of organizations to draw up their constitutions where the manner in which it is expressed may imminently jeopardize national security or the democratic order.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2366, para. 915.)

563. Legislative provisions which regulate in detail the internal functioning of workers' and employers' organizations pose a serious risk of interference by the public authorities. Where such provisions are deemed necessary by the public authorities, they should simply establish an overall framework in which the greatest possible autonomy is left to the organizations in their functioning and administration. Restrictions on this principle should have the sole objective of protecting the interests of members and guaranteeing the democratic functioning of organizations. Furthermore, there should be a procedure for appeal to an impartial and independent judicial body so as to avoid any risk of excessive or arbitrary interference in the free functioning of organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 369; 342nd Report, Case No. 2453, para. 716; 358th Report, Case No. 2740, para. 658; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2740, para. 703.)

564. In the Committee's opinion, the mere existence of legislation concerning trade unions in itself does not constitute a violation of trade union rights, since the State may legitimately take measures to ensure that the constitutions and rules of trade unions are drawn up in accordance with the law. On the other hand, any legislation adopted in this area should not undermine the rights of workers as defined by the principles of freedom of association. Overly detailed or restrictive legal provisions in this area may in practice hinder the creation and development of trade union organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 370.)

565. To guarantee the right of workers' organizations to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom, national legislation should only lay down formal requirements as regards trade union constitutions, and the constitutions and rules should not be subject to prior approval by the public authorities.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 371; 342nd Report, Case No. 2366, para. 915; 355th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 702; and 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 779.)
```

566. Requirements regarding territorial competence and number of union members should be left for trade unions to determine in their own by-laws. In fact, any legislative provisions that go beyond formal requirements may hinder the establishment and development of organizations and constitute interference contrary to Article 3, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 372.)

567. A provision that union rules shall comply with national statutory requirements is not in violation of the principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom, provided that such statutory requirements in themselves do not infringe the principle of freedom of association and provided that approval of the rules by the competent authority is not within the discretionary powers of such authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 373; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2366, para. 915.)

568. The drafting by the public authorities themselves of the constitutions of central workers' organizations constitutes a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 374; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2768, para. 638.)

569. Where the approval of trade union rules is within the discretionary powers of a competent authority, this is not compatible with the generally accepted principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 375.)

570. The existence of a right to appeal to the courts in connection with the approval of by-laws does not in itself constitute a sufficient guarantee. This would not change the nature of the powers conferred on the administrative authorities and the courts would only be able to ensure that the legislation had been correctly applied. The courts should, therefore, be entitled to re-examine the substance of the case, as well as the grounds on which an administrative decision is based.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 376.)

571. A legal provision which authorizes the government in certain circumstances to object to the setting up of a trade union within a period of three months from the date of registration of its by-laws is in contradiction with the basic principle that employers and workers should have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 377.)
```

572. The existence of legislation which is designed to promote democratic principles within trade union organizations is acceptable. Secret and direct voting is certainly a democratic process and cannot be criticized as such.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 378.)

573. The listing in the legislation of the particulars that must be contained in a union's constitution is not in itself an infringement of the right of workers' organizations to draw up their internal rules in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 379.)

574. A mandatory list of functions and aims that associations must have that is excessively extensive and detailed may in practice hinder the establishment and development of organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 380.)

575. Amendments to the constitution of a trade union should be debated and adopted by the union members themselves.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 381; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2768, para. 638.)

576. In some countries the law requires that the majority of the members of a trade union – at least at a first vote – decide on certain questions which affect the very existence or structure of the organization (changes of Constitution or objects, winding-up, seeking of voluntary registration, etc.). In such cases involving basic matters relating to the existence and structure of a union or the fundamental rights of its members, the regulation by law of majority votes for the adoption of the decisions involved does not imply interference contrary to the Convention, provided that this regulation is not such as to seriously impede the running of a trade union, thereby making it practically impossible to adopt the required decisions in the prevailing circumstances, and provided that the purpose is to guarantee the members' right to participate democratically in the organization.

(See 58th Report, Case No. 179, para. 386.)

577. The insertion in the constitution of a trade union, on the decision of the public authorities, of a clause whereby the trade union must forward annually to the ministry a series of documents – namely a copy of the minutes of the last general assembly indicating precisely the names of the members present, a copy of the general secretary's report, as approved by the assembly, a copy of the treasurer's report, etc. – and where failure to do so within a prescribed period will result in the union being considered as having ceased to exist – is inconsistent with the right to draw up their Constitutions and rules and to organize their administration and activities free from interference of the public authorities.

(See 103rd Report, Cases Nos. 422, 473 and 477, paras. 160 to 163.)

578. While the imposition of provisions on the expulsion of members or termination of membership to an organization could be justified with the objective of protecting

the interests of union members by clearly establishing the relevant rules, the prescription of detailed content requirements regarding the operation of the supervisory committee, the manner of convening board and auditing committee meetings, or the manner of communicating their agenda, would appear to constitute undue interference by public authorities.

(See 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778.)

579. The requirement for public employees' associations to have a five-year plan and, indirectly, a minimum duration of five years, is in contradiction with the right of workers' organizations to draw up their constitutions in full freedom, as established in Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2510, para. 1258.)

580. The Committee had serious concerns that references in a union's by-laws to the right to education in a mother tongue had given and could give rise to the call for dissolution of the trade union.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2366, para. 915.)

Model constitutions

581. Any obligation on a trade union to base its constitution on a compulsory model (apart from certain purely formal clauses) would infringe the rules which ensure freedom of association. The case is quite different, however, when a government merely makes model constitutions available to organizations that are being established without requiring them to accept such a model. The preparation of model constitutions and rules for the guidance of trade unions, provided that there is no compulsion or pressure on the unions to accept them in practice, does not necessarily involve any interference with the right of organizations to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 384; 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778; and 376th Report, Case No. 2988, para. 139.)

Racial discrimination

582. Laws providing for the organization, in registered mixed trade unions, of separate branches for workers of different races, and the holding of separate meetings by the separate branches, are not compatible with the generally accepted principle that workers' and employers' organizations shall have the right to draw up their constitutions and rules and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 385.)

Relations between first-level trade unions and higher-level organizations

583. As a rule, the autonomy of trade unions and higher-level organizations, including as regards their various relationships, should be respected by public authorities. Legal provisions impinging on this autonomy should therefore remain an exception and, where deemed necessary by reason of unusual circumstances, should be accompanied by all possible guarantees against undue interference.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 386.)

584. The subjection of grass-roots organizations to the control of trade union organizations at a higher level, the approval of their establishment by the latter, and the establishment by the National Congress of Trade Union Members of the constitutions of trade unions constitute major constraints on the right of the unions to establish their own constitutions, organize their activities and formulate their programmes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 387.)

Right of organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom

General principles

585. Freedom of association implies the right of workers and employers to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 388; 350th Report, Case No. 2621, para. 1238; 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1162; 367th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 876; 370th Report, Case No. 2971, para. 225; and 374th Report, Case No. 3034, para. 284.)

586. Workers and their organizations should have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom and the latter should have the right to put forward claims on their behalf.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 389; 358th Report, Case No. 2715, para. 909; 370th Report, Case No. 2595, para. 37; 371st Report, Case No. 2928, para. 312; and 378th Report, Case No. 3142, para. 129.)

587. In accordance with Article 3 of Convention No. 87, workers have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2448, para. 409.)

588. It is the prerogative of workers' and employers' organizations to determine the conditions for electing their leaders and the authorities should refrain from any undue interference in the exercise of the right of workers' and employers' organizations freely to elect their representatives, which is guaranteed by Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 390; 343rd Report, Case No. 2426, para. 282; 358th Report, Case No. 2740, para. 656; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2740, para. 702.)

589. The right of workers' organizations to elect their own representatives freely is an indispensable condition for them to be able to act in full freedom and to promote effectively the interests of their members. For this right to be fully acknowledged, it is essential that the public authorities refrain from any intervention which might impair the exercise of this right, whether it be in determining the conditions of eligibility of leaders or in the conduct of the elections themselves.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 391; 343rd Report, Case No. 2443, para. 310; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 789; 350th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 1156, Case No. 2621, para. 1238; 354th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 944; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842, Case No. 2750, para. 947; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 575, Case No. 2723, para. 778; 367th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 877; 371st Report, Case No. 2979, para. 150; 376th Report, Case No. 3113, para. 986; and 377th Report, Case No. 2750, para. 33.)

590. The public authorities should refrain from any interference which might restrict the exercise of the right of workers' organizations to elect their own representatives freely, whether as regards the holding of trade union elections, eligibility conditions or the re-election or removal of representatives.

(See 377th Report, Case No. 2750, para. 33.)

Electoral procedures

591. The organization of trade union elections should be exclusively a matter for the organizations concerned, in accordance with Article 3 of Convention No. 87

(See 336th Report, Case No. 2353, para. 864; and 340th Report, Case No. 2411, para. 1397.)

592. The regulation of procedures and methods for the election of trade union officials is primarily to be governed by the trade unions' rules themselves. The fundamental idea of Article 3 of Convention No. 87 is that workers and employers may decide for themselves the rules which should govern the administration of their organizations and the elections which are held therein.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 392; 340th Report, Case No. 2411, para. 1392; 342nd Report, Case No. 2422, para. 1036; 343rd Report, Case No. 2426, para. 282; 350th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 1156; and 354th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 944.)

593. In a system of labour relations that includes a union branch representative intended to permit unions that are not representative to establish themselves and operate in enterprises and establishments with an eye to the next occupational elections, the Committee considers that such measures may contribute to the development of collective bargaining. However, the choice of union branch representative should respect the principles of autonomy vis-à-vis the public authorities as laid down in Article 3 of Convention No. 87. Pursuant to Article 3 of Convention No. 87, the appointment and duration of the mandate of a union branch representative should be freely determined by the union concerned, in accordance with its constitution. It is for the union to decide on the person who is best equipped to represent it within the enterprise and to defend its members in their individual claims.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2750, para. 952; and 377th Report, Case No. 2750, para. 34.)

594. An excessively meticulous and detailed regulation of the trade union electoral process is an infringement of the right of such organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom, as established in Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 393; 340th Report, Case No. 2411, para. 1391; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2422, para. 1036.)

595. Legislation which minutely regulates the internal election procedures of a trade union and the composition of its executive committees, fixes the days on which meetings will take place, the precise date for the annual general assembly and the date on which the mandates of trade union officers shall expire, is incompatible with the rights afforded to trade unions by Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 394.)

596. A provision which gives a broad discretionary power to the minister to regulate minutely the internal election procedures of trade unions, the composition and the date of elections of their various committees, and even the way in which they should function, is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 395.)

597. The Committee recalled that excessively close government regulation of union elections may be regarded as a limitation of the right of trade unions to elect their own representatives freely, although in general terms, legislation governing the frequency of elections and fixing the maximum period for the terms of office of the executive bodies does not affect the principles of freedom of association.

(See 308th Report, Case No. 1920, para. 520.)

598. It should be left to the unions themselves to set the period of terms of office. (See the 2006 Digest, para. 397.)

599. The imposition by legislative means of a direct, secret and universal vote for the election of trade union leaders does not raise any problems regarding the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 398.)

600. No violation of the principles of freedom of association is involved where the legislation contains certain rules intended to promote democratic principles within trade union organizations or to ensure that the electoral procedure is conducted in a normal manner and with due respect for the rights of members in order to avoid any dispute as to the election results.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 399.)

601. Provisions requiring registered organizations to elect their officers by postal vote do not appear to infringe the freedom to elect trade union leaders.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 400.)

602. It should be left to the workers' organizations themselves to make provision, in their constitutions or rules, as to the majority of votes required for the election of trade union leaders.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 401.)

603. The number of leaders of an organization should be a matter for decision by the trade union organizations themselves.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 402.)

604. The registration of the executive boards of trade union organizations should take place automatically when reported by the trade union, and should be contested only at the request of the members of the trade union in question.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 403.)

605. Since the creation of works councils can constitute a preliminary step towards the setting up of independent and freely established workers' organizations, all official positions in such councils should, without exception, be occupied by persons who are freely elected by the workers concerned.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2780, para. 812.)

Eligibility conditions

606. The determination of conditions of eligibility for membership or office is a matter that should be left to the discretion of union/employer organization by-laws and the public authorities should refrain from any intervention which might impair the exercise of this right.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 1157.)

607. The Committee recognizes the need for an employers' organization to ensure its credibility and its independence vis-à-vis the national authorities, with which it has negotiated, by avoiding any conflict of interest in its executive body, in particular between certain functions in the management board and those exercised by a public servant. While noting the authorities' view that there is no incompatibility or prohibition as the legislation stands preventing a traditional chief from exercising responsibility, electoral or otherwise, in an employers' or workers' organization, the Committee is of the opinion that, if an organization considers that a public office or duty is incompatible with a position in its own leadership, elected or otherwise, it has full scope to incorporate this matter in its constitution, in accordance with the right of professional organizations to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom without interference from the authorities, particularly as regards election procedures.

(See 375th Report, Case No. 3105, para. 528.)

A. Racial discrimination

608. Legislative provisions which reserve to Europeans the right to be members of the executive committees of mixed trade unions (made up of workers of different races), are incompatible with the principle that workers' and employers' organizations shall have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 406.)

B. Employment in the occupation or enterprise

609. The requirement of membership of an occupation or establishment as a condition of eligibility for union office are not consistent with the right of workers to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 407; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778; 368th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 563; 374th Report, Case No. 3034, para. 284; and 378th Report, Case No. 2096, para. 75.)

610. If the national legislation provides that all trade union leaders must belong to the occupation in which the organization functions, there is a danger that the guarantees provided for in Convention No. 87 may be jeopardized. The Committee wishes to recall that, given that workers' organizations are entitled to elect their representatives in full freedom, the dismissal of a trade union leader, or simply the fact that a trade union leader leaves the work that he or she was carrying out in a given undertaking, should not affect his or her trade union status or functions unless stipulated otherwise by the Constitution of the trade union in question. In fact, in such cases, the laying off of a worker who is a trade union official can, as well as making him forfeit his position as a trade union official, affect the freedom of action of the organization and its right to elect its representatives in full freedom, and even encourage acts of interference by employers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 408; 368th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 563; and 378th Report, Case No. 2096, para. 75.)

611. For the purpose of bringing legislation which restricts union office to persons actually employed in the occupation or establishment concerned into conformity with the principle of the free election of representatives, it is necessary at least to make these provisions more flexible by admitting as candidates persons who have previously been employed in the occupation concerned and by exempting from the occupational requirement a reasonable proportion of the officers of an organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 409; 368th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 563; and 378th Report, Case No. 2096, para. 75.)

612. Provisions which require that all trade union leaders shall, at the time of their election, have been engaged in the occupation or trade for more than one year are not in harmony with Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 410.)

613. Given that workers' organizations are entitled to elect their representatives in full freedom, the dismissal of a trade union leader, or simply the fact that a trade union leader leaves the work that he or she was carrying out in a given undertaking, should not affect his or her trade union status or functions unless stipulated otherwise by the constitution of the trade union in question.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 411; 347th Report, Case No. 2537, para. 22; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 554; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 832; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 575; 368th Report, Case No. 2991, para. 563; and 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 916.)

614. A requirement that trade union leaders shall continue to carry out their employment during their term of office prevents the existence of full-time officers. Such a provision may be highly detrimental to the interests of trade unions, in particular those whose size or geographical extent require the contribution of a considerable amount of time by the officers. Such a provision impedes the free functioning of trade unions and is not in conformity with the requirements of Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 412.)

C. Minimum age

615. The Committee asked a government to amend a legal provision according to which trade union office bearers be at least 25 years old, which limits the right of workers to elect their own representatives in full freedom.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2443, para. 310.)

D. Duration of membership of the organization

616. A provision laying down as one of the eligibility requirements for trade union office that the candidate must have belonged to the organization for at least one year could be interpreted as meaning that all trade union leaders must belong to the occupation or work in the undertaking in which the trade union represents the workers. In this event, if the requirement were applied to all office-holders in trade union organizations, it would be incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 413.)

617. A provision requiring any trade union leader to have been a member of the trade union for not less than six months implies an important restriction on the right of workers' organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 414.)

E. Political opinions or activities

618. Legislation which disqualifies persons from trade union office because of their political beliefs or affiliations is not in conformity with the right of trade unionists to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 415.)

619. Where a body representing the workers in a dispute is elected by those workers, the right to elect their representatives in full freedom is restricted if some only of those representatives, on the basis of their political opinions, are considered by a government to be capable of participating in conciliation proceedings. Where the law of the land provides that the government may only deal with those who appear to be the representatives of the workers of an undertaking and, in effect, choose those with whom it will deal, any selection based on the political opinions of those concerned in such a way as to eliminate from negotiations, even indirectly, the leaders of the organization that is the most representative of the category of workers concerned would appear to result in the law of the land being so applied as to impair the right of the workers to choose their representatives freely.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 416.)

620. Legislation which debars from trade union office for a period of ten years "any person taking part in political activities of a Communist character" and which lists a number of "legal presumptions" whereby any person can be held to be responsible for such activities, may involve a violation of the principle laid down in Convention

No. 87, which states that workers' and employers' organizations shall have the right "to elect their representatives in full freedom, to organize their administration and activities" and that the "public authorities shall refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof".

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 417.)

621. The Committee has taken the view that a law is contrary to the principles of freedom of association when a trade unionist can be barred from union office and membership because, in the view of the minister, his or her activities might further the interests of Communism.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 418.)

F. Moral standing of candidates for office

622. A legal requirement that candidates for trade union office must be subjected to a background investigation conducted by the ministry of the interior and the department of justice amounts to prior approval by the authorities of candidates, which is incompatible with Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 419; and 357th Report, Case No. 2701, para. 139.)

G. Nationality

623. Legislation should be made flexible so as to permit the organizations to elect their leaders freely and without hindrance, and to permit foreign workers access to trade union posts, at least after a reasonable period of residence in the host country.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 420; 355th Report, Case No. 2620, para. 704; 377th Report, Case No. 3136, para. 326; and 378th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 69.)

624. In a case concerning a country where union members who are not nationals of the country do not have the right to vote, nominate candidates or attend general assemblies but only to select a representative to express their point of view to the board, the Committee reiterated that freedom of association should be guaranteed without discrimination of any kind based on nationality and that such restriction on the right to organize prevents migrant workers from playing an active role in the defence of their interests, especially in sectors where they are the main source of labour.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2988, para. 140.)

H. Criminal record

625. A law which generally prohibits access to trade union office because of any conviction is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association, when the activity condemned is not prejudicial to the aptitude and integrity required to exercise trade union office.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 421; 343rd Report, Case No. 2443, para. 313; 348th Report, Case No. 2450, para. 555; and 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 303.)

626. Conviction on account of offences the nature of which is not such as to call into question the integrity of the person concerned and is not such as to be prejudicial to the exercise of trade union functions should not constitute grounds for disqualification from holding trade union office, and any legislation providing for disqualification on the basis of any offence is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 422; 357th Report, Case No. 2701, para. 139; and 370th Report, Case No. 2971, para. 225.)

627. The loss of fundamental rights, such as the ban on standing for election to any trade union office and any political or public office, could be justified only with reference to criminal charges unconnected with trade union activities, and are serious enough to impugn the personal integrity of the individual concerned.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2486, para. 1211.)

628. As regards legislation which provides that a sentence by any court whatsoever, except for political offences, to a term of imprisonment of one month or more, constitutes grounds that are incompatible with, or which disqualify from the holding of executive or administrative posts in a trade union, the Committee has taken the view that such a general provision could be interpreted in such a way as to exclude from responsible trade union posts any individuals convicted for activities involving the exercise of trade union rights, such as a violation of the laws governing the press, thereby restricting unduly the right of trade unionists to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 423.)

629. Ineligibility for trade union office based on "any crime involving fraud, dishonesty or extortion" could run counter to the right to elect representatives in full freedom since "dishonesty" could cover a wide range of conduct not necessarily making it inappropriate for persons convicted of this crime to hold positions of trust such as trade union office.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 424.)

I. Re-election

630. A ban on the re-election of trade union officials is not compatible with Convention No. 87. Such a ban, moreover, may have serious repercussions on the normal development of a trade union movement which does not have a sufficient number of persons capable of adequately carrying out the functions of trade union office.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 425.)

631. Legislation which fixes the maximum length of the terms of trade union officers and which at the same time limits their right of re-election violates the right of organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 426.)
```

Obligation to participate in ballots

632. The obligation for the organization's members to vote should be left to the unions' rules and not imposed by law.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 427.)

633. A law which imposes fines on workers who do not participate in trade union elections is not in conformity with the provisions of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 428.)

Intervention by the authorities in trade union and employers' organizations' elections

634. Any intervention by the public authorities in trade union or employers' organizations elections runs the risk of appearing to be arbitrary and thus constituting interference in the functioning of these organizations, which is incompatible with Convention No. 87, Article 3, which recognizes their right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2740, para. 657.)

635. The right of workers and employers to elect their representatives in full freedom should be exercised in accordance with the statutes of their occupational associations and should not be subject to the convening of elections by ministerial resolution.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 430; 358th Report, Case No. 2740, para. 657.)

636. A regulation which provides for the election of members of a preparatory committee for preparing permanent elections to the executive committee of a trade union, a federation, an association or an occupational organization is inconsistent with the principles of freedom of association, and constitutes a clear interference in the election process.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2740, para. 657; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2453, para. 161 and Case No. 2740, para. 702.)

637. With regard to an internal dispute within the trade union organization between two rival administrations, the Committee considered that, with a view to guaranteeing the impartiality and objectivity of the procedure, the supervision of trade union elections should be entrusted to the competent judicial authorities or other independent persons.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 431; and 375th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 53.)

638. Any interference by the authorities and the political party in power concerning the presidency of the central trade union organization in a country is incompatible with the principle that organizations shall have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 432.)

639. The nomination by the authorities of members of executive committees of trade unions constitutes direct interference in the internal affairs of trade unions and is incompatible with Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 433.)

640. When the authorities intervene during the election proceedings of a union, expressing their opinion of the candidates and the consequences of the election, this seriously challenges the principle that trade union organizations have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 434; 362nd Report, Case No. 2842, para. 415; and 371st Report, Case No. 2979, para. 152.)

641. The participation of high-ranking officials of the public administration in trade union elections or in positions of trade union leadership can undermine the independence of the trade union organizations in question.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3166, para. 599.)

642. Remarks by a public employer questioning the integrity of trade union leaders through sweeping statements concerning failure to "show respect for laws and regulations" is not at all conducive to the development of harmonious labour relations and infringes the right to elect trade union leaders in full freedom.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 917.)

643. Legislation which requires candidates for trade union office to have obtained the approval of the Provincial Governor, which is given on the basis of a report from the police, is incompatible with the principle that employers' and workers' organizations should have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 436.)

644. The following provisions are incompatible with the right to hold free elections, namely those which involve interference by the public authorities in various stages of the electoral process, beginning with the obligation to submit the candidates' names in advance to the ministry of labour, together with personal particulars, the presence of a representative of the ministry of labour or the civil or military authorities at the elections, including the approval of the election of the executive committee by ministerial decision, without which they are invalid.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 437.)

645. Provisions which involve interference by the public authorities in various stages of the electoral process are incompatible with the right to hold free elections.

(See the 340th Report, Case No. 2411, para. 1392; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2422, para. 1036.)

646. The presence during trade union elections of the authorities is liable to infringe freedom of association and, in particular, to be incompatible with the principle that workers' and employers' organizations shall have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom, and that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 438; 350th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 1156; 354th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 944; and 356th Report, Case No. 2669, para. 1258.)

647. The Committee has observed that, in a number of countries, legal provisions exist whereby an official who is independent of the public authorities – such as a trade union registrar – may take action, subject to an appeal to the courts, if a complaint is made or if there are reasonable grounds for supposing that irregularities have taken place in a trade union election, contrary to the law or the constitution of the organization concerned. The situation, however, is different when the elections can be valid only after being approved by the administrative authorities. The Committee has considered that the requirement of approval by the authorities of the results of trade union elections is not compatible with the principle of freedom of election.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 439.)

Challenges to trade union and employers' organizations' elections

648. Measures taken by the administrative authorities when election results are challenged run the risk of being arbitrary. Hence, and in order to ensure an impartial and objective procedure, matters of this kind should be examined by the judicial authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 440; 360th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 286; 368th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 198; and 371st Report, Case No. 2979, para. 150.)

649. Power to suspend or cancel elections should be given only to an independent judiciary, which alone can provide sufficient guarantees of the right to defence and due process.

(See 336th Report, Case No. 2353, para. 864; 340th Report, Case No. 2411, para. 1397; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2422, para. 1036.)

650. In order to avoid the danger of serious limitation on the right of workers to elect their representatives in full freedom, complaints brought before labour courts by an administrative authority challenging the results of trade union elections should not – pending the final outcome of the judicial proceedings – have the effect of suspending the validity of such elections.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 441; 358th Report, Case No. 2764, para. 489; 360th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 287; and 368th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 198.)

651. In cases where the results of trade union elections are challenged, such questions should be referred to the judicial authorities in order to guarantee an impartial, objective and expeditious procedure.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 442; 340th Report, Case No. 2411, para. 1392; 342nd Report, Case No. 2422, para. 1036; 350th Report, Case No.2476, para. 311, Case No. 2621, para. 1238; 354th Report, Case No.2476, para. 284; and 367th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 877.)

652. In order to avoid the danger of serious limitations on the right of workers to elect their representatives in full freedom, cases brought before the courts by the administrative authorities involving a challenge to the results of trade union elections should not – pending the final outcome of the proceedings – have the effect of paralysing the operations of trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 443; 340th Report, Case No. 2394, para. 1177; and 350th Report, Case No. 2621, para. 1238.)

653. The presence of international observers in a disputed voting procedure is not contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3060, para. 800.)

Removal of executive committees and the placing of the organization under control

654. The removal by the Government of trade union leaders from office is a serious infringement of the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 444; 360th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 286; 367th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 876; and 368th Report, Case No. 2765, para. 198.)

655. Legislation pursuant to which the duties of trade union officers would be terminated where changes occurred in branch classifications constitutes not only a violation of the right of public employees to join the trade union of their own choice, but also serious interference in trade union activities, including the right of trade unions to elect their own representatives and organize their administration, in violation of Articles 2 and 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See 347th Report, Case No. 2537, para. 20.)

656. The appointment by the government of persons to administer the central national trade union on the ground that such a measure was rendered necessary by the corrupt administration of the unions would seem to be incompatible with freedom of association in a normal period.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 445.)

657. In a case where an administrator of trade union affairs had been appointed by the government so as to ensure, on behalf of the trade unions, the functions normally carried out by a central workers' organization, the Committee considered that any reorganization of the trade union movement should be left to the trade union organizations themselves and that the administrator should confine himself to coord-inating the efforts made by the unions to bring this about. The prerogatives conferred on the administrator should not be such as to restrict the rights guaranteed by Article 3, paragraph 1, of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 446.)

658. Legislation which confers on the public authorities the power to remove the management committee of a union whenever, in their discretion, they consider that they have "serious and justified reasons", and which empowers the government to appoint executive committees to replace the elected committees of trade unions, is not compatible with the principle of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 447.)

659. Where trade union leaders were removed from office, not by decision of the members of the trade unions concerned but by the administrative authority, and not because of infringement of specific provisions of the trade union constitution or of the law, but because the administrative authorities considered these trade union leaders incapable of maintaining "discipline" in their unions, the Committee was of the view that such measures were obviously incompatible with the principle that trade union organizations have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 435.)

660. The setting up by the government, following a change of regime, of a provisional consultative committee of a trade union confederation and the refusal to recognize the executive committee which has been elected at the congress of that organization constitutes a breach of the principle that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of workers' organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 448.)

661. With regard to the placing of certain unions under control, the Committee has drawn attention to the importance which it attaches to the principle that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of workers' organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 449.)

662. The placing of trade union organizations under control involves a serious danger of restricting the rights of workers' organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 450.)

663. While recognizing that certain events were of an exceptional kind and may have warranted intervention by the authorities, the Committee considered that, in order to be admissible, the taking over of a trade union must be temporary and aimed solely at permitting the organization of free elections.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 451.)

664. The measures taken by an administrative body, such as the assumption of placing organizations under control, risk appearing arbitrary, even if they are of provisional character and are followed by judicial action.

(See 201st Report, Case No. 842, para. 47.)

665. The power conferred on a person with a view to facilitating the normal functioning of a trade union organization should not be such as to lead to limitations on the right of trade union organizations to draw up their constitutions, elect their representatives, organize their administration and formulate their programmes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 453.)

Right of organizations to organize their administration

General principles

666. Freedom of association implies the right of workers and employers to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities without any interference by the public authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 454; 343rd Report, Case No. 2381, para. 134; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2750, para. 947.)

667. The fundamental idea of Article 3 of Convention No. 87 is that workers and employers may decide for themselves the rules which should govern the administration of their organizations and the elections which are held therein.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 455.)

668. The Committee urged a government to observe the right of the union to administer its own affairs and activities without let or hindrance and in line with the principles of freedom of association and democracy and ensure that the elected leaders of the union are free to exercise the mandate given to them by their members and to that extent enjoy the recognition of Government as a social partner.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3113, para. 986.)

Internal administration of organizations

669. In view of the fact that in every democratic trade union movement the congress of members is the supreme trade union authority which determines the regulations governing the administration and activities of trade unions and which establishes their programme, the prohibition of such congresses would seem to constitute an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 456; 359th Report, Case No. 2753, para. 408; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2753, para. 484.)

670. When legislation is applied in such a manner as to prevent trade union organizations from using the services of experts who are not necessarily elected officers, such as industrial advisers, lawyers or agents able to represent them in judicial or administrative proceedings, there would be serious doubt as to the compatibility of such provisions with Article 3 of Convention No. 87, according to which workers' organizations shall have the right, inter alia, to organize their administration and activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 457.)

671. A provision prohibiting a trade union leader from receiving remuneration of any kind is not in conformity with the requirements of Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 458.)

672. With regard to legislation which had just been adopted prohibiting the payment of the wages of full-time union officials by employers, the Committee considered that abandoning such a widespread, longstanding practice may lead to financial difficulties for unions and entail the risk of considerably hindering their functioning.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 459.)

673. Freedom of association implies the right of workers' and employers' organizations to resolve any disputes by themselves and without interference by the authorities; it is for the government to create an atmosphere conducive to the resolution of such disputes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 460.)

Control over the internal activities of organizations

674. Legislation which accords to the Minister discretionary authority, which is not subject to judicial control, to investigate a union's affairs merely if he or she considers it necessary in the public interest and to order the cancellation of the registration of a trade union is not in conformity with the principles that workers' and employers' organizations should have the right to organize their administration and activities without any interference on the part of the public authorities which would restrict this right or impede its lawful exercise, and that such organizations should not be liable to be dissolved by the administrative authority.

(See 95th Report, Case No. 448, paras. 143 and 145.)

675. Events of an exceptional nature may warrant direct intervention by a government in internal trade union matters in order to re-establish a situation in which trade union rights are fully respected.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 462.)

676. The Committee recalls that in accordance with Article 3 of Convention No. 87 the Government is required to refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of workers' and employers' organisations to elect their representatives in full freedom, to organise their activities and to formulate their programmes. The Committee considers that the only limitation that might possibly be acceptable should consequently aim solely at ensuring respect for democratic rules within the trade union movement and in particular at the level of federation. The limitation to one-tenth of the total number of votes imposed by the law on occupational associations when they vote in the general assemblies and congresses of federations goes beyond a simple guarantee of democratic procedure.

```
(See 211th Report, Case No. 1057, para 174.)
```

677. The Committee recalls that when measures of suspension are adopted by the administrative authority there is a risk that they may appear arbitrary also when they are provisional and temporary and even when they are followed by judicial action. The Committee considers that the principles established in Article 3 of Convention No. 87 do not prevent supervision of control of the internal acts of a trade union if those internal acts do violate legal provisions or rules. Nevertheless, the Committee considers that it is of maximum importance that, in order to guarantee an impartial and objective procedure, control should be exercised by the relevant judicial authority.

(See 73rd Report, Case No. 346, para. 114.)

678. There should be outside control only in exceptional cases, when there are serious circumstances justifying such action, since otherwise there would be a risk of limiting the right that workers' organizations have, by virtue of Article 3 of Convention No. 87, to organize their administration and activities without interference by the public authorities which would restrict this right or impede its lawful exercise. The Committee has considered that a law which confers the power to intervene on an official of the judiciary, against whose decisions an appeal may be made to the Supreme Court, and which lays down that a request for intervention must be supported by a substantial number of those in the occupational category in question, does not violate these principles.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 465; and 354th Report, Case No. 2641, para. 240.)

679. In a case where the decisions of a union's general assembly had been rendered null and void at the request of 12 of a total of 2,100 members, the Committee considered that this did not constitute a substantial number of those in the occupational category in question such as to permit the administrative authority to restrict the activities of a trade union and disturb its normal functioning, especially where such administrative action was taken without clear evidence or proof, as referred to by the ruling of the judicial authority.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2641, para. 241.)

Financial administration of organizations

A. Financial independence in respect of the public authorities

680. The right of workers to establish organizations of their own choosing and the right of such organizations to draw up their own constitutions and internal rules and to organize their administration and activities presuppose financial independence. Such independence implies that workers' organizations should not be financed in such a way as to allow the public authorities to enjoy discretionary powers over them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 466.)

681. With regard to systems of financing the trade union movement which made trade unions financially dependent on a public body, the Committee considered that any form of state control is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association and should be abolished since it permitted interference by the authorities in the financial management of trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 467.)

682. Provisions governing the financial operations of workers' organizations should not be such as to give the public authorities discretionary powers over them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 468.)

683. Provisions which restrict the freedom of trade unions to administer and utilize their funds as they wish for normal and lawful trade union purposes are incompatible with principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 469.)

684. A system in which workers are bound to pay contributions to a public organization which, in turn, finances trade union organizations, constitutes a serious threat to the independence of these organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 470.)

685. While trade union training is to be encouraged, it should be provided by the unions themselves; the unions can, of course, take advantage of any material or moral assistance which the government may offer to them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 471.)

686. Various systems of subsidizing workers' organizations have very different consequences according to the form which they assume, the spirit in which they are conceived and applied and the extent to which the subsidies are granted as a matter of right, by virtue of statutory provisions, or at the discretion of a public authority. The repercussions which financial aid may have on the autonomy of trade union organizations will depend essentially on circumstances; they cannot be assessed by applying general principles: they are questions of fact which must be examined in the light of the circumstances of each case.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 472.)

B. Union dues

687. Questions concerning the financing of trade union and employers' organizations, as regards both their own budgets and those of federations and confederations, should be governed by the by-laws of the organizations, federations and confederations themselves, and therefore, constitutional or legal provisions which require contributions are incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 473; and 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778.)

688. The Committee expressed concern at a court's objection to the determination of the membership fee as a wage percentage and considered that this matter should be left to the union by-laws, including the expression of union dues in the form of a wage percentage.

(See 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778.)

689. The repartition of trade union dues among various trade union structures is a matter to be determined solely by the trade unions concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 474; and 372nd Report, Case No. 2954, para. 96.)

690. The withdrawal of the check-off facility, which could lead to financial difficulties for trade union organizations, is not conducive to the development of harmonious industrial relations and should therefore be avoided.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 475; 340th Report, Case No.2395, para. 177; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 579, Case No. 2437, para. 1316; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 788; 347th Report, Case No. 2537, para. 22; 355th Report, Case No. 2686, para. 1127, Case No. 2642, para. 1176; 356th Report, Case No. 2718, para. 287; 357th Report, Case No. 2755, para. 428, Case No. 2678, para. 655; 358th Report, Case No. 2614, para. 27, Case No. 2724, para. 824; 362nd Report, Case No. 2678, para. 73, Case No. 2741, para. 773; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 122; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 579; 371st Report, Case No. 2953, para. 621; and 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 217 and Case No. 3032, para. 415.)

691. Both legislation which imposes accreditation or proof of affiliation of members of the trade union for their union dues to be deducted from their wages, and legislation which stipulates that it suffices for a union to submit a list of members for the union dues to be deducted, are compatible with Conventions Nos. 87 and 135.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2878, para. 632.)

692. The requirement that workers confirm their trade union membership in writing in order to have their union dues deducted from their wages does not violate the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 476; 357th Report, Case No. 2755, para. 428; and 358th Report, Case No. 2724, para. 824.)

693. The requirement of written consent for dues check-off would not be contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 122.)

694. The non-collection of union dues by the enterprise from non-unionized workers who have expressly indicated their wish not to pay those dues is compatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 2824, para. 154.)

695. Workers should have the possibility of opting for deductions from their wages under the check-off system to be paid to trade union organizations of their choice, even if they are not the most representative.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3095, para. 799.)

696. The Committee has requested a Government to take the necessary steps to amend the legislation so that workers can opt for deductions from their wages under the check-off system to be paid to trade union organizations of their choice, even if they are not the most representative.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 477.)

697. Information relating to the affiliation of workers to a trade union should be confidential, except of course in cases where trade union dues are deducted on payroll. (See 262nd Papert, Case No. 2824, page 1174)

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2834, para. 1174.)

698. In a case in which the requirements for the deduction of trade union dues from wages included the provision of the worker's identity document and her/his membership card, a list of members, an affidavit by the General-Secretary of the union stating the veracity of the list of members and the posting of the list on the employer's website, the Committee considered that all these requirements combined to violate the principles of freedom of association and emphasizes that, for the deduction of trade union dues from wages, the enterprise should confine itself to requesting evidence of members' affiliation and disaffiliation. Furthermore, the annual publication of the list of trade union members on the employer's website is particularly unacceptable as it has nothing to do with the deduction of trade union dues and violates the privacy of union members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 478.)

699. In a case in which the authorities had not transferred to the trade union concerned the dues that had been deducted from the wages of public officials, the Committee considered that trade union dues do not belong to the authorities, nor are they public funds, but rather they are an amount on deposit that the authorities may not use for any reason other than to remit them to the organization concerned without delay.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 479; 363rd Report, Case No. 2684, para. 564; and 370th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 737.)

700. When legislation admits trade union security clauses, such as the withholding of trade union dues from the wages of non-members benefiting from the conclusion of a collective agreement, those clauses should only take effect through collective agreements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 480; 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 27; 358th Report, Case No. 2739, para. 317; 364th Report, Case No. 2739, para. 332; and 371st Report, Case No. 2963, para. 235.)

701. The deduction of trade union dues by employers and their transfer to trade unions is a matter which should be dealt with through collective bargaining between employers and all trade unions without legislative obstruction.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 481; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 122; and 371st Report, Case No. 2713, para. 878.)

702. A considerable delay in the administration of justice with regard to the remittance of trade union dues withheld by an enterprise is tantamount in practice to a denial of justice.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 482.)

703. A legal restriction on the amount which a federation may receive from the unions affiliated to it would appear to be contrary to the generally accepted principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to organize their administration and activities and those of the federations which they form.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 483; and 378th Report, Case No. 3032, para. 390.)

704. Particularly in transition countries, special measures, including tax deductions for trade union dues and membership dues of employers' organizations, should be considered in order to ease the development of employers' and workers' organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 484; and 348th Report, Case No. 2317, para. 1010.)

705. It is inconsistent with the principles of freedom of association to unilaterally extend the check-off facility to all staff without a collective agreement between the parties to that effect.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2437, para. 1316).

C. Control and restrictions on the use of trade union funds

706. Provisions which give the authorities the right to restrict the freedom of a trade union to administer and utilize its funds as it wishes for normal and lawful trade union purposes are incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 485; 342nd Report, Case No. 2453, para. 713; and 358th Report, Case No. 2740, para. 654.)

707. The freezing of union bank accounts may constitute serious interference by the authorities in trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 486; 342nd Report, Case No. 2453, para. 713; 343rd Report, Case No. 2381, para. 136; and 358th Report, Case No. 2740, para. 654.)

708. While the legislation in many countries requires that trade union accounts be audited, either by an auditor appointed by the trade union or, less frequently, appointed by the registrar of trade unions, it is generally accepted that such an auditor shall possess the required professional qualifications and be an independent person. A provision which reserves to the government the right to audit trade union funds

is therefore not consistent with the generally accepted principle that trade unions should have the right to organize their administration and that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 487.)

709. Legislation obliging a trade union to have its books of account stamped and the pages numbered by the ministry of labour before they are opened for use appears only to be aimed at preventing fraud. The Committee has taken the view that such a requirement does not constitute a breach of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 488.)

710. The Committee has observed that, in general, trade union organizations appear to agree that legislative provisions requiring, for instance, financial statements to be presented annually to the authorities in the prescribed form and the submission of other data on points which may not seem clear in the said statements, do not per se infringe trade union autonomy. Measures of supervision over the administration of trade unions may be useful if they are employed only to prevent abuses and to protect the members of the trade union themselves against mismanagement of their funds. However, it would seem that measures of this kind may, in certain cases, entail a danger of interference by the public authorities in the administration of trade unions or impede the lawful exercise thereof, contrary to Article 3 of Convention No. 87. It may be considered, however, to some extent, that a guarantee exists against such interference where the official appointed to exercise supervision enjoys some degree of independence of the administrative authorities and where that official is subject to the control of the judicial authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 489.)

711. The control exercised by the public authorities over trade union finances should not normally exceed the obligation to submit periodic reports. The discretionary right of the authorities to carry out inspections and request information at any time entails a danger of interference in the internal administration of trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 490.)

712. As regards certain measures of administrative control over trade union assets, such as financial audits and investigations, the Committee has considered that these should be applied only in exceptional cases, when justified by grave circumstances (for instance, presumed irregularities in the annual statement or irregularities reported by members of the organization), in order to avoid any discrimination between one trade union and another and to preclude the danger of excessive intervention by the authorities which might hamper a union's exercise of the right to organize its administration freely, and also to avoid harmful and perhaps unjustified publicity or the disclosure of information which might be confidential.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 491.)

713. The general principle that there should be judicial control of the internal management of an occupational organization in order to ensure an impartial and objective procedure is particularly important in regard to the administration of trade union property and finances.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 492.)

714. Where the bank accounts of trade union leaders accused of embezzlement of trade union funds are frozen, the Committee has pointed out that if, following investigation, no evidence of misappropriation of trade union funds has been found, it would be unreasonable for the accounts of the trade unionists, whether or not they have remained in the country, to remain frozen.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 493; and 350th Report, Case No. 2478, para. 1396.)

715. It is for the organizations themselves to decide whether they shall receive funding for legitimate activities to promote and defend human rights and trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 494.)

Right of organizations freely to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes

General principles

716. Freedom of association implies not only the right of workers and employers to form freely organizations of their own choosing, but also the right for the organizations themselves to pursue lawful activities for the defence of their occupational interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 495; 346th Report, Case No. 2475, para. 992, Case No. 2521, para. 1034; and 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 471.)

717. With regard to the rallies and strikes organized by the teachers' unions, the Committee firstly recalls that freedom of association implies not only the right of workers and employers to form freely organizations of their own choosing, but also the right for the organizations themselves to pursue lawful activities – including peaceful demonstrations – for the defence of their occupational interests.

(See 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 980.)

718. Any provision which gives the authorities, for example, the right to restrict the activities and objects pursued by trade unions for the furtherance and defence of the interests of their members would be incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 496.)

719. Employers' and workers' organizations must be allowed to conduct their activities in a climate that is free from pressure, intimidation, harassment, threats or efforts to discredit them or their leaders, which includes the adulteration of documents.

(See 328th Report, Case No. 2167, para. 302.)

720. Professional organizations of workers and employers should under no circumstances be subjected to retaliatory measures for having exercised their rights arising from ILO instruments on freedom of association, and especially for having lodged a complaint before the Committee on Freedom of Association.

(See 375th Report, Case No. 3085, para. 100.)

Political activities and relations

721. In order that trade unions may be sheltered from political vicissitudes, and in order that they may avoid being dependent on the public authorities, it is desirable that, without prejudice to the freedom of opinion of their members, they should limit the field of their activities to the occupational and trade union fields; the government, on the other hand, should refrain from interfering in the functioning of trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 497.)

722. In the interests of the normal development of the trade union movement, it would be desirable to have regard to the principles enunciated in the resolution concerning the independence of the trade union movement adopted by the International Labour Conference at its 35th Session (1952) that the fundamental and permanent mission of the trade union movement is the economic and social advancement of the workers and that when trade unions, in accordance with the national law and practice of their respective countries and at the decision of their members, decide to establish relations with a political party or to undertake constitutional political action as a means towards the advancement of their economic and social objectives, such political relations or actions should not be of such a nature as to compromise the continuance of the trade union movement or its social or economic functions irrespective of political changes in the country.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 498; and 349th Report, Case No. 2249, para. 306.)

723. The Committee drew attention to the resolution concerning the independence of the trade union movement adopted by the International Labour Conference in 1952, which recalls that it is essential to preserve the freedom and independence of the trade union movement in all countries so that it can pursue its economic and social objectives regardless of any political changes.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3166, para. 599.)

724. The Committee has reaffirmed the principle expressed by the International Labour Conference in the resolution concerning the independence of the trade union movement that governments should not attempt to transform the trade union movement into an instrument for the pursuance of political aims, nor should they attempt to interfere with the normal functions of a trade union movement because of its freely established relationship with a political party.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 499; and 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 763.)

725. Provisions imposing a general prohibition on political activities by trade unions for the promotion of their specific objectives are contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 500; 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 763; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 131; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 856; and 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 476.)

726. If trade unions are prohibited in general terms from engaging in any political activities, this may raise difficulties by reason of the fact that the interpretation given to the relevant provisions may, in practice, change at any moment and considerably restrict the possibility of action of the organizations. It would, therefore, seem that States, without prohibiting in general terms political activities of occupational organizations, should be able to entrust to the judicial authorities the task of repressing abuses which might, in certain cases, be committed by organizations which have lost sight of the fact that their fundamental objective should be the economic and social advancement of their members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 501.)

727. Trade union organizations should not engage in political activities in an abusive manner and go beyond their true functions by promoting essentially political interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 502; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1432; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 749; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 856; and 378th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 265.)

728. A general prohibition on trade unions from engaging in any political activities would not only be incompatible with the principles of freedom of association, but also unrealistic in practice. Trade union organizations may wish, for example, to express publicly their opinion regarding the government's economic and social policy.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 503; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1432; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 749; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 552; 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 841; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 832; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 131; 370th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 441; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 856; and 378th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 265.)

729. There should be no confusion between the performance of their specific functions by trade unions and employers' organizations, i.e. the defence and promotion of the occupational interests of workers and employers, and the possible pursuit by certain of their members of other activities that are unconnected with trade union functions. The penal responsibility which such persons may incur as a result of such acts should in no way lead to measures being taken to deprive the organizations themselves or their leaders of their means of action.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 504.)

730. It is only in so far as trade union organizations do not allow their occupational demands to assume a clearly political aspect that they can legitimately claim that there should be no interference in their activities. On the other hand, it is difficult to draw a clear distinction between what is political and what is, properly speaking, trade union in character. These two notions overlap and it is inevitable, and sometimes usual, for trade union publications to take a stand on questions having political aspects, as well as on strictly economic and social questions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 505; and 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 778.)

731. The exercise of trade union rights might at times entail criticisms of the authorities of public employer institutions and/or of socio-economic conditions of concern to trade unions and their members.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 2418, para. 810.)

732. In specific circumstances regarding certain categories of public servants, activities on issues going beyond socio-economic matters and touching upon national security issues do not fall within the scope of protection afforded by freedom of association principles.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 778.)

733. With regard to legal provisions under which "the trade unions shall mobilize and educate workers and employees so that they ... respect work discipline", they "shall organize workers and employees by conducting socialist emulation campaigns at the workplace" and "the trade unions shall educate workers and employees ... in order to strengthen their ideological convictions", the Committee has considered that the functions assigned to the trade unions by this body of provisions must necessarily limit their right to organize their activities, contrary to the principles of freedom of association. It has considered that the obligations thus defined, which the unions must observe, prevent the establishment of trade union organizations that are independent of the public authorities and of the ruling party, and whose mission should be to defend and promote the interests of their constituents and not to reinforce the country's political and economic systems.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 506; 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 474.)

734. A law obliging leaders of occupational associations to make a declaration "to uphold democracy" could lead to abuses, since such a provision does not include any precise criteria on which a judicial decision could be based were a trade union leader to be accused of not having respected the terms of the declaration.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 507; and 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 474.)

Other activities of organizations (protest activities, representation of members, sit-ins, public demonstrations, etc.)

735. The right of petition is a legitimate activity of trade union organizations, and persons who sign such trade union petitions should not be reprimanded or punished for this type of activity.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 508; and 357th Report, Case No. 2714, para. 1117.)

736. Trade unions should be free to determine the procedure for submitting claims to the employer and the legislation should not impede the functioning of a trade union by obliging a trade union to call a general meeting every time there is a claim to be made to an employer.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 510.)

737. Denouncing to the competent authorities insufficient occupational safety and health measures is in fact a legitimate trade union activity and a workers' right which should be guaranteed by law.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 774.)

738. If a government takes reprisals, directly or indirectly, against trade unionists or the leaders of workers' or employers' organizations for the simple reason that they protest against the appointment of workers' or employers' delegates to a national or international meeting, this constitutes an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 511.)

739. Legislation which permits the competent authorities to ban any organization which carries on any normal trade union activity, such as campaigning for a minimum wage, if that activity has at time figured in the programme of trade union or other organization which has been declared to be unlawful, is incompatible with the generally accepted principle that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of workers' organizations to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes, or which would impede the lawful exercise of this right.

(See 85th Report, Cases Nos. 300, 311 and 321, paras. 123 and 124.)

740. The expression of an opinion by a trade union organization concerning a court decision relative to the killing of trade union members is in fact a legitimate trade union activity.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 513.)

741. By threatening retaliatory measures against workers who had merely expressed their intention to hold a sit-in in pursuance of their legitimate economic and social interests, the employer interfered in the workers' basic right to organize their administration and activities and to formulate their programmes, contrary to Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 514.)

742. The extent to which the part played by the trade unions in organizing work competition and undertaking propaganda for production or the carrying out of economic plans is consistent with the fulfilment by the trade unions of their responsibility for protecting the interests of the workers depends on the degree of freedom enjoyed by the trade unions in other respects.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 515.)

743. The Committee has considered that, while it is not called upon to express an opinion as to the desirability of entrusting the administration of social insurance and the supervision of the application of social legislation to occupational associations rather than to administrative state organs, in so far as such a measure might restrict the free exercise of trade union rights, such questions might be within its mandate:

(1) if the trade unions exercise discrimination in administering the social insurance funds made available to them for the purpose of exercising pressure on unorganized workers; (2) if the independence of the trade union movement should thereby be compromised.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 516.)

744. Neither legislation nor the application thereof should limit the right of employers' and workers' organizations to represent their members, including in cases of individual labour complaints.

(See 378th Report, Cases Nos. 3110 and 3123, para. 627.)

745. The right of workers to be represented by an official of their union in any proceedings involving their working conditions, in accordance with procedures prescribed by laws or regulations, is a right that is generally recognized in a large number of countries. It is particularly important that this right should be respected when workers whose level of education does not enable them to defend themselves adequately without the assistance of a more experienced person, are not permitted to be represented by a lawyer and so can rely only on their union officers for assistance.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 517.)

746. The restriction imposed on trade unions to represent their members in cases of appeal at the highest level or the restriction imposed on members to be represented by a lawyer rather than by their trade union, does not in itself constitute undue interference with the right to pursue lawful activities for the defence of workers' or employers' occupational interests.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2475, para. 992.)

747. The right of trade unions to organize their own administration and activities and to formulate their own programmes is not affected by the introduction of compulsory representation by a lawyer in the national courts. However, the introduction of a costly and previously non-existent obligation to be represented by a lawyer of the Court of Cassation, in other words a specialized lawyer, could, among other things, result in limiting the number of appeals lodged by trade unions or workers. This decree could also affect the rate of trade union membership, because fewer workers might be interested in joining trade unions if one of the trade union functions was removed.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2475, para. 992.)

748. The boycott is a very special form of action which, in some cases, may involve a trade union whose members continue their work and are not directly involved in the dispute with the employer against whom the boycott is imposed. In these circumstances, the prohibition of boycotts by law does not necessarily appear to involve an interference with trade union rights.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 518.)
```

749. The choice of unionists to take part in purely union-organized training courses, wherever held, should be left to the workers' organization or educational institution responsible for such activities and not be dictated by any political parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 519.)

750. The question of the fixing of a private enterprise day by a central employers' organization is a matter which should be decided freely by the occupational organization concerned and there should be no need for an administrative authorization of this kind of commemoration or the fixing of its date.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1351, para. 261.)

Right to strike

10

Importance of the right to strike and its legitimate exercise

751. While the Committee has always regarded the right to strike as constituting a fundamental right of workers and of their organizations, it has regarded it as such only in so far as it is utilized as a means of defending their economic interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 520.)

752. The Committee has always recognized the right to strike by workers and their organizations as a legitimate means of defending their economic and social interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 521; 346th Report, Case No. 2528, para. 1446; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 419; 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 980; 353rd Report, Case No. 2589, para. 126; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 662; 356th Report, Case No. 2696, para. 306; 358th Report, Case No. 2737, para. 636; 360th Report, Case No. 2803, para. 340; 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 767, Case No. 2841, para. 1036; 363rd Report, Case No. 2704, para. 399, Case No. 2602, para. 465; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 577; 367th Report, Case No. 2938, para. 227; 370th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 735; 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 213; and 376th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 1002.)

753. The right to strike is one of the essential means through which workers and their organizations may promote and defend their economic and social interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 522; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 695, Case No. 2365, para. 1048; 344th Report, Case No. 2496, para. 407, Case No. 2471, para. 891; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 780, Case No. 2473, para. 1532; 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 538; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 681; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1329; 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1103; 356th Report, Case No. 2696, para. 306; 357th Report, Case No. 2713, para. 1101; 360th Report, Case No. 2803, para. 340; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778; 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 614; and 377th Report, Case No. 3107, para. 240.)

754. The right to strike is an intrinsic corollary to the right to organize protected by Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 523; 344th Report, Case No. 2471, para. 891; 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1076, Case No. 2473, para. 1532; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 419; 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1114; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2838, para. 1077.)

755. Strikes are by nature disruptive and costly; strike action also calls for a significant sacrifice from those workers who choose to exercise it as a last resort tool and means of pressure on the employer to redress any perceived injustices.

(365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 577.)

756. It does not appear that making the right to call a strike the sole preserve of trade union organizations is incompatible with the standards of Convention No. 87. Workers, and especially their leaders in undertakings, should however be protected against any discrimination which might be exercised because of a strike and they should be able to form trade unions without being exposed to anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 524.)

757. The prohibition on the calling of strikes by federations and confederations is not compatible with Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 525.)

Objective of the strike (strikes on economic and social issues, political strikes, solidarity strikes, etc.)

758. The occupational and economic interests which workers defend through the exercise of the right to strike do not only concern better working conditions or collective claims of an occupational nature, but also the seeking of solutions to economic and social policy questions and problems facing the undertaking which are of direct concern to the workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 526; 344th Report, Case No. 2496, para. 407; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 573; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 668; 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 224; 371st Report, Case No. 2963, para. 236, Case No. 2988, para. 852; and 378th Report, Case No. 3111, para. 712.)

759. Organizations responsible for defending workers' socio-economic and occupational interests should be able to use strike action to support their position in the search for solutions to problems posed by major social and economic policy trends which have a direct impact on their members and on workers in general, in particular as regards employment, social protection and standards of living.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 527; 340th Report, Case No. 2413, para. 901; 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 685; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1025; 344th Report, Case No. 2496, para. 413; 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1076; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 668; 362nd Report, Case No. 2838, para. 1077; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 852; and 378th Report, Case No. 3111, para. 712.)

760. Strikes of a purely political nature and strikes decided systematically long before negotiations take place do not fall within the scope of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 528; 340th Report, Case No. 2413, para. 901; 344th Report, Case No. 2509, para. 1245; and 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 573.)

761. Strikes of a purely political nature do not fall within the protection of Conventions Nos. 87 and 98.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 749; and 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 705.)

762. If a national civic work stoppage is exclusively political and insurrectional, the Committee would not have competence in the issue.

(See 334th Report, Case No. 2254, paragraph 1082).

763. While purely political strikes do not fall within the scope of the principles of freedom of association, trade unions should be able to have recourse to protest strikes, in particular where aimed at criticizing a government's economic and social policies.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 529; 344th Report, Case No. 2509, para. 1247; 348th Report, Case No. 2530, para. 1190; 351st Report, Case No. 2616, para. 1012; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 573; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 668; 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 841; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3011, para. 646.)

764. There is a distinction between a strike and a lockout, but this case refers to a "peaceful demonstration" and a "suspension of services", which do not come within the scope of employer–worker relations, but rather that of a protest and suspension of activities by the employer. Under these circumstances, employers, like workers, should be able to have recourse to protest strikes (or action) against a government's economic and social policies, which can be restricted only in the case of essential services or public services of fundamental importance, in which a minimum service could be established.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2530, para. 1190.)

765. In one case where a general strike against an ordinance concerning conciliation and arbitration was certainly one against the government's policy, the Committee considered that it seemed doubtful whether allegations relating to it could be dismissed at the outset on the ground that it was not in furtherance of a trade dispute, since the trade unions were in dispute with the government in its capacity as an important employer following the initiation of a measure dealing with industrial relations which, in the view of the trade unions, restricted the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 530.)

766. The right to strike should not be limited solely to industrial disputes that are likely to be resolved through the signing of a collective agreement; workers and their organizations should be able to express in a broader context, if necessary, their dissatisfaction as regards economic and social matters affecting their members' interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 531; 344th Report, Case No. 2486, para. 1208, Case No. 2509, para. 1245; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1543; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 668; 362nd Report, Case No. 2814, para. 443; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 118; 367th Report, Case No. 2814, para. 354; 372nd Report, Case No. 3011, para. 648; 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 468; and 376th Report, Case No. 3011, para. 151.)

767. The solution to a legal conflict as a result of a difference in interpretation of a legal text should be left to the competent courts. The prohibition of strikes in such a situation does not constitute a breach of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 532; 367th Report, Case No. 2907, para. 897; and 373rd Report, Case No. 3005, para. 192.)

768. If strikes are prohibited while a collective agreement is in force, this restriction must be compensated for by the right to have recourse to impartial and rapid mechanisms, within which individual or collective complaints about the interpretation or application of collective agreements can be examined; this type of mechanism not only allows the inevitable difficulties which may occur regarding the interpretation or application of collective agreements to be resolved while the agreements are in force, but also has the advantage of preparing the ground for future rounds of negotiations, given that it allows problems which have arisen during the period of validity of the collective agreement in question to be identified.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 533; 344th Report, Case No. 2509, para. 1245; 364th Report, Case No. 2907, para. 673; and 367th Report, Case No. 2907, para. 898.)

769. A strike aimed at an increase in wages and payment of wage arrears clearly falls within the scope of legitimate trade union activities.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2323, para. 691.)

770. A general prohibition of sympathy strikes could lead to abuse and workers should be able to take such action provided the initial strike they are supporting is itself lawful.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 534; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1543; and 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 220.)

771. By excluding sympathy strikes, secondary boycotts and industrial action in support of multiple-enterprise agreements from the scope of protected industrial action, legal provisions could adversely affect the right of organizations to seek and negotiate multi-employer agreements, as well as unduly restrict the right to strike.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 220.)

772. The fact that a strike is called for recognition of a union is a legitimate interest which may be defended by workers and their organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 535; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1537; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 681; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 662; and 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 118.)

773. A ban on strikes related to recognition disputes (for collective bargaining) is not in conformity with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 536.)

774. A claim for recognition for collective bargaining purposes addressed to the subcontracting company does not render a strike illegal.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 681.)

775. Protest strikes in a situation where workers have for many months not been paid their salaries by the Government are legitimate trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 537; and 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 573.)

776. A ban on strike action not linked to a collective dispute to which the employee or union is a party is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 538; 344th Report, Case No. 2496, para. 408; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1543; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 681; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 852; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3011, para. 648.)

777. Provisions which prohibit strikes if they are concerned with the issue of whether a collective employment contract will bind more than one employer are contrary to the principles of freedom of association on the right to strike; workers and their organizations should be able to call for industrial action in support of multi-employer contracts.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 539.)

778. Workers and their organizations should be able to call for industrial action (strikes) in support of multi-employer contracts (collective agreements).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 540; and 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 220.)

779. The Committee has stated on many occasions that strikes at the national level are legitimate in so far as they have economic and social objectives and not purely political ones; the prohibition of strikes could only be acceptable in the case of civil servants acting on behalf of the public authorities or of workers in essential services in the strict sense of the term, i.e. services whose interruption could endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 541.)

780. A declaration of the illegality of a national strike protesting against the social and labour consequences of the government's economic policy and the banning of the strike constitute a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 542.)

781. As regards a general strike, the Committee has considered that strike action is one of the means of action which should be available to workers' organizations. A 24-hour general strike seeking an increase in the minimum wage, respect of collective agreements in force and a change in economic policy (to decrease prices and unemployment) is legitimate and within the normal field of activity of trade union organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 543.)

782. A general protest strike demanding that an end be brought to the hundreds of murders of trade union leaders and unionists during the past few years is a legitimate trade union activity and its prohibition therefore constitutes a serious violation of freedom of association.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 544.)
```

Types of strike action

783. Generally, a strike is a temporary work stoppage (or slowdown) wilfully effected by one or more groups of workers with a view to enforcing or resisting demands or expressing grievances, or supporting other workers in their demands or grievances.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2716, para. 862.)

784. Regarding various types of strike action denied to workers (wild-cat strikes, tools-down, go-slow, working to rule and sit-down strikes), the Committee considers that these restrictions may be justified only if the strike ceases to be peaceful.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 545; 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1143; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1370.)

785. The Committee has considered that the occupation of plantations by workers and by other persons, particularly when acts of violence are committed, is contrary to Article 8 of Convention No. 87. It therefore requested the Government, in future, to enforce the evacuation orders pronounced by the judicial authorities whenever criminal acts are committed on plantations or at places of work in connection with industrial disputes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 546.)

786. In a case where the justice system qualified the act of reporting to work with shaved heads or cropped hair styles as a strike action and a violation of the grooming standards of the hotel, the Committee, while taking into account the concerns expressed by the hotel management with regard to its image, considered that equating the mere expression of discontent, peacefully and lawfully exercised, with a strike per se resulted in a violation of the freedom of association and expression.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2716, para. 862.)

Employer side during the strike

787. In the framework of a collective labour dispute, it is neither realistic nor necessary to always deal on the employer side with the entity bearing the ultimate financial or economic responsibility or with the highest employer representative, be it in the public sector (for example, the competent minister) or in the private sector (for example, the parent company).

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3111, para. 708.)

788. In view of the obligation of both the employer and the trade union to negotiate in good faith and make every effort to reach an agreement as well as the importance of the right to strike as one of the essential means for workers and their organizations to defend their economic and social interests, it should be ensured that the party to a collective labour dispute on the employer side has the authority to make concessions and take decisions concerning wages and terms and conditions of employment, so that the pressure brought to bear during the various stages of a collective labour dispute is effectively directed to an appropriate entity.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3111, para. 708.)

Prerequisites

789. The conditions that have to be fulfilled under the law in order to render a strike lawful should be reasonable and in any event not such as to place a substantial limitation on the means of action open to trade union organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 547; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1026; 346th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 1331; 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 225; 359th Report, Case No. 2203, para. 524; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 850; and 375th Report, Case No. 2871, para. 231.)

790. The legal procedures for declaring a strike should not be so complicated as to make it practically impossible to declare a legal strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 548; 359th Report, Case No. 2203, para. 524.)

791. Economic consideration should not be invoked as a justification for restrictions on the right to strike.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2841, para. 1041; 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 339.)

792. According to the Voluntary Conciliation and Arbitration Recommendation, 1951 (No. 92), voluntary conciliation machinery, appropriate to national conditions, should be made available to assist in the prevention and settlement of industrial disputes between employers and workers. Provision should be made to enable the procedure to be set in motion, either on the initiative of any of the parties to the dispute or ex officio by the voluntary conciliation authority.

(See 298th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 22.)

793. Legislation which provides for voluntary conciliation and arbitration in industrial disputes before a strike may be called cannot be regarded as an infringement of freedom of association, provided recourse to arbitration is not compulsory and does not, in practice, prevent the calling of the strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 549; 359th Report, Case No. 2725, para. 261, Case No. 2776, para. 288; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 853.)

794. In general, a decision to suspend a strike for a reasonable period so as to allow the parties to seek a negotiated solution through mediation or conciliation efforts, does not in itself constitute a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 550; 359th Report, Case No. 2725, para. 261, Case No. 2776, para. 288; and 371st Report, Case No. 2987, para. 167.)

795. Conciliation and mediation machinery should have the sole purpose of facilitating bargaining and should not be so complex or slow that a lawful strike becomes impossible in practice or loses its effectiveness.

(See 375th Report, Case No. 2794, para. 387.)

796. In cases of mandatory conciliation, it is desirable to entrust the decision of opening the conciliation procedure in collective disputes to a body which is independent of the parties to the dispute.

(See 336th Report, Case No. 2369, para. 212; 338th Report, Case No. 2377, para. 403; 342nd Report, Case No. 2420, para. 221; and 344th Report, Case No. 2458, para. 302.)

797. In cases of mandatory conciliation, it is necessary to entrust the decision of opening the conciliation procedure in collective disputes to a body which is independent of the parties to the dispute.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2535, para. 351; and 368th Report, Case No. 2942, para. 188.)

798. The Committee has emphasized that, although a strike may be temporarily restricted by law until all procedures available for negotiation, conciliation and arbitration have been exhausted, such a restriction should be accompanied by adequate, impartial and speedy conciliation and arbitration proceedings in which the parties concerned can take part at every stage.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 551; 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 363; and 364th Report, Case No. 2827, para. 1123.)

799. The obligation to give prior notice to the employer before calling a strike may be considered acceptable, as long as the notice is reasonable.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 552; 340th Report, Case No. 2415, para. 1257; 344th Report, Case No. 2509, para. 1246; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1542; and 376th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 1002.)

800. Prior notice of 48 hours is reasonable.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2509, para. 1246.)

801. The requirement that a 20-day period of notice be given in services of social or public interest does not undermine the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 553.)

802. The legal requirement of a cooling-off period of 40 days before a strike is declared in an essential service, in so far as it is designed to provide the parties with a period of reflection, is not contrary to the principles of freedom of association. This clause which defers action may enable both parties to come once again to the bargaining table and possibly to reach an agreement without having recourse to a strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 554.)

803. The information asked for in a strike notice should be reasonable, or interpreted in a reasonable manner, and any resulting injunctions should not be used in such a manner as to render legitimate trade union activity nearly impossible.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1542.)

804. The right of the Ministry of Civil Service Affairs and Housing to determine the time and the place of the strike could further excessively hinder the exercise of the right to strike.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 850.)

805. With regard to the majority vote required by one law for the calling of a legal strike (two-thirds of the total number of members of the union or branch concerned), non-compliance with which might entail a penalty by the administrative authorities, including the dissolution of the union, the Committee recalled the conclusions of the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations that such legal provisions constitute an intervention by the public authorities in the activities of trade unions which is of such a nature as to restrict the rights of these organizations, contrary to Article 3 of the Convention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 555.)

806. The requirement of a decision by over half of all the workers involved in order to declare a strike is excessive and could excessively hinder the possibility of carrying out a strike, particularly in large enterprises.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. para. 556; 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 225; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 850.)

807. The requirement that an absolute majority of workers should be obtained for the calling of a strike may be difficult, especially in the case of unions which group together a large number of members. A provision requiring an absolute majority may, therefore, involve the risk of seriously limiting the right to strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 557.)

808. The Committee requested a government to take measures to amend the legal requirement that a decision to call a strike be adopted by more than half of the workers to which it applies, in particular in enterprises with a large union membership.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 558.)

809. The obligation to observe a certain quorum and to take strike decisions by secret ballot may be considered acceptable.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 559.)

810. The observance of a quorum of two-thirds of the members may be difficult to reach, in particular where trade unions have large numbers of members covering a large area.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 560.)
```

811. The Committee considered that the condition requiring the agreement of the majority of member organizations for calling a strike in federations and confederations and a vote in favour of the strike by the absolute majority of the workers of the undertaking in the other cases may constitute a serious limitation on the potential activities of trade unions.

(See 214th Report, Case No. 1081, para. 266.)

812. The Committee has considered to be in conformity with the principles of freedom of association a situation where the decision to call a strike in the local branches of a trade union organization may be taken by the general assembly of the local branches, when the reason for the strike is of a local nature and where, in the higher-level trade union organizations, the decision to call a strike may be taken by the executive committee of these organizations by an absolute majority of all the members of the committee.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 562.)

813. In a case in which the national legislation provided that a majority trade union organization and an absolute majority of the workforce in an enterprise may call a strike and end a strike that is under way, as well as request the appointment of an arbitration tribunal, the Committee considered that in the specific circumstances the majority vote in favour of putting an end to strike action and regulating the appointment of an arbitration tribunal was not contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 380th Report, Case No. 3097, para. 324).

814. The obligation to hold a second strike vote if a strike has not taken place within three months of the first vote does not constitute an infringement of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 563.)

Limitation of the duration of a strike

815. The Committee has expressed its concern at the imposition of a limit on the duration of a strike which, due to its nature as a last resort for the defence of workers' interests, cannot be predetermined.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 1002.)

Recourse to compulsory arbitration

816. Compulsory arbitration to end a collective labour dispute and a strike is acceptable if it is at the request of both parties involved in a dispute, or if the strike in question may be restricted, even banned, i.e. in the case of disputes in the public service involving public servants exercising authority in the name of the State or in essential services in the strict sense of the term, namely those services whose

interruption would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 564; 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1093; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 757, Case No. 2488, para. 1331; 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1149; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 713; 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 340; 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 284; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 853; 372nd Report, Case No. 3038, para. 468; 374th Report, Case No. 3084, para. 871; 377th Report, Case No. 3107, para. 241; and 378th Report, Case No. 3147, para. 570.)

817. Compulsory arbitration is acceptable in cases of acute national crisis. (See 374th Report, Case No. 3084, para. 871).

818. In as far as compulsory arbitration prevents strike action, it is contrary to the right of trade unions to organize freely their activities and could only be justified in the public service or in essential services in the strict sense of the term.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 565; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 853.)

819. It is difficult to reconcile arbitration imposed by the authorities at their own initiative with the right to strike and the principle of the voluntary nature of negotiation.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1093; 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1149; and 378th Report, Case No. 3147, para. 570.)

820. A provision which permits either party unilaterally to request the intervention of the labour authority to resolve a dispute may effectively undermine the right of workers to call a strike and does not promote voluntary collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 566.)

821. The right to strike would be affected if a legal provision were to permit employers to submit in every case for compulsory arbitral decision disputes resulting from the failure to reach agreement during collective bargaining, thereby preventing recourse to strike action.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 567.)

822. The Committee considers that a system of compulsory arbitration through the labour authorities, if a dispute is not settled by other means, can result in a considerable restriction of the right of workers' organizations to organize their activities and may even involve an absolute prohibition of strikes, contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. para. 568; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 757; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 713; 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 340; 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 284; and 377th Report, Case No. 3107, para. 241.)

823. In order to gain and retain the parties' confidence, any arbitration system should be truly independent and the outcomes of arbitration should not be predetermined by legislative criteria.

(See the 2006 Digest, paras. 569 and 995.)

Cases in which strikes may be restricted or even prohibited, and compensatory guarantees

A. Acute national emergency

824. A general prohibition of strikes can only be justified in the event of an acute national emergency and for a limited period of time.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 570; 343rd Report, Case No. 2426, para. 284; and 371st Report, Case No. 3001, para. 211.)

825. Responsibility for suspending a strike on the grounds of national security or public health should not lie with the Government, but with an independent body which has the confidence of all parties concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 571; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 757, Case No. 2506, para. 1079; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 713; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2838, para. 1078.)

B. Public service

826. Recognition of the principle of freedom of association in the case of public servants does not necessarily imply the right to strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 572.)

827. The Committee has acknowledged that the right to strike can be restricted or even prohibited in the public service or in essential services in so far as a strike there could cause serious hardship to the national community and provided that the limitations are accompanied by certain compensatory guarantees.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 573; and 374th Report, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 662.)

828. The right to strike may be restricted or prohibited only for public servants exercising authority in the name of the State.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 574; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1446; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 152.)

829. Too broad a definition of the concept of public servant is likely to result in a very wide restriction or even a prohibition of the right to strike for these workers. The prohibition of the right to strike in the public service should be limited to public servants exercising authority in the name of the State.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 575; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1446; and 378th Report, Case No. 3111, para. 715.)

830. The right to strike may be restricted or prohibited: (1) in the public service only for public servants exercising authority in the name of the State; or (2) in essential

services in the strict sense of the term (that is, services the interruption of which would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 576; 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 751; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 578; 346th Report, Case No. 2500, para. 324; 348th Report, Case No. 2433, para. 48, Case No. 2519, para. 1141; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 421; 351st Report, Case No. 2355, para. 361, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; 353rd Report, Case No. 2631, para. 1357; 354th Report, Case No. 2649, para. 395; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 370; 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 224; 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 767, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778; 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 335, Case No. 2885, para. 384, Case No. 2929, para. 637, Case No. 2860, para. 1182; 370th Report, Case No. 2956, para. 142; 371st Report, Case No. 3001, para. 211, Case No. 2988, para. 851; 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 614; 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 213; 377th Report, Case No. 3107, para. 240; and 378th Report, Case No. 3111, para. 715.)

831. Public servants in state-owned commercial or industrial enterprises should have the right to negotiate collective agreements, enjoy suitable protection against acts of anti-union discrimination and enjoy the right to strike, provided that the interruption of services does not endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 577; 340th Report, Case No. 2415, para. 1254; 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1144; 350th Report, Case No. 2543, para. 728; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 605; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 614.)

832. Officials working in the administration of justice and the judiciary are officials who exercise authority in the name of the State and whose right to strike could thus be subject to restrictions, such as its suspension or even prohibition.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 578; 344th Report, Case No. 2461, para. 313; 348th Report, Case No. 2088, para. 176; 353rd Report, Case No. 2614, para. 398; 359th Report, Case No. 2776, para. 288; 371st Report, Case No. 2203, para. 534; and 374th Report, Case No. 3024, para. 556.)

833. The prohibition of the right to strike of customs officers, who are public servants exercising authority in the name of the State, is not contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 579; 357th Report, Case No. 2690, para. 947; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778.)

834. Employees performing tasks related to the administration, audit and collection of internal revenues also exercise authority in the name of the State.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2690, para. 947.)

835. Action taken by a government to obtain a court injunction to put a temporary end to a strike in the public sector does not constitute an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 580.)

C. Essential services

836. To determine situations in which a strike could be prohibited, the criterion which has to be established is the existence of a clear and imminent threat to the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 581; 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 469; 346th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 1328; 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1141; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 421; and 364th Report, Case No. 2907, para. 670.)

837. What is meant by essential services in the strict sense of the term depends to a large extent on the particular circumstances prevailing in a country. Moreover, this concept is not absolute, in the sense that a non-essential service may become essential if a strike lasts beyond a certain time or extends beyond a certain scope, thus endangering the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 582; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1142; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 351st Report, Case No. 2355, para. 361, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1114; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3038, para. 469.)

838. The principle regarding the prohibition of strikes in essential services might lose its meaning if a strike were declared illegal in one or more undertakings which were not performing an "essential service" in the strict sense of the term, i.e. services whose interruption would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 583; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; and 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1142.)

839. It would not appear to be appropriate for all state-owned undertakings to be treated on the same basis in respect of limitations of the right to strike, without distinguishing in the relevant legislation between those which are genuinely essential and those which are not.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 584; and 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 214.)

840. The following may be considered to be essential services:

the hospital sector

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; and 355th Report, Case No. 2659, para. 240);

electricity services

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778);

water supply services

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; 354th Report, Case No. 2649, para. 395; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778);

the telephone service

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778);

the police and the armed forces

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; and 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422);

the fire-fighting services

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; and 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336);

public or private prison services

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; and 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422);

the provision of food to pupils of school age and the cleaning of schools

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; and 360th Report, Case No. 2784, para. 243);

air traffic control

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 585; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; 353rd Report, Case No. 2631, para. 1357; 362nd Report, Case No. 2785, para. 736, Case No. 2841, para. 1041; and 376th Report, Case No. 3079, para. 421).

841. The principle that air traffic control is an essential service applies to all strikes, whatever their form - go-slow, work-to-rule, sick-out, etc. - as these may be just as dangerous as a regular strike for the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 586.)

842. The following do not constitute essential services in the strict sense of the term:

radio and television

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1144; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778);

the petroleum sector and oil facilities

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 469, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1144; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 362nd Report, Case No. 2841, para. 1036; 364th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 1082; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 851; 372nd Report, Case No. 3038, para. 469; and 374th Report, Case No. 2946, para. 253);

distribution of fuel to ensure that flights continue to operate

(362nd Report, Case No. 2841, para. 1041);

the gas sector

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422);

filling and selling gas canisters

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 979);

ports

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 817, Case No. 2519, para. 1142, Case No. 2530, para. 1191; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 573; 357th Report, Case No. 2690, para. 943; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 851);

banking

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1149; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778);

the Central Bank

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1144);

insurance services

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1149);

computer services for the collection of excise duties and taxes

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

department stores and pleasure parks

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

the metal and mining sectors

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

transport generally, including metropolitan transport

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 340th Report, Case No. 2415, para. 1254; 342nd Report, Case No. 2252, para. 155; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1071; 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 817, Case No. 2519, para. 1144; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 767; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 851);

airline pilots

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 851);

production, transport and distribution of fuel

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 348th Report, Case No. 2530, para. 1191; 362nd Report, Case No. 2841, para. 1036; 364th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 1082; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 851);

rail services

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1144; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 614);

metropolitan transport

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 348th Report, Case No. 2530, para. 1191; and 377th Report, Case No. 3107, para. 240);

postal services

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1144; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1336; and 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 335);

refuse collection services (See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

refrigeration enterprises (See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

hotel services (See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

construction (See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

car manufacturing (See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

agricultural activities, the supply and distribution of foodstuffs

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 348th Report, Case No. 2530, para. 1191; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2704, para. 399);

tea, coffee and coconut plantations (See 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1144);

the Mint

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024);

the government printing service and the state alcohol, salt and tobacco monopolies (See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

the education sector

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587; 344th Report, Case No. 2364, para. 91; 346th Report, Case No. 2489, para. 463, Case No. 1865, para. 772; 348th Report, Case No. 2364, para. 122; 349th Report, Case No. 2562, para. 406, Case No. 2552, para. 422, Case No. 2489, para. 686; 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para. 639; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 573; 354th Report, Case No. 2587, para. 1057; 355th Report, Case No. 2657, para. 573; and 360th Report, Case No. 2803, para. 340);

mineral water bottling companies

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 587);

aircraft repairs (See 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1024);

elevator services

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1093);

export services

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2519, para. 1144);

private security services (with the exception of public or private prison services) (See 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422);

airports (with the exception of air traffic control) (See 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422); pharmacies (See 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422); bakeries (See 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422); beer production (See 364th Report, Case No. 2907, para. 670); the glass industry (See 374th Report, Case No. 3084, para. 871).

843. While the impact which the declaration of a full lockout in the oil and gas sector may have upon the assessment of the consequences of such collective action upon daily life is no doubt a relevant national circumstance to be taken into account by the Committee, it is necessary for such impacts to go beyond mere interference with trade and commerce and to have endangered the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population for resort to compulsory arbitration to have been warranted.

(See 372nd Report, Case No. 3038, para. 470.)

844. While the Committee has found that the education sector does not constitute an essential service, it has held that principals and vice-principals can have their right to strike restricted or even prohibited.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 588; 346th Report, Case No. 2414, para. 18, Case No. 1865, para. 772; and 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para. 639.)

845. Arguments that civil servants do not traditionally enjoy the right to strike because the State as their employer has a greater obligation of protection towards them have not persuaded the Committee to change its position on the right to strike of teachers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 589; 348th Report, Case No. 2364, para. 122; and 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para. 639.)

846. The possible long-term consequences of strikes in the teaching sector do not justify their prohibition.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 590; 348th Report, Case No. 2364, para. 122; and 360th Report, Case No. 2803, para. 340.)

847. The Committee considers that in appropriate cases in which the imposition of minimum services is permissible, such as in the sector of refuse collection service, measures should be taken to guarantee that such minimum services avoid danger to public health and safety of the population.

(See 309th Report, Case No. 1916, para. 100.)

848. By linking restrictions on strike action to interference with trade and commerce, a broad range of legitimate strike action could be impeded. While the economic impact of industrial action and its effect on trade and commerce may be regrettable, such consequences in and of themselves do not render a service "essential", and thus the right to strike should be maintained.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 592; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 715; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 465; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 577, Case No. 2723, para. 778; 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 285; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3038, para. 469.)

849. Within essential services, certain categories of employees, such as hospital labourers and gardeners, should not be deprived of the right to strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 593; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 851; and 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 215.)

850. The exclusion from the right to strike of wage-earners in the private sector who are on probation is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 594.)

851. Although it has always been sensitive to the fact that a prolonged interruption in postal services can affect third parties who have no connection with the dispute and that it may, for example, have serious repercussions for companies and directly affects individuals (in particular recipients of unemployment benefits or social assistance and elderly people who depend on their pension payments), the Committee nevertheless considered that, whatever the case may be, and however unfortunate such consequences are, they do not justify a restriction of the fundamental rights of freedom of association and collective bargaining, unless they become so serious as to endanger the life, safety or health of part or all of the population.

(See 316th Report, Case No. 1985, paras 322-323; and 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 336.)

852. In a case in which a collective agreement included the classification of several services as essential, the Committee observed that, generally speaking, the list in the collective agreement, which went far beyond the mining sector to cover the provision of services to the community at large, corresponded to its notion of essential services. Although some of the services set out in the agreement, such as those concerning sanitation and transport, fell outside the scope of essential services in the strict sense of the term, these restrictions on the right to strike were the result of an agreement freely entered into by the two parties.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2500, para. 325).

D. Compensatory guarantees in the event of the prohibition of strikes in the public service or in essential services

853. Where the right to strike is restricted or prohibited in certain essential undertakings or services, adequate protection should be given to the workers to compensate for the limitation thereby placed on their freedom of action with regard to disputes affecting such undertakings and services.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 595; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 578; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 421; 350th Report, Case No. 2543, para. 726; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 376; 367th Report, Case No. 2860, para. 1182; and 370th Report, Case No. 2956, para. 142.)

854. In the event that an intervention would be necessary for safety reasons, the parties to the dispute should be given every opportunity to bargain collectively, for a sufficient period of time, with the help of independent facilitators and machinery and procedures designed with the foremost objective of promoting collective bargaining.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1095.)

855. Based on the premise that a negotiated agreement, however unsatisfactory, is to be preferred to an imposed solution, the parties should always retain the option of returning voluntarily to the bargaining table, which implies that whatever disputes settlement mechanism is adopted, it should be possible to suspend the compulsory arbitration process, if the parties wish to resume negotiations.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1095.)

856. As regards the nature of appropriate guarantees in cases where restrictions are placed on the right to strike in essential services and the public service, restrictions on the right to strike should be accompanied by adequate, impartial and speedy conciliation and arbitration proceedings in which the parties concerned can take part at every stage and in which the awards, once made, are fully and promptly implemented.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 596; 340th Report, Case No. 2415, para. 1256; 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1095; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 421; 350th Report, Case No. 2543, para. 726; 353rd Report, Case No. 2631, para. 1357; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 376; 359th Report, Case No. 2383, para. 182; 367th Report, Case No. 2885, para. 384, Case No. 2929, para. 637; 370th Report, Case No. 2956, para. 142; and 371st Report, Case No. 2203, para. 534.)

857. The reservation of budgetary powers to the legislative authority should not have the effect of preventing compliance with the terms of awards handed down by the compulsory arbitration tribunal. Any departure from this practice would detract from the effective application of the principle that, where strikes by workers in essential services are prohibited or restricted, such prohibition should be accompanied by the existence of conciliation procedures and of impartial arbitration machinery, the awards of which are binding on both parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 597; and 359th Report, Case No. 2383, para. 181.)

858. In mediation and arbitration proceedings it is essential that all the members of the bodies entrusted with such functions should not only be strictly impartial but, if the confidence of both sides, on which the successful outcome even of compulsory arbitration really depends, is to be gained and maintained, they should also appear to be impartial both to the employers and to the workers concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 598; 340th Report, Case No. 2415, para. 1256; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 382; 359th Report, Case No. 2383, para. 183; 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 341; and 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 286.)

859. The appointment by the minister of all five members of the Essential Services Arbitration Tribunal calls into question the independence and impartiality of such a tribunal, as well as the confidence of the concerned parties in such a system. The representative organizations of workers and employers should, respectively, be able to select members of the Essential Services Arbitration Tribunal who represent them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 599.)

860. Employees deprived of the right to strike because they perform essential services must have appropriate guarantees to safeguard their interests; a corresponding denial of the right of lockout, provision of joint conciliation procedures and where, and only where, conciliation fails, the provision of joint arbitration machinery.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 600; 355th Report, Case No. 2659, para. 241; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 854.)

861. Referring to its recommendation that restrictions on the right to strike would be acceptable if accompanied by conciliation and arbitration procedures, the Committee has made it clear that this recommendation does not refer to the absolute prohibition of the right to strike, but to the restriction of that right in essential services or in the public service, in relation to which adequate guarantees should be provided to safeguard the workers' interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 601.)

862. Regarding the requirement that the parties pay for the conciliation and mediation/arbitration services, the Committee has concluded that, provided the costs are reasonable and do not inhibit the ability of the parties, in particular those with inadequate resources, to make use of the services, there has not been a violation of freedom of association on this basis.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 602.)

863. The Committee takes no position as to the desirability of conciliation over mediation as both are means of assisting the parties in voluntarily reaching an agreement. Nor does the Committee take a position as to the desirability of a separated conciliation and arbitration system over a combined mediation-arbitration system, as long as the members of the bodies entrusted with such functions are impartial and are seen to be impartial.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 603.)

Situations in which a minimum service may be imposed to guarantee the safety of persons and equipment (minimum safety service)

864. Restrictions on the right to strike in certain sectors to the extent necessary to comply with statutory safety requirements are normal restrictions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 604.)

865. In one case, the legislation provided that occupational organizations in all branches of activity were obliged to ensure that the staff necessary for the safety of machinery and equipment and the prevention of accidents continued to work, and that disagreements as to the definition of "necessary staff" would be settled by an administrative arbitration tribunal. These restrictions on the right to strike were considered to be acceptable.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 605.)

Situations and conditions under which a minimum operational service could be required

866. The establishment of minimum services in the case of strike action should only be possible in: (1) services the interruption of which would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population (essential services in the strict sense of the term); (2) services which are not essential in the strict sense of the term but where the extent and duration of a strike might be such as to result in an acute national crisis endangering the normal living conditions of the population; and (3) in public services of fundamental importance.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 606; 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 469, Case No. 2432, para. 1024; 344th Report, Case No. 2509, para. 1242; 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1071; 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 308; 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 538, Case No. 2534, para. 558; 362nd Report, Case No. 2841, para. 1037; and 364th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 1082.)

867. A minimum service could be appropriate as a possible alternative in situations in which a substantial restriction or total prohibition of strike action would not appear to be justified and where, without calling into question the right to strike of the large majority of workers, one might consider ensuring that users' basic needs are met or that facilities operate safely or without interruption.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 607; 344th Report, Case No. 2461, para. 313, Case No. 2484, para. 1094; 348th Report, Case No. 2433, para. 48; 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1153; 350th Report, Case No. 2543, para. 727; 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1114; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 371; 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 768, Case No. 2841, para. 1041; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 851; 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 614; and 377th Report, Case No. 3107, para. 240.)

868. When a service that is not essential in the strict sense of the term but is part of a very important sector in the country is brought to a standstill, measures to guarantee a minimum service may be justified.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2841, para. 1041; 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 339; and 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 285.)

869. It would be desirable if, in cases of industrial action which would have brought a service that is not essential in the strict sense of the term but a very important sector in the country – in this case the oil and gas sector – to a standstill, the concerned parties could reach an agreement on minimum services sufficient to address the concerns of the Government about the consequences of a full shutdown of oil and gas production, while preserving respect for the principles of the right to strike and the voluntary nature of collective bargaining. The Committee therefore encouraged the Government to examine the possibility of introducing a minimum service in that sector in the event of industrial action, the scope or duration of which may result in irreversible damages.

(See 372nd Report, Case No. 3038, paras. 471 and 472.)

870. Measures should be taken to guarantee that the minimum services avoid danger to public health and safety.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 608; and 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1094.)

871. A certain minimum service may be requested in the event of strikes whose scope and duration would cause an acute national crisis, but in this case, the trade union organizations should be able to participate, along with employers and the public authorities, in defining the minimum service.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 609; and 349th Report, Case No. 2549, para. 368.)

872. The requisition of some striking workers in the petroleum sector to meet the refuelling needs of priority vehicles could be used in the temporary establishment of a minimum service to respond to problems of public order that could impact the life, health or security of the population.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2841, para. 1038.)

873. A minimum service may be set up in the event of a strike, the extent and duration of which might be such as to result in an acute national crisis endangering the normal living conditions of the population. Such a minimum service should be confined to operations that are strictly necessary to avoid endangering the life or normal living conditions of the whole or part of the population; in addition, workers' organizations should be able to participate in defining such a service in the same way as employers and the public authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 610; 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1094; 349th Report, Case No. 2552, para. 422; 354th Report, Case No. 2587, para. 1057; 356th Report, Case No. 2696, para. 308; 363rd Report, Case No. 2854, para. 1039; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 851; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3038, para. 471.)

874. Minimum service should be restricted to the operations which are necessary to satisfy the basic needs of the population or the minimum requirements of the service, while ensuring that the scope of the minimum service does not render the strike ineffective.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2696, para. 309.)

875. It would be desirable for actions to be taken wherever convenient so that the negotiations on the definition and organization of the minimum service not be held during a labour dispute so that all parties can examine the matters with the necessary full frankness and objectivity.

```
(See 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 375.)
```

876. Negotiations over the minimum service should be ideally held prior to a labour dispute, so that all parties can examine the matter with the necessary objectivity and detachment. Any disagreement should be settled by an independent body, like for instance, the judicial authorities, and not by the ministry concerned.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1073; 349th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 124; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2841, para. 1039.)

877. The Committee requested a government to take the necessary measures to ensure that any determination on the minimum service to be made available in the event of a strike was the result of negotiations between employers' and workers' organizations of the maritime sector, it being understood that such negotiations could take place, if not before the beginning of a conflict, between the date of the notification of the strike and its possible realization, all the more so in the light of the ongoing civil mobilization.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2838, para. 1076).

878. While ideally, a minimum service should be negotiated by the parties concerned, preferably prior to the existence of a dispute, the Committee recognizes that the minimum service to be provided in cases where the need arises only after the declaration of the strike can only be determined during the dispute.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1152; and 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1094)

879. In the absence of any agreement by the parties in this regard at the specific enterprise level, an independent body could be set up to impose a minimum service sufficient to address the concerns of the Government about the consequences of the dispute, while preserving respect for the principles of the right to strike and the voluntary nature of collective bargaining.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1152.)

880. The Committee has pointed out that it is important that the provisions regarding the minimum service to be maintained in the event of a strike in an essential service are established clearly, applied strictly and made known to those concerned in due time.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 611; and 344th Report, Case No. 2461, para. 313.)

881. The determination of minimum services and the minimum number of workers providing them should involve not only the public authorities, but also the relevant employers' and workers' organizations. This not only allows a careful exchange of viewpoints on what in a given situation can be considered to be the minimum services that are strictly necessary, but also contributes to guaranteeing that the scope of the minimum service does not result in the strike becoming ineffective in practice because of its limited impact, and to dissipating possible impressions in the trade union organizations that a strike has come to nothing because of overgenerous and unilaterally fixed minimum services.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 612; 340th Report, Case No. 2415, para. 1255; 344th Report, Case No. 2509, para. 1243; 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1073; 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 538, Case No. 2534, para. 559; 350th Report, Case No. 2543, para. 727; 354th Report, Case No. 2587, para. 1059, Case No. 2581, para. 1114; 356th Report, Case No. 2696, para. 309, Case No. 2654, para. 372; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 768, Case No. 2841, para. 1039 and Case No. 2838, para. 1076.)

882. The workers' and employers' organizations concerned must be able to participate in determining the minimum services which should be ensured, and in the event of disagreement, legislation should provide that the matter be resolved by an independent body and not by the administrative authority.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 817, Case No. 2530, para. 1191; and 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 539 and Case No. 2534, para. 559.)

883. Unilateral determination by the employer of minimum service, if negotiation has failed, is not in conformity with the principles of freedom of association. Any disagreement in this respect should be settled by an independent body having the confidence of the parties concerned.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2525, para. 188.)

884. As regards the legal requirement that a minimum service must be maintained in the event of a strike in essential public services, and that any disagreement as to the number and duties of the workers concerned shall be settled by the labour authority, the Committee is of the opinion that the legislation should provide for any such disagreement to be settled by an independent body and not by the ministry of labour or the ministry or public enterprise concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 613; 349th Report, Case No. 2534, para. 559; 350th Report, Case No. 2543, para. 727; 355th Report, Case No. 2659, para. 241; 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 768; and 376th Report, Case No. 3096, para. 890.)

885. A definitive ruling on whether the level of minimum services was indispensable or not – made in full knowledge of the facts – can be pronounced only by the judicial authorities, in so far as it depends, in particular, upon a thorough knowledge of the structure and functioning of the enterprises and establishments concerned and of the real impact of the strike action.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 614; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 375; and 376th Report, Case No. 3096, para. 891.)

Examples of when the Committee has considered that the conditions were met for requiring a minimum operational service

886. The ferry service is not an essential service. However, in view of the difficulties and inconveniences that the population living on islands along the coast could be subjected to following a stoppage in ferry services, an agreement may be concluded on minimum services to be maintained in the event of a strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 615; 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1071; 349th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 124; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2838, para. 1076.)

887. In the maritime sector, the minimum service may relate to the number of crossings carried out per day, instead of the number of staff manning the ship.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2506, para. 101.)

888. The services provided by the National Ports Enterprise and ports themselves do not constitute essential services, although they are an important public service in which a minimum service could be required in case of a strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 616; 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 817; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 573; 357th Report, Case No. 2690, para. 943; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2854, para. 1039.)

889. Respect for the obligation to maintain a minimum service of the underground railway's activities to meet the minimal needs of the local communities is not an infringement of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 617; 344th Report, Case No. 2509, para. 1242; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 768.)

890. In relation to strike action taken by workers in the underground transport enterprise, the establishment of minimum services in the absence of agreement between the parties should be handled by an independent body.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 618; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 768.)

891. It is legitimate for a minimum service to be maintained in the event of a strike in the rail transport sector.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 619; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 614.)

892. In view of the particular situation of the railway services of one country, a total and prolonged stoppage could lead to a situation of acute national emergency endangering the well-being of the population, which may in certain circumstances justify government intervention, for instance by establishing a minimum service.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 620.)

893. The transportation of passengers and commercial goods is not an essential service in the strict sense of the term; however, this is a public service of primary importance where the requirement of a minimum service in the event of a strike can be justified.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 621; 340th Report, Case No. 2415, para. 1254; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 755, Case No. 2506, para. 1071, Case No. 2488, para. 1332; 348th Report, Case No. 2540, para. 817, Case No. 2530, para. 1191; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 711; 362nd Report, Case No. 2838, para. 1076; and 377th Report, Case No. 3107, para. 240.)

894. The maintenance of a minimum service could be foreseen in the postal services. (See the 2006 Digest, para. 622.)

895. The Mint, banking services and the petroleum sector are services where a minimum negotiated service could be maintained in the event of a strike so as to ensure that the basic needs of the users of these services are satisfied.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 624; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 755; 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 308; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 711; and 364th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 1082.)

896. While banking services are not essential in the strict sense of the term, the Committee does consider that in order to avoid damages which are irreversible, as well as damages to third parties, namely the users or consumers who suffer the economic effects of collective disputes, the authority could have imposed respect for the procedures relating to the minimum services agreed to by the parties rather than impose compulsory arbitration.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1152.)

897. Given that the petroleum sector is a strategic service, of vital importance to the economic development of the country, nothing prevents a minimum service being imposed in this sector.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 469.)

898. Minimum services may be established in the education sector, in full consultation with the social partners, in cases of strikes of long duration.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 625; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 573; 354th Report, Case No. 2587, para. 1057; 356th Report, Case No. 2696, para. 308; and 360th Report, Case No. 2784, para. 243 and Case No. 2803, para. 340.)

899. The Committee considered that establishing a minimum service in the education sector is not contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2587, para. 1058)

900. The decision adopted by a government to require a minimum service in the Animal Health Division, in the face of an outbreak of a highly contagious disease, does not violate the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 626.)

901. The lasting absence of qualified maintenance of elevators and provision of basic services could potentially create a danger to public health and safety.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2484, para. 1093.)

902. Given that the services provided by the National Institute of Meteorology and Geophysics are essential for air traffic control to be carried out safely, this is an institution in which minimum services can be established when workers have decided to call a strike.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2534, para. 558.)

903. In the circumstances of a case concerning the employers' determination of a minimum service, the Committee considered that the production of aluminium cannot be viewed as an essential public utility for which a minimum service can be imposed.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2525, para. 1240.)

904. Certain services, such as licensing of boiler and pressure vessels, licensing of private investigators and security guards, laundry staff and drivers in a community living division attached to public authorities should not be unilaterally declared as "essential" where minimum services must be maintained.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 371.)

Non-compliance with a minimum service

905. Even though the final decision to suspend or revoke a trade union's legal status is made by an independent judicial body, such measures should not be adopted in the case of non-compliance with a minimum service.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 627.)

906. The Committee requested a government to guarantee that civil requisition is only used in cases where the minimum services established in accordance with the principles of freedom of association are not respected.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2534, para. 560.)

Responsibility for declaring a strike illegal

907. Responsibility for declaring a strike illegal should not lie with the government, but with an independent body which has the confidence of the parties involved.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 628; 342nd Report, Case No. 2356, para. 360; 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 470; 346th Report, Case No. 2489, para. 464; 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 309, Case No. 2356, para. 368; 349th Report, Case No. 2513, para. 329, Case No. 2489, para. 686; 351st Report, Case No. 2613, para. 1091; 353rd Report, Case No. 2614, para. 401, Case No. 2650, para. 420, Case No. 2619, para. 575; 354th Report,

Case No. 2587, para. 1060; 355th Report, Case No. 2664, para. 1088; 357th Report, Case No. 2664, para. 811, Case No. 2697, para. 984; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 605; 360th Report, Case No. 2664, para. 954; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842, Case No. 2794, para. 1137; 363rd Report, Case No. 2837, para. 310, Case No. 2867, para. 357; 364th Report, Case No. 2866, para. 873; 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778; 368th Report, Case No. 2867, para. 17; 370th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 735; 371st Report, Case No. 2928, para. 313, Case No. 3033, para. 763; and 374th Report, Case No. 3029, para. 109 and Case No. 3032, para. 416.)

908. The Committee requested the Government to take the necessary measures, including proposals on legislative measures where necessary, to ensure that the responsibility for declaring a strike legal or illegal did not lie with the Government but with an independent and impartial body.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 3029, para. 109)

909. The responsibility for declaring a strike illegal should not lie with the Government, but with an independent and impartial body.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3032, para. 392)

910. To declare a strike or work stoppage illegal, the judicial authority is best placed to act as an independent authority.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 471; and 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 309 and Case No. 2356, para. 368.)

911. Final decisions concerning the illegality of strikes should not be made by the government, especially in those cases in which the government is a party to the dispute.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 629; 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 471; 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 309; 362nd Report, Case No. 2794, para. 1137; and 367th Report, Case No. 2860, para. 1182.)

912. It is contrary to freedom of association that the right to declare a strike in the public service illegal should lie with the heads of public institutions, which are thus judges and parties to a dispute.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 630; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 605; and 367th Report, Case No. 2860, para. 1182.)

913. With reference to an official circular concerning the illegality of any strike in the public sector, the Committee has considered that such matters are not within the competence of the administrative authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 631.)

Suspension of a strike

914. The responsibility for suspending a strike should not lie with the Government, but with an independent body which has the confidence of all parties concerned.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 3084, para. 872.)

915. The Committee requested the Government to take the necessary measures to amend the legislation so as to ensure that the final decision whether to suspend a strike rests with an independent and impartial body.

(See 374th Report, Case No.3084, para. 872.)

916. A provision which allows the Government to suspend a strike and impose compulsory arbitration on the grounds of national security or public health is not in itself contrary to freedom of association principles as long as it is implemented in good faith and in accordance with the ordinary meaning of the terms "national security" and "public health".

(See 374th Report, Case No. 3084, para. 871.)

Back-to-work orders, the hiring of workers during a strike, requisitioning orders

917. Strikers should be replaced only: (a) in the case of a strike in an essential service in the strict sense of the term in which the legislation prohibits strikes; and (b) where the strike would cause an acute national crisis.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2587, para. 1061.)

918. The hiring of workers to break a strike in a sector which cannot be regarded as an essential sector in the strict sense of the term, and hence one in which strikes might be forbidden, constitutes a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 632; 343rd Report, Case No. 2472, para. 966; 344th Report, Case No. 2465, para. 722; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 757; 349th Report, Case No. 2562, para. 406, Case No. 2548, para. 538; 350th Report, Case No. 2563, para. 230; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 574; 357th Report, Case No. 2638, para. 797, Case No. 2697, para. 983; 360th Report, Case No. 2770, para. 372; 372nd Report, Case No. 3011, para. 650; and 376th Report, Case No. 3096, para. 893.)

919. If a strike is legal, recourse to the use of labour drawn from outside the undertaking to replace the strikers for an indeterminate period entails a risk of derogation from the right to strike, which may affect the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 633; 343rd Report, Case No. 2472, para. 966; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1448; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 711; 357th Report, Case No. 2638, para. 797; and 360th Report, Case No. 2770, para. 371.)

920. Whenever a total and prolonged strike in a vital sector of the economy might cause a situation in which the life, health or personal safety of the population might be endangered, a back-to-work order might be lawful, if applied to a specific category

of staff in the event of a strike whose scope and duration could cause such a situation. However, a back-to-work requirement outside such cases is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 634; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 578; and 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1075.)

921. The use of the military and requisitioning orders to break a strike over occupational claims, unless these actions aim at maintaining essential services in circumstances of the utmost gravity, constitutes a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 635.)

922. The employment of the armed forces or of another group of persons to perform duties which have been suspended as a result of a labour dispute can, if the strike is lawful, be justified only by the need to ensure the operation of services or industries whose suspension would lead to an acute crisis.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 636; and 360th Report, Case No. 2770, para. 372.)

923. Although it is recognized that a stoppage in services or undertakings such as transport companies, railways and the oil sector might disturb the normal life of the community, it can hardly be admitted that the stoppage of such services could cause a state of acute national emergency. The Committee has therefore considered that measures taken to mobilize workers at the time of disputes in services of this kind are such as to restrict the workers' right to strike as a means of defending their occupational and economic interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 637.)

924. The requisitioning of iron and steel workers in the case of strikes, the threat of dismissal of strike pickets, the recruitment of underpaid workers and a ban on the joining of a trade union in order to break up lawful and peaceful strikes in services which are not essential in the strict sense of the term are not in accordance with freedom of association.

(See 236th Report, Case No. 1270, para. 620.)

925. The Committee permits the hire of non-striking workers in the case of essential services such as the health service.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3096, para. 893.)

926. Where an essential public service, such as the telephone service, is interrupted by an unlawful strike, a government may have to assume the responsibility of ensuring its functioning in the interests of the community and, for this purpose, may consider it expedient to call in the armed forces or other persons to perform the duties which have been suspended and to take the necessary steps to enable such persons to be installed in the premises where such duties are performed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 639.)

Interference by the authorities during the course of the strike

927. The mere possibility of intervention by the ministry in strikes beyond essential services in the strict sense of the term, which is firmly entrenched in the law, along with the practice of intervening in areas which do not seem, at first sight, to be indispensable to the national interest, and the many modalities required for a strike to become legal as well as the serious penalties incurred in case of recourse to an illegal strike, unavoidably have a bearing on the framework and climate within which negotiations take place.

```
(See 346th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 1330.)
```

928. In one case where the government had consulted the workers in order to determine whether they wished the strike to continue or be called off, and where the organization of the ballot had been entrusted to a permanent, independent body, with the workers enjoying the safeguard of a secret ballot, the Committee emphasized the desirability of consulting the representative organizations with a view to ensuring freedom from any influence or pressure by the authorities which might affect the exercise of the right to strike in practice.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 640.)

929. The intervention of the army in relation to labour disputes is not conducive to the climate free from violence, pressure or threats that is essential to the exercise of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 641.)

Police intervention during the course of the strike

930. The Committee has recommended the dismissal of allegations of intervention by the police when the facts showed that such intervention was limited to the maintenance of public order and did not restrict the legitimate exercise of the right to strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 642.)

931. The use of police for strike-breaking purposes is an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 643; and 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 841.)

932. In cases of strike movements, the authorities should resort to the use of force only in grave situations where law and order is seriously threatened.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 644; 340th Report, Case No. 2416, para. 1024; 349th Report, Case No. 2564, para. 611; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1332; 362nd Report, Case No. 2832, para. 1333; and 367th Report, Case No. 2938, para. 227.)

933. While workers and their organizations have an obligation to respect the law of the land, the intervention by security forces in strike situations should be limited strictly to the maintenance of public order.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 645; 356th Report, Case No. 2478, para. 956; and 367th Report, Case No. 2938, para. 227.)

934. While workers and their organizations are obliged to respect the law of the land, police intervention to enforce the execution of a court decision affecting strikers should observe the elementary guarantees applicable in any system that respects fundamental public freedoms.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 646; and 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 697.)

935. The authorities should resort to calling in the police in a strike situation only if there is a genuine threat to public order. The intervention of the police should be in proportion to the threat to public order and governments should take measures to ensure that the competent authorities receive adequate instructions so as to avoid the danger of excessive violence in trying to control demonstrations that might undermine public order.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 647; 340th Report, Case No. 2416, paras. 1024 and 1025; 343rd Report, Case No. 2472, para. 966; 349th Report, Case No. 2564, para. 611; 359th Report, Case No. 2760, para. 1169; 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 841, Case No. 2745, para. 1073; 363rd Report, Case No. 2792, para. 375; 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1001; 370th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 679; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3018, para. 494 and Case No. 3011, para. 650.)

Pickets

936. The action of pickets organized in accordance with the law should not be subject to interference by the public authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 648; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1544; 356th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 148, Case No. 2652, para. 1216; 363rd Report, Case No. 2792, para. 374; and 376th Report, Case No. 3096, para. 894.)

937. The prohibition of strike pickets is justified only if the strike ceases to be peaceful.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 649; 350th Report, Case No. 2252, para. 171; 356th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 148, Case No. 2652, para. 1216; and 376th Report, Case No. 3096, para. 894.)

938. The Committee has considered legitimate a legal provision that prohibited pickets from disturbing public order and threatening workers who continued work.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 650; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1544; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 694; and 376th Report, Case No. 3096, para. 894.)

939. Taking part in picketing and firmly but peacefully inciting other workers to keep away from their workplace cannot be considered unlawful. The case is different, however, when picketing is accompanied by violence or coercion of non-strikers in an attempt to interfere with their freedom to work; such acts constitute criminal offences in many countries.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 651; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1026; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 682; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 716; 354th Report, Case No. 2668, para. 676; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 666; 363rd Report, Case No.2867, para. 351, Case No. 2792, para. 374; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 152.)

940. The exercise of the right to strike should respect the freedom to work of nonstrikers, as established by the legislation, as well as the right of the management to enter the premises of the enterprise.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 652; 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 540; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 682; and 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 716.)

941. The requirement that strike pickets can only be set up near an enterprise does not infringe the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 653.)

Wage deductions

942. Salary deductions for days of strike give rise to no objection from the point of view of freedom of association principles.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 654; 344th Report, Case No. 2464, para. 330, Case No. 2467, para. 579; 353rd Report, Case No. 2614, para. 397, Case No. 2650, para. 421; 355th Report, Case No. 2657, para. 573; 358th Report, Case No. 2302, para. 18; 359th Report, Case No. 2725, para. 261; 362nd Report, Case No. 2788, para. 252, Case No. 2795, para. 326, Case No. 2741, para. 773, Case No. 2794, para. 1138; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 110, Case No. 2867, para. 356; 364th Report, Case No. 2847, para. 104; 367th Report, Case No. 2938, para. 230, Case No. 2885, para. 385, Case No. 2904, para. 418, Case No. 2929, para. 639; 371st Report, Case No. 3001, para. 210; 372nd Report, Case No. 3024, para. 430; 374th Report, Case No. 3029, para. 110, Case No. 3024, para. 558; 376th Report, Case No. 3101, para. 859, Case No. 3096, para. 892; and 378th Report, Case No. 2897, para. 242.)

943. Additional sanctions, such as deductions of pay higher than the amount corresponding to the period of the strike, amount in this case to a sanction for the exercise of legitimate industrial action.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 773.)

944. In a case in which the deductions of pay were higher than the amount corresponding to the period of the strike, the Committee recalled that the imposition of sanctions for strike action was not conducive to harmonious labour relations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 655; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 579; and 378th Report, Case No. 2897, para. 242.)

945. Non-payment for the days worked by teachers in place of days of work stoppage, in particular as a result of an agreement with the governing bodies of the schools, could constitute an excessive sanction that is not conducive to the development of harmonious labour relations.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2657, para. 574.)

946. If the salary deductions are applied to the activists of only one trade union, and all the unions have taken part in the strike, this situation would constitute de facto discriminatory treatment against the union concerned, affecting the principles of freedom of association.

(See 372nd Report, Case No. 3024, para. 430; and 374th Report, Case No. 3024, para. 558.)

947. With regard to allegations that wage deductions were carried out or threatened to be carried out only in respect of the trade union members and not the other strikers, the Committee emphasized that this would be contrary to freedom of association principles.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2847, para. 104.)

948. Obliging the employer to pay wages in respect of strike days in cases where the employer is declared "responsible" for the strike, apart from potentially disrupting the balance in industrial relations and proving costly for the employer, raises problems of conformity with the principles of freedom of association, as such payment should be neither required nor prohibited. It should consequently be a matter for resolution between the parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 656.)

949. Failure to reply to a statement of claims may be deemed an unfair practice contrary to the principle of good faith in collective bargaining, which may entail certain penalties as foreseen by law, without resulting in a legal obligation upon the employer to pay strike days, which is a matter to be left to the parties concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 657.)

950. Salary deductions for days of strike should only apply to workers who have taken part in the strike or a protest action.

(See 363rd Report, Case No.2867, para. 356.)

Sanctions

A. In the event of a legitimate strike

951. Imposing sanctions on unions for leading a legitimate strike is a grave violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 658; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2794, para. 1138 and Case No. 2797, para. 1454.)

952. The closure of trade union offices, as a consequence of a legitimate strike, is a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 659.)

953. No one should be penalized for carrying out or attempting to carry out a legitimate strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 660; 343rd Report, Case No. 2472, para. 966; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1532; 348th Report, Case No. 2494, para. 961; 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para. 640; 355th Report, Case No. 2664, para. 1089; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 608; 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 680; 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 840; 362nd Report, Case No. 2794, para. 1138; 367th Report, Case No. 2938, para. 227; 368th Report, Case No. 2972, para. 824; 370th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 735; 372nd Report, Case No. 3004, para. 573; 374th Report, Case No. 3030, para. 536; and 376th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 1002.)

954. Penal sanctions should not be imposed on any worker for participating in a peaceful strike.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 217.)

955. Penal sanctions should only be imposed if, in the framework of a strike, violence against persons and property or other serious violations of the ordinary criminal law are committed, and this, on the basis of the laws and regulations punishing such acts.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 716.)

956. Legislative provisions which impose sanctions in relation to the threat of strike are contrary to freedom of expression and principles of freedom of association.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 217.)

957. The dismissal of workers because of a strike constitutes serious discrimination in employment on grounds of legitimate trade union activities and is contrary to Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 661; 340th Report, Case No. 2419, para. 1293; 342nd Report, Case No. 2450, para. 428; 343rd Report, Case No. 2472, para. 966; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 681; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 662; 358th Report, Case No. 2737, para. 636; 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 680; 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 842; 362nd Report, Case No. 2797, para. 1454; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3018, para. 494.)

958. When trade unionists or union leaders are dismissed for having exercised the right to strike, the Committee can only conclude that they have been punished for their trade union activities and have been discriminated against.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 662; 355th Report, Case No. 2664, para. 1089; 357th Report, Case No. 2664, para. 812; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 606; 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 842; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1370, Case No. 2797, para. 1454; 368th Report, Case No. 2972, para. 824; and 374th Report, Case No. 3030, para. 536; 380th Report, Case No. 3121, para. 140.)

959. Respect for the principles of freedom of association requires that workers should not be dismissed or refused re-employment on account of their having participated in a strike or other industrial action. It is irrelevant for these purposes whether the dismissal occurs during or after the strike. Logically, it should also be irrelevant that the dismissal takes place in advance of a strike, if the purpose of the dismissal is to impede or to penalize the exercise of the right to strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 663; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1370; and 371st Report, Case No. 2937, para. 653.)

960. The Committee could not view with equanimity a set of legal rules which:

- a) appears to treat virtually all industrial action as a breach of contract on the part of those who participate therein;
- b) makes any trade union or official thereof who instigates such breaches of contract liable in damages for any losses incurred by the employer in consequence of their actions; and
- c) enables an employer faced with such action to obtain an injunction to prevent the commencement (or continuation) of the unlawful conduct. The cumulative effect of such provisions could be to deprive workers of the capacity lawfully to take strike action to promote and defend their economic and social interests. (See the 2006 Digest, para. 664.)

961. The announcement by the government that workers would have to do overtime to compensate for the strike might in itself unduly influence the course of the strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 665.)

962. The use of extremely serious measures, such as dismissal of workers for having participated in a strike and refusal to re-employ them, implies a serious risk of abuse and constitutes a violation of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 666; 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 477; 344th Report, Case No. 2380, para. 197; 346th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 1331; 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 311; 353rd Report, Case No. 2380, para. 269, Case No. 2619, para. 576; 357th Report, Case No. 2702, para. 162; 362nd Report, Case No. 2794, para. 1138; 365th Report, Case No. 2902, para. 1121; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 615 and Case No. 3011, para. 647.)

963. Should it be determined by the court or by the information gathered that any of the workers dismissed following a strike were employed in services other than those categorized as essential within the meaning of the collective agreement, necessary measures should be taken to ensure that those workers are fully reinstated in their previous positions.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 2500, para. 325.)

964. Workers who are dismissed as a result of their participation in a strike should not be deprived of their lawfully acquired retirement benefits accrued over years of working for an enterprise.

(See 360th Report, Case No. 1914, para. 104.)

B. Cases of abuse while exercising the right to strike

965. The principles of freedom of association do not protect abuses consisting of criminal acts while exercising the right to strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 667; 343rd Report, Case No. 2472, para. 959; 344th Report, Case No. 2465, para. 718, Case No. 2486, para. 1208; 348th Report, Case No. 2472, para. 936; 349th Report, Case No. 2548, para. 540; 354th Report, Case No. 2668, para. 676; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 666; 356th Report, Case No. 2478, para. 956; 358th Report, Case No. 2742, para. 279; 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 840; 362nd Report, Case No. 2710, para. 464, Case No. 2832, para. 1333; 368th Report, Case No. 2912, para. 227; 371st Report, Case No. 2928, para. 314; and 374th Report, Case No. 2946, para. 252, Case No. 3032, para. 413 and Case No. 3030, para. 536.)

966. Penal sanctions should only be imposed as regards strikes where there are violations of strike prohibitions which are themselves in conformity with the principles of freedom of association. All penalties in respect of illegitimate actions linked to strikes should be proportionate to the offence or fault committed and the authorities should not have recourse to measures of imprisonment for the mere fact of organizing or participating in a peaceful strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 668; 340th Report, Case No. 2415, para. 1259; 343rd Report, Case No. 2472, para. 959; 346th Report, Case No. 2525, para. 1242; 348th Report, Case No. 2472, para. 936; 351st Report, Case No. 2616, para. 1012; 355th Report, Case No. 2659, para. 242; 356th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 146; 358th Report, Case No. 2616, para. 66; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 465; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 577, Case No. 2723, para. 778; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 616.)

967. The Committee considered that some of the temporary measures taken by the authorities as a result of a strike in an essential service (prohibition of the trade union's activities, cessation of the check-off of trade union dues, etc.) were contrary to the guarantees provided for in Article 3 of Convention No. 87. The Committee drew the Government's attention to the fact that the measures taken by the authorities to ensure the performance of essential services should not be out of proportion to the ends pursued or lead to excesses.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 669.)

968. Fines which are equivalent to a maximum amount of 500 or 1,000 minimum wages per day of abusive strike may have an intimidating effect on trade unions and inhibit their legitimate trade union activities, particularly where the cancellation of a fine of this kind is subject to the provision that no further strike considered as abusive is carried out.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 670; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3022, para. 616.)

969. The Committee expects that any fines that could be imposed against trade unions for unlawful strikes will not be of an amount that is likely to lead to the dissolution of the union or to have an intimidating effect on trade unions and inhibit their legitimate trade union activities, and trusts that the Government would endeavour to resolve such situations by means of frank and genuine social dialogue.

(See 372nd Report, Case No. 3011, para. 649.)

C. In cases of peaceful strikes

970. The authorities should not resort to arrests and imprisonment in connection with the organization of or participation in a peaceful strike; such measures entail serious risks of abuse and are a grave threat to freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 671; 344th Report, Case No. 2471, para. 894; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 728; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 669; 359th Report, Case No. 2760, para. 1172; 360th Report, Case No. 2747, para. 840; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 395; 364th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 1083; 367th Report, Case No. 2938, para. 227; 368th Report, Case No. 2912, para. 227; 372nd Report, Case No. 3018, para. 494; and 378th Report, Case Nos. 3110 and 3123, para. 625.)

971. No one should be deprived of their freedom or be subject to penal sanctions for the mere fact of organizing or participating in a peaceful strike.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 672; 344th Report, Case No. 2471, para. 894; 348th Report, Case No. 2494, para. 962; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 715; 358th Report, Case No. 2742, para. 279; 362nd Report, Case No. 2788, para. 254, Case No. 2812, para. 395, Case No. 2741, para. 772; 363rd Report, Case No. 2854, para. 1042; 364th Report, Case No. 2727, para. 1083; and 374th Report, Case No. 3029, para. 111.)

972. Criminal sanctions may only be imposed if during a strike violence against persons or property or other infringements of common law are committed for which there are provisions set out in legal instruments and which are punishable thereunder.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2742, para. 279.)

973. The peaceful exercise of trade union rights (strike and demonstration) by workers should not lead to arrests and deportations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 673; 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para. 640; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3018, para. 494.)

974. While emphasizing the importance of conducting legitimate trade union activities in a peaceful manner, the Committee considers that the criminalization of industrial relations is in no way conducive to harmonious and peaceful industrial relations.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 669.)

D. Large-scale sanctions

975. Arrests and dismissals of strikers on a large scale involve a serious risk of abuse and place freedom of association in grave jeopardy. The competent authorities should be given appropriate instructions so as to obviate the dangers to freedom of association that such arrests and dismissals involve.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 674; 371st Report, Case No. 2928, para. 314; 372nd Report, Case No. 3008, para. 244, Case No. 3018, para. 494; and 374th Report, Case No. 3032, para. 416.)

Discrimination in favour of non-strikers

976. Concerning measures applied to compensate workers who do not participate in a strike by bonuses, the Committee considers that such discriminatory practices constitute a major obstacle to the right of trade unionists to organize their activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 675; and 367th Report, Case No. 2977, para. 861.)

Closure of enterprises in the event of a strike

977. The closure of the enterprise in the event of a strike, as provided for in the law, is an infringement of the freedom of work of persons not participating in a strike and disregards the basic needs of the enterprise (maintenance of equipment, prevention of accidents and the right of employers and managerial staff to enter the installations of the enterprise and to exercise their activities).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 676.)

978. The exercise of the right to strike and the occupation of the premises should respect the right to work of non-strikers, and the right of the management to enter its premises.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2699, para. 1391.)

Dissolution and suspension of organizations

11

General principles

979. In the light of Convention No. 87, organizations of workers can only be dissolved voluntarily or through judicial channels.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2684, para. 564.)

980. In a case involving the dissolution and suspension of the trade union organizations in a country, the Committee expressed its deep conviction that in no case does the solution to the economic and social problems besetting a country lie in isolating trade union organizations and suspending their activities. On the contrary, only through the development of free and independent trade union organizations and negotiations with these organizations can a government tackle such problems and solve them in the best interests of the workers and the nation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 677.)

981. In view of the serious consequences which dissolution of a union involves for the occupational representation of workers, the Committee has considered that it would appear preferable, in the interest of labour relations, if such actions were to be taken only as the last resort, and after exhausting other possibilities with less serious effects for the organization as a whole.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 678.)

Voluntary dissolution

982. Where the decision to dissolve a trade union organization was freely taken by a congress convened in a regular manner by all the workers concerned, the Committee was of the opinion that this dissolution, or any consequence resulting from it, would not be regarded as an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 679; and 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1578.)

Dissolution on account of insufficient membership

983. A legal provision which requires the dissolution of a trade union if its membership falls below 20 or 40, depending on whether it is a works union or an occupational union, does not in itself constitute an infringement of the exercise of trade union rights, provided that such winding up is attended by all necessary legal guarantees to avoid any possibility of an abusive interpretation of the provision; in other words, the right of appeal to a court of law.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 680.)
```

984. In one case where the legislation required that there be at least 20 persons in order to found a union, and where a court had ordered the dissolution of a union of homeopathy workers because of the insufficient number of persons legally qualified to practice this profession, the Committee considered that the dissolution did not appear to constitute a measure which could be considered an infringement of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 681.)

985. In a case in which it concluded that the reduction in the number of union members to below the legal minimum of 25 was the consequence of anti-trade union dismissals or threats, the Committee requested the government, should it be concluded that these were anti-trade union dismissals and that the withdrawal from union membership of trade union leaders resulted from pressure or threats from the employer, to impose the penalties provided by the legislation, reinstate the dismissed workers in their jobs and permit the dissolved trade union to be reconstituted.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 682.)

Dissolution and suspension by administrative authority

986. Measures of suspension or dissolution by the administrative authority constitute serious infringements of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 683; 342nd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 624; 350th Report, Case No. 2567, para. 1158; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 856.)

987. The administrative dissolution of trade union organizations constitutes a clear violation of Article 4 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 684.)

Cancellation of registration or trade union status

988. The Committee has emphasized that the cancellation of registration of an organization by the registrar of trade unions or their removal from the register is tantamount to the dissolution of that organization by administrative authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 685; and 348th Report, Case No. 2520, para. 1031.)

989. The cancellation of a trade union organization's registration by administrative authority because of an internal dispute – which in fact implies the suspension of its activities – is a serious infringement of the principles of freedom of association, and in particular of Article 4 of Convention No. 87 which provides that workers' and employers' organizations are not liable to be dissolved by administrative authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 686.)

990. Cancellation of a trade union's registration should only be possible through judicial channels.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. para. 687; 359th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 366; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 856.)

991. Deregistration measures, even when justified, should not exclude the possibility of a union application for registration to be entertained once a normal situation has been re-established.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 688.)

992. Legislation which accords the minister or administrative authorities the complete discretionary power to order the cancellation of the registration of a trade union, without any right of appeal to the courts, is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 689; 359th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 366; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778.)

Dissolution by legislative measures

993. Dissolution by the executive branch of the government pursuant to a law conferring full powers, or acting in the exercise of legislative functions, like dissolution by virtue of administrative powers, does not ensure the right of defence which normal judicial procedure alone can guarantee and which the Committee considers essential.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 690.)

994. Noting that under a legal provision, the registration of existing trade unions was cancelled, the Committee considered that it is essential that any dissolution of workers' or employers' organizations should be carried out by the judicial authorities, which alone can guarantee the rights of defence. This principle, the Committee has pointed out, is equally applicable when such measures of dissolution are taken even during an emergency situation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 691.)

Reasons for dissolution

995. To deprive many workers of their trade union organizations because of a judgement that illegal activities have been carried out by some leaders or members constitutes a clear violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 692; and 344th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 139.)

996. If it was found that certain members of the trade union had committed excesses going beyond the limits of normal trade union activity, they could have been prosecuted under specific legal provisions and in accordance with ordinary judicial procedure, without involving the suspension and subsequent dissolution of an entire trade union movement.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 693.)

997. In a case where trade union status was withdrawn from a trade union organization, partly to irregularities in the financial management of the organization, the Committee considered that, if the authorities found irregularities which might be detrimental to the union's social assets, they should have taken legal action based on these irregularities against the persons responsible rather than adopt measures depriving the union of all possibility of action.

```
(See 151st Report, Case No. 809, para. 195.)
```

998. Development needs should not justify maintaining the entire trade union movement of a country in an irregular legal situation, thereby preventing the workers from exercising their trade union rights, as well as preventing organizations from carrying out their normal activities. A balanced economic and social development requires the existence of strong and independent organizations which can participate in this process.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 695.)

999. Given the extremely serious consequences that the dissolution of a union involves for the occupational representation of workers, the Committee has considered that the nomination of a representative of a federation as a candidate for the presidency of the country can in no way justify the dissolution of an entire federation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 696.)

1000. The dissolution of a trade union is an extreme measure and recourse to such action on the basis of a picket action resulting in the disruption of a public event, the temporary termination of an organization's activities or the disruption of transport, is clearly not in conformity with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 697.)

1001. In view of the serious consequences which cancellation of trade union registration involves for the occupational representation of workers, the Committee considers that the use of the company's name in the title of the trade union should not result in the cancellation of trade union registration.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 698.)

Intervention by the judicial authorities

1002. The Committee considers that the dissolution of trade union organizations is a measure which should only occur in extremely serious cases; such dissolutions should only happen following a judicial decision so that the rights of defence are fully guaranteed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 699; 348th Report, Case No. 2520, para. 1031; and 376th Report, Case No. 3113, para. 990.)

1003. The suspension of the legal personality of trade union organizations represents a serious restriction on trade union rights and in matters of this nature the rights of defence can only be fully guaranteed through due process of law.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 700; and 367th Report, Case No. 2896, para. 684.)

1004. Any measures of suspension or dissolution by administrative authority, when taken during an emergency situation, should be accompanied by normal judicial safeguards, including the right of appeal to the courts against such dissolution or suspension.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 701.)

1005. Even if they may be justified in certain circumstances, measures taken to withdraw the legal personality of a trade union and the blocking of trade union funds should be taken through judicial and not administrative action to avoid any risk of arbitrary decisions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 702; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 463.)

1006. If the principle that an occupational organization may not be subject to suspension or dissolution by administrative decision is to be properly applied, it is not sufficient for the law to grant a right of appeal against such administrative decisions; such decisions should not take effect until the expiry of the statutory period for lodging an appeal, without an appeal having been entered, or until the confirmation of such decisions by a judicial authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 703; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 463.)

1007. Any possibility should be eliminated from the legislation of suspension or dissolution by administrative authority, or at the least it should provide that the administrative decision does not take effect until a reasonable time has been allowed for appeal and, in the case of appeal, until the judicial authority has ruled on the appeal made by the trade union organizations concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 704; and 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1531.)

1008. Judges should be able to deal with the substance of a case to enable them to decide whether or not the provisions pursuant to which the administrative measures in question were taken constitute a violation of the rights accorded to occupational organizations by Convention No. 87. In effect, if the administrative authority has a

discretionary right to register or cancel the registration of a trade union, the existence of a procedure of appeal to the courts does not appear to be a sufficient guarantee; the judges hearing such an appeal could only ensure that the legislation had been correctly applied. The same problem may arise in the event of the suspension or dissolution of an occupational organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 705; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1531; and 367th Report, Case No. 2909, para. 696.)

Use made of the assets of organizations that are dissolved

A. General principles

1009. The Committee has accepted the criterion that, when an organization is dissolved, its assets should be provisionally sequestered and eventually distributed among its former members or handed over to the organization that succeeds it, meaning the organization or organizations which pursue the aims for which the dissolved union was established, and which pursue them in the same spirit.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 706; and 358th Report, Case No. 2733, para. 151.)

1010. When a union ceases to exist, its assets could be handed over to the association that succeeds it or distributed in accordance with its own rules; but where there is no specific rule, the assets should be at the disposal of the workers concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 707; 358th Report, Case No. 2733, para. 151; and 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778.)

B. Transition to a situation of pluralism

1011. With regard to the issue of the distribution of trade union assets among various trade union organizations following a change from a situation of trade union monopoly to a situation of trade union pluralism, the Committee has emphasized the importance it attaches to the principle according to which the devolution of trade union assets (including real estate) or, in the event that trade union premises are made available by the State, the redistribution of this property must aim to ensure that all the trade unions are guaranteed on an equal footing the possibility of effectively exercising their activities in a fully independent manner. It would be desirable for the government and all the trade union organizations concerned to make efforts to conclude as soon as possible a definitive agreement regulating the distribution of the assets of the former trade union organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 708; 342nd Report, Case No. 2453, para. 714; and 358th Report, Case No. 2733, para. 151.)

1012. When examining a case concerning the devolution of the assets of the trade union organizations in a former communist country undergoing democratization, the Committee invited the government and all the trade union organizations concerned to establish, as soon as possible, a formula to settle the question of the assignment of the funds in question so that the government could recover the assets that corresponded to the accomplishment of the social functions which it now exercised and all the trade union organizations were guaranteed on an equal footing the possibility of effectively exercising their activities in a fully independent manner.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 709.)

1013. The question of the devolution of the assets of a trade union from a former communist country is best solved by an agreement between the Government and the trade unions concerned.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2890, para. 1056.)

Right of employers' and workers' organizations to establish federations and confederations and to affiliate with international organizations of employers and workers

Establishment of federations and confederations

1014. The principle laid down in Article 2 of Convention No. 87 that workers and employers shall have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing implies for the organizations themselves the right to establish and join federations and confederations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 710; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 583; 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1577; 362nd Report, Case No. 2842, para. 419; and 367th Report, Case No. 2949, para. 1217.)

1015. The acquisition of legal personality by workers' organizations, federations and confederations shall not be made subject to conditions of such a nature as to restrict the exercise of the right to establish and join federations and confederations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 711; and 367th Report, Case No. 2949, para. 1217.)

1016. A provision whereby a minister may, at his or her discretion, approve or reject an application for the creation of a general confederation is not in conformity with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 712.)

1017. The question as to whether a need to form federations and confederations is felt or not is a matter to be determined solely by the workers and their organizations themselves after their right to form them has been legally recognized.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 713; and 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1577.)

1018. The requirement of an excessively high minimum number of trade unions to establish a higher-level organization conflicts with Article 5 of Convention No. 87 and with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 714.)

1019. Legislation which prevents the establishment of federations and confederations bringing together the trade unions or federations of different activities in a specific locality or area or on a regional or national basis is incompatible with Article 5 of Convention No. 87 and the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 715; 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 300.)

1020. When only one confederation of workers may exist in a country, and the right to establish federations is limited to such federations as may be established by the unions mentioned in the law, as well as such new unions as might be registered with the consent of the minister, this is incompatible with Article 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para 716.)

1021. Importance has been attached by the Committee to the right to form federations grouping unions of workers engaged in different occupations and industries. In this connection, the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations pointed out, in respect of a provision of national law prohibiting organizations of public officials from adhering to federations or confederations of industrial or agricultural organizations, that it seemed difficult to reconcile this provision with Article 5 of Convention No. 87. It indicated, in the same observation, that while the legislation permitted organizations of public officials to federate among themselves and that the resulting federation would be the only one recognized by the State, these provisions did not appear to be compatible with Article 6 of the Convention, which refers to Article 2 of the Convention with respect to the establishment of federations and confederations and adhesion to these higher organizations. According to these provisions of the Convention, trade union organizations should have the right to establish and to join federations or confederations "of their own choosing without previous authorization".

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 717.)

1022. A provision prohibiting the establishment of federations by unions in different departments constitutes a restriction of the right of workers' organizations to establish federations and confederations, recognized by Article 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 718.)

1023. Conditions laid down by law for the establishment of federations, and in particular a condition that founding unions based in different provinces must first ask permission (which may be refused) from the minister, are incompatible with the generally accepted principles of freedom of association, which include the right of trade unions to establish and join federations of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 719.)

1024. The Committee recalls that legislation which prevents the establishment of federations and confederations bringing together the trade unions or federations of different activities in a specific locality or area or on a regional or national basis would not be in conformity with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 300.)

1025. The preferential rights granted to the most representative organizations should not give them the exclusive right to establish and join federations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 721.)

Affiliation with federations and confederations

1026. A workers' organization should have the right to join the federation and confederation of its own choosing, subject to the rules of the organizations concerned, and without any previous authorization. It is for the federations and confederations themselves to decide whether or not to accept the affiliation of a trade union, in accordance with their own constitutions and rules.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 722; 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 987; 359th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 365; 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 461; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 578; 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 857; and 374th Report, Case No. 3015, para. 182.)

1027. In a case in which a confederation had been compelled to accept new members by the government, the Committee considered that actions of this kind may allow the authorities to influence the result of elections or the actions of a trade union by direct interference with the composition of its constituents.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 723.)

1028. All workers should have the right to engage freely in the defence and promotion of their economic and social interests through the central organizations of their own choice.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 724.)

1029. Organizations of public servants should be able to affiliate, if they so choose, to federations or confederations of workers in the private sector if the rules of the latter so permit.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 725.)

1030. It seems difficult to reconcile with Article 5 of Convention No. 87 any provision prohibiting organizations of public officials from adhering to federations or confederations of industrial organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 726.)

1031. A government's refusal to permit agricultural unions to affiliate with a national centre of workers' organizations comprising industrial unions is incompatible with Article 5 of the Convention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 727.)

1032. The prohibition of the direct affiliation of certain persons to federations and confederations is contrary to the principles of freedom of association. It is for these organizations themselves to determine what the rules relating to their membership should be.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 728; and 346th Report, Case No. 2477, para. 245.)

1033. It is for the statutes of the federations of a branch of activity to determine the number and type of organizations of which it is comprised.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 729.)

Rights of federations and confederations

1034. In order to defend the interests of their members more effectively, workers' and employers' organizations should have the right to form federations and confederations of their own choosing, which themselves should enjoy the various rights accorded to first-level organizations, in particular as regards their freedom of operation, activities and programmes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 730; and 375th Report, Cases Nos. 3065 and 3066, para. 476.)

1035. It is for union by-laws to determine the conditions of election of trade union officials.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 731.)

Affiliation with international organizations of workers and employers

A. General principles

1036. International trade union solidarity constitutes one of the fundamental objectives of any trade union movement and underlies the principle laid down in Article 5 of Convention No. 87 that any organization, federation or confederation shall have the right to affiliate with international organizations of workers and employers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 732.)

1037. Unions and confederations should be free to affiliate with international federations or confederations of their own choosing without intervention by the political authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 733.)

1038. Article 5 of Convention No. 87 - as is clear from the preparatory work on the instrument – merely gives expression to the fact that workers or employers are united by a solidarity of interests, a solidarity which is not limited either to one specific undertaking or even to a particular industry, or even to the national economy, but

extends to the whole international economy. Furthermore, the right to organize corresponds to the practice followed by the United Nations and the International Labour Organization, both of which have formally recognized international organizations of workers and employers by associating them directly with their own activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 734.)

1039. The Committee has emphasized the importance that it attaches to the fact that no obstacle should be placed in the way of the affiliation of workers' organizations, in full freedom, with any international organization of workers of their own choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 735; and 356th Report, Case No. 2571, para. 715.)

1040. The Committee has considered that there might be justification for one complainant's contention that the principle of the right of workers' organizations to affiliate with international organizations of workers includes by implication the right to disaffiliate from an international organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 736.)

B. Intervention by the public authorities

1041. Legislation which requires that government permission be obtained for the international affiliation of a trade union is incompatible with the principle of free and voluntary affiliation of trade unions with international organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 737.)

1042. When a national organization seeks to affiliate with an international organization of workers, the conditions which the national organization attaches to its application and the question as to whether it agrees or disagrees with the international organization in its attitude to any political matter are matters which concern only the respective organizations themselves; while disagreement may influence the national organization in deciding whether to seek, maintain or withdraw from international affiliation, it should not form a basis for government intervention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 738.)

C. Consequences of international affiliation

1043. Any assistance or support that an international trade union organization might provide in setting up, defending or developing national trade union organizations is a legitimate trade union activity, even when the trade union tendency does not correspond to the tendency or tendencies within the country.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 739; 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1309; and 374th Report, Case No. 3050, para. 476.)

1044. Legislation which provides for the banning of any organization where there is evidence that it is under the influence or direction of any outside source, and also for the banning of any organization where there is evidence that it receives financial assistance or other benefits from any outside source, unless such financial assistance or other benefits be approved by and channeled through government - to the extent that it applies to the right of international affiliation of trade unions - is incompatible with the principles set out in Article 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See 101st Report, Case No. 506, paras. 414 and 423.)

1045. The granting of advantages resulting from the international affiliation of a trade union organization must not conflict with the law, it being understood that the law should not be such as to render any such affiliation meaningless.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 741.)

1046. Legislation prohibiting the acceptance by a national trade union of financial assistance from an international organization of workers to which it is affiliated infringes the principles concerning the right to affiliate with international organizations of workers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 742; and 345th Report, para. 96.)

1047. Trade unions and employers' organizations should not be required to obtain prior authorization to receive international financial assistance in their trade union or entrepreneurial activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 743; 345th Report, para. 96; 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1325(f); and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 856.)

1048. All national organizations of workers and employers should have the right to receive financial assistance from international organizations of workers and employers respectively, whether or not they are affiliated to the latter.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 744; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1355.)

1049. The principle that national organizations of workers should have the right to affiliate with international organizations carries with it the right, for these organizations, to make contact with one another and, in particular, to exchange their trade union publications.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 745.)

1050. The right to affiliate with international organizations of workers implies the right, for the representatives of national trade unions, to maintain contact with the international trade union organizations with which they are affiliated, to participate in the activities of these organizations and to benefit from the services and advantages which their membership offers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 746; 342nd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 619.)

1051. It is a fully legitimate trade union activity to seek advice and support from other well-established trade union movements in the region to assist in defending or developing the national trade union organizations, even when the trade union tendency does not correspond to the tendency or tendencies within the country, and visits made in this respect represent normal trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 747; and 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1442.)

1052. The right of national trade unions to send representatives to international trade union congresses is a normal corollary of the right of those national organizations to join international workers' organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 748.)

1053. Leaders of organizations of workers and employers should enjoy appropriate facilities for carrying out their functions, including the right to leave the country when their activities on behalf of the persons they represent so require; moreover, the free movement of these representatives should be ensured by the authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 749; and 357th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 263.)

1054. Visits to affiliated national trade union organizations and participation in their congresses are normal activities for international workers' organizations, subject to the provisions of national legislation with regard to the admission of foreigners.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 750; and 374th Report, Case No. 3058, para. 357.)

1055. The formalities to which trade unionists and trade union leaders are subject in seeking entry to the territory of a State, or in attending to trade union business there, should be based on objective criteria and be free of anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 751; and 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1442.)

1056. The Committee has recognized that the refusal to grant a passport (or visa) to foreigners, or more generally the right to exclude persons from national territory, are matters which concern the sovereignty of a State.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 752.)

1057. Although it recognizes that the refusal to grant visas to foreigners is a matter which falls within the sovereignty of the State, the Committee has requested a government to ensure that the formalities required of international trade unionists to enter the country are based on objective criteria free of anti-trade unionism.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 753; and 374th Report, Case No. 3058, para. 357.)

1058. The formalities required before trade unionists can leave a country in order to take part in international meetings should be based on objective criteria that are free of anti-union discrimination, so as not to involve the risk of infringing the right of trade union organizations to send representatives to international trade union congresses.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 754.)

1059. In general, the authorities should not withhold official documents by reason of a person's membership in a workers' or employers' organization, as these documents are sometimes a prerequisite for important activities, for instance obtaining or maintaining employment. This is even more essential where persons hold a position in that organization, inasmuch as the refusal may prevent them from exercising their duties, such as travelling to an official meeting.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 755.)

1060. The imposition of sanctions, such as banishment or control of overseas travel for trade union reasons, constitutes a violation of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 756.)

1061. Participation in the work of international organizations must be based on the principle of the independence of the trade union movement. Within the framework of this principle, full freedom should be given to representatives of trade unions to take part in the work of the international workers' unions to which the organizations they represent are affiliated.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 757.)

1062. In all cases governments have the right to take the necessary measures to guarantee public order and national security. This includes ascertaining the purpose of visits to the country by persons against whom there are grounds for suspicion from this point of view. The authorities should verify each specific case as quickly as possible and should aim – on the basis of objective criteria – at ascertaining whether or not there exist facts which might have real repercussions on public order and security. It would be desirable, in situations of this kind, to seek an agreement through appropriate discussions in which the authorities, as well as the leaders and organizations concerned, may clarify their positions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 759.)

Participation in ILO meetings

1063. The Committee strongly regretted that the arrest of a trade unionist as a result of an event arising directly from a strike should have had the effect of preventing a worker member from attending a session of the Governing Body; it also considered that, once proceedings have been initiated, the independence of the judiciary cannot be invoked by the government as an excuse for the action which it itself has taken. The Committee therefore drew attention to the importance which the Governing Body attaches to the principle set forth in article 40 of the Constitution that members of the Governing Body shall enjoy such privileges and immunities as are necessary for the independent exercise of their functions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 760.)

1064. It is important that no delegate to any organ or Conference of the ILO, and no member of the Governing Body, should in any way be hindered, prevented or deterred from carrying out their functions or from fulfilling their mandate.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 761; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1331; and 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1102.)

1065. It is the duty of a government to refrain from taking measures calculated to hinder delegates to an ILO Conference in the exercise of their functions, and to use its influence and take all reasonable steps to ensure that such delegates are in no way prejudiced by their acceptance of functions as delegates or by their conduct as delegates; measures on other grounds should not be envisaged against delegates in their absence, but should await their return so that they may be in a position to defend themselves.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 762.)

1066. A government decision which requires workers' representatives wishing to attend an international meeting outside the country to obtain permission from the authorities in order to leave the country is not, in the case of members of the Governing Body, compatible with the principles set forth in article 40 of the ILO Constitution.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 763.)

1067. In general, the refusal by a State to grant leave to one of its officials holding trade union office to attend an advisory meeting organized by the ILO does not constitute an infringement of the principles of freedom of association, unless this refusal is based on the trade union activities or functions of the person concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 764.)

1068. Participation as a trade unionist in symposia organized by the ILO is a legitimate trade union activity, and a government should not refuse the necessary exit papers for this reason.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 765; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2753, para. 482.)

1069. The Committee has reiterated the special importance it attaches to the right of workers' and employers' representatives to attend and to participate in meetings of international workers' and employers' organizations and of the ILO.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 766; 344th Report, Case No.2476, para. 459; 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1307, Case No. 2581, para. 1331; 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1102; 362nd Report, Case No. 2812, para. 398; and 370th Report, Case No. 2951, para. 193.)

1070. Apart from the specific protection granted in conformity with article 40 of the Constitution of the ILO to members of the Governing Body so as to enable them to carry out their functions vis-à-vis the Organization in full independence, participation as a trade unionist in meetings organized by the ILO is a fundamental trade union right. It is therefore incumbent on the government of any member State of the

ILO to abstain from any measure which would prevent representatives of a workers' or employers' organization from exercising their mandate in full freedom and independence. In particular, a government must not withhold the documents necessary for this purpose.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 767.)

1071. The Committee considers that the prohibition on any individual, whether worker or employer, from participating more than once as a delegate or adviser to international labour conferences violates the principles of freedom of association, and particularly Articles 3 and 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 768.)

Protection against discrimination

13

General principles

1072. Anti-union discrimination is one of the most serious violations of freedom of association, as it may jeopardize the very existence of trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 769; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 584; 346th Report, Case No. 2480, para. 437; 348th Report, Case No. 2538, para. 618, Case No. 2517, para. 835 and Case No. 2512, para. 895; 351st Report, Case No. 2594, para. 1177; 353rd Report, Case No. 2634, para. 1303; 354th Report, Case No. 2633, para. 719 and Case No. 2594, para. 1080; 359th Report, Case No. 2752, para. 918; 362nd Report, Case No. 2228, para. 80; 363rd Report, Case No. 2819, para. 534; 364th Report, Case No. 2855, para. 770, and Case No. 2864, para. 787; 368th Report, Case No. 2976, para. 845; 370th Report, Case No. 2926, para. 385; 371st Report, Case No. 2953, para. 625; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 151.)

1073. Acts calculated to make the employment of a worker subject to the condition that he or she not join a union or shall relinquish their trade union membership constitute a violation of Article 1 of Convention No. 98.

(See 359th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 311.)

1074. No person shall be prejudiced in employment by reason of trade union membership or legitimate trade union activities, whether past or present.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 770; 340th Report, Case No. 2397, para. 887, Case No. 2416, para. 1023 and Case No. 2393, para. 1062; 342nd Report, Case No. 2423, para. 492; 346th Report, Case No. 2480, para. 437; 349th Report, Case No. 2546, para. 1217; 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 986; 353rd Report, Case No. 2546, para. 242, Case No. 2291, para. 251 and Case No. 2557, para. 840; 357th Report, Case No. 2736, para. 1262; 363rd Report, Case No. 2760, para. 231; and 370th Report, Case No. 3006, para. 751.)

1075. No person should be dismissed or prejudiced in employment by reason of trade union membership or legitimate trade union activities, and it is important to forbid and penalize in practice all acts of anti-union discrimination in respect of employment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 771; 340th Report, Case No. 2418, para. 811 and Case No. 2351, para. 1350; 342nd Report, Case No. 2356, para. 364, Case No. 2390, para. 563;

344th Report, Case No. 2456, para. 278, Case No. 2479, para. 1051, Case No. 2474, para. 1153; 346th Report, Case No. 2487, para. 928; 348th Report, Case No. 2356, para. 372, Case No. 2526, para. 1046; 349th Report, Case No. 2498, para. 744; 350th Report, Case No. 2553, para. 1538; 351st Report, Case No. 2582, para. 240 and Case No. 2594, para. 1177; 353rd Report, Case No. 2619, para. 582, Case No. 2557, para. 840, Case No. 2634, para. 1303; 354th Report, Case No. 2594, para. 1080; 355th Report, Case No. 2648, para. 960; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 761; 357th Report, Case No. 2676, para. 299; 359th Report, Case No. 2773, para. 301, Case No. 2769, para. 482 and Case No. 2752, para. 918; 360th Report, Case No. 2875, para. 693; 370th Report, Case No. 2985, para. 423; 371st Report, Case No. 3010, para. 666; 372nd Report, Case No. 2989, para. 316; 374th Report, Case No. 3052, para. 584; 376th Report, Case No. 3004, para. 110; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 488.)

1076. No one should be subjected to discrimination or prejudice with regard to employment because of legitimate trade union activities or membership, and the persons responsible for such acts should be punished.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 772; 344th Report, Case No. 2481, para. 843; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 895; 358th Report, Case No. 2737, para. 640; 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 682 and Case No. 2613, para. 944; 362nd Report, Case No. 2825, para. 1253; 367th Report, Case No. 2590, para. 69; 370th Report, Case No. 2714, para. 702; and 376th Report, Case No. 3062, para. 580 and Case No. 3016, para. 1035.)

1077. No person should be prejudiced in employment by reason of legitimate trade union activities and cases of anti-union discrimination should be dealt with promptly and effectively by the competent institutions.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 567.)

1078. Since inadequate safeguards against acts of anti-union discrimination, in particular against dismissals, may lead to the actual disappearance of trade unions composed only of workers in an undertaking, additional measures should be taken to ensure fuller protection for leaders of all organizations, and delegates and members of trade unions, against any discriminatory acts.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 773; 359th Report, Case No. 2752, para. 918; 363rd Report, Case No. 2752, para. 920; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 488.)

1079. The Committee considers that it is not its role to determine in federal States which are the internal standards regulating protection against anti-union discrimination and, in particular, whether the standards of general application or those of the province in question should be applicable. Nevertheless, irrespective of the procedural or substantive laws applying to public officials or employees in provinces of a federal State, the Committee is bound to examine whether the actual alleged anti-union discrimination measures are or are not in accordance with the provisions of ratified ILO Conventions and the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 774.)

Workers protected

1080. Protection against anti-union discrimination applies equally to trade union members and former trade union officials as to current trade union leaders.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 775.)

1081. No person should be prejudiced in his or her employment by reason of membership of a trade union, even if that trade union is not recognized by the employer as representing the majority of workers concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 776; 340th Report, Case No. 2429, para. 1195; and 378th Report, Case No. 2673, para. 333.)

1082. Protection against acts of anti-union discrimination would appear to be inadequate if an employer can resort to subcontracting as a means of evading in practice the rights of freedom of association and collective bargaining.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 654.)

1083. Noting in one case that conditions approaching civil war prevailed, the Committee considered that special restrictions for the purpose of eliminating sabotage in public utility undertakings should not in any case be such as to give rise to anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 777.)

1084. The Committee has pointed out that Article 8 of Convention No. 151 allows a certain flexibility in the choice of procedures for the settlement of disputes concerning public servants on condition that the confidence of the parties involved is ensured. The Committee itself has stated in relation to grievances concerning anti-union practices in both the public and private sectors that such complaints should normally be examined by national machinery which, in addition to being speedy, should not only be impartial but should also be seen to be such by the parties concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 778.)

Forms of discrimination

A. General principles

1085. The Committee is not called upon to pronounce upon the question of the breaking of a contract of employment by dismissal except in cases in which the provisions on dismissal imply anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 779; 364th Report, Case No. 2835, para. 500; 368th Report, Case No. 2933, para. 274; 370th Report, Case No. 2950, para. 329, Case No. 2993, para. 352; and 378th Report, Case No. 3114, para. 190.)

1086. Protection against anti-union discrimination should apply more particularly in respect of acts calculated to cause the dismissal of or otherwise prejudice a worker by reason of union membership or because of participation in union activities outside working hours or, with the employer's consent, during working hours.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 780; and 355th Report, Case No. 2648, para. 960.)

1087. Protection against acts of anti-union discrimination should cover not only hiring and dismissal, but also any discriminatory measures during employment, in particular transfers, downgrading and other acts that are prejudicial to the worker.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 781; 349th Report, Case No. 2580, para. 870, Case No. 2546, para. 1217; 351st Report, Case No. 2566, para. 986; 353rd Report, Case No. 2546, para. 242; 354th Report, Case No. 2633, para. 719; 356th Report, Case No. 2681, para. 1034; 362nd Report, Case No. 2825, para. 1258; 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 154; 374th Report, Case No. 2811, para. 367; and 376th Report, Case No. 2892, para. 145.)

1088. Acts of anti-union discrimination may vary in nature and are not confined to discharge, dismissal, retrenchment or termination of service, but also include all actions taken in retaliation against a worker exercising trade union activities, such as suspension.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2228, para. 70.)

B. Discrimination in relation to hiring

1089. Workers face many practical difficulties in proving the real nature of their dismissal or denial of employment, especially when seen in the context of blacklisting, which is a practice whose very strength lies in its secrecy. While it is true that it is important for employers to obtain information about prospective employees, it is equally true that employees with past trade union membership or activities should be informed about the information held on them and given a chance to challenge it, especially if it is erroneous and obtained from an unreliable source. Moreover, in these conditions, the employees concerned would be more inclined to institute legal proceedings since they would be in a better position to prove the real nature of their dismissal or denial of employment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 782; and 348th Report, Case No. 2445, para. 784.)

1090. With regard to special committees set up under a law with a view to granting or refusing the "certificates of loyalty" required of certain workers in public utility undertakings if they were to be engaged or retained in service, the Committee recalled the desirability of ensuring that the special committees in question should not be used in such a manner as to give rise to anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 783.)

1091. Legislation should allow the possibility to appeal against discrimination in hiring, i.e. even before the workers can be qualified as "employees".

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 784; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2768, para. 640.)

1092. The Committee has expressed its fear that the use of polygraph tests during hiring interviews may lead to anti-union discriminations.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2768, para. 640.)

C. Discrimination during employment

1093. The non-renewal of a contract for anti-union reasons constitutes a prejudicial act within the meaning of Article 1 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 785; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 671; 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 654; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 739; 367th Report, Case No. 2836, para. 60; and 373rd Report, Case No. 2995, para. 207.)

1094. In certain circumstances, the renewal of fixed-term contracts for several years may affect the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2884, para. 213; 371st Report, Case No. 2998, para. 731; and 373rd Report, Case No. 2995, para. 208.)

1095. In certain circumstances, the employment of workers through repeated renewals of fixed-term contracts for several years can be an obstacle to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 2946, para. 250, Case No. 2998, para. 719; 375th Report, Cases Nos. 3065 and 3066, para. 481; and 377th Report, Case No. 3064, para. 213.)

1096. Fixed-term contracts should not be used deliberately for anti-union purposes.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 2998, para. 719; 375th Report, Cases Nos. 3065 and 3066, para. 481; and 377th Report, Case No. 3064, para. 213.)

1097. The Committee invited a government to examine with the most representative workers' and employers' organizations, a way of ensuring that the systematic use of short-term temporary contracts in the non-traditional export sector did not become in practice an obstacle to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2675, para. 874; and 375th Report, Cases Nos. 3065 and 3066, para. 481.)

1098. Acts of harassment and intimidation carried out against workers by reason of trade union membership or legitimate trade union activities, while not necessarily prejudicing workers in their employment, may discourage them from joining organizations of their own choosing, thereby violating their right to organize.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 786; 346th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1181; 348th Report, Case No. 2494, para. 963; 359th Report, Case No. 2752, para. 919; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 730; 363rd Report, Case No. 2752, para. 920; 373rd Report, Case No. 3035, para. 379; and 378th Report, Case No. 3142, para. 129.)

1099. Granting bonuses to non-union member staff – even if it is not to all nonunion workers – and excluding all workers who are union members from such bonuses during a period of collective conflict, constitutes an act of anti-union discrimination contrary to Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 787; 359th Report, Case No. 2752, para. 919; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2752, para. 920.)

1100. Direct threat and intimidation of members of a workers' organization and forcing them into committing themselves to sever their ties with the organization under the threat of termination constitutes a denial of these workers' freedom of association rights.

(See 377th Report, Case No. 3100, para. 376.)

1101. The government's obligations under Convention No. 98 and the principles on protection against anti-union discrimination cover not only acts of direct discrimination (such as demotion, dismissal, frequent transfer, and so on), but extend to the need to protect unionized employees from more subtle attacks which may be the outcome of omissions. In this respect, proprietorial changes should not remove the right to collective bargaining from employees, or directly or indirectly threaten unionized workers and their organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 788; 348th Report, Case No. 2494, para. 963; and 364th Report, Case No. 2823, para. 483.)

1102. The Committee has drawn attention to the fact that initiating administrative proceedings against union officials without sufficient grounds might have an intimidating effect on union officials.

(See 373rd Report, Case No. 3000, para. 138.)

1103. Transfers of employees for reasons unconnected with their trade union affiliation or activities are not covered by Article 1 of Convention No. 98.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2661, para. 793.)

D. Discriminatory dismissal

1104. The dismissal of workers on grounds of membership of an organization or trade union activities violates the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 789; 340th Report, Case No. 2241, para. 827, Case No. 2400, para. 1228; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1381; 365th Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1277; and 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 525.)

1105. Subcontracting accompanied by dismissals of union leaders can constitute a violation of the principle that no one should be prejudiced in his or her employment on the grounds of union membership or activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 790; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 671; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1381; and 365th Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1277.)

1106. It would not appear that sufficient protection against acts of anti-union discrimination, as set out in Convention No. 98, is granted by legislation in cases where employers can in practice, on condition that they pay the compensation prescribed by law for cases of unjustified dismissal, dismiss any worker, if the true reason is the worker's trade union membership or activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 791; 342nd Report, Case No. 2376, para. 106, Case No. 2262, para. 233; 343rd Report, Case No. 2443, para. 315, Case No. 2265, para. 1143; 344th Report, Case No. 2474, para. 1154; 350th Report, Case No. 2252, para. 172; 355th Report, Case No. 2613, para. 930; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 761; 358th Report, Case No. 2737, para. 639; 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 682; and 372nd Report, Case No. 2684, para. 278.)

1107. In cases of anti-union dismissals, newly established enterprise level unions are likely to suffer adverse consequences threatening their very existence, if their entire leadership and a large part of their membership is dismissed.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2252, para. 172.)

1108. Where public servants are employed under conditions of free appointment and removal from service, the exercise of the right to freely remove public employees from their posts should in no instance be motivated by the trade union functions or activities of the persons who could be affected by such measures.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 792; 370th Report, Case No. 2926, para. 385; and 376th Report, Case No. 3051, para. 691.)

1109. Not only dismissal, but also compulsory retirement, when imposed as a result of legitimate trade union activities, would be contrary to the principle that no person should be prejudiced in his or her employment by reason of trade union membership or activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 793; 357th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 259; 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 154; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 488.)

1110. In certain cases, the Committee has found it difficult to accept as a coincidence unrelated to trade union activity that heads of departments should have decided, immediately after a strike, to convene disciplinary boards which, on the basis of service records, ordered the dismissal not only of a number of strikers, but also of members of their union committee.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 794; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3018, para. 494.)

1111. Acts of anti-trade union discrimination should not be authorized under the pretext of dismissals based on economic necessity.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 795; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 728; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1382; and 365th Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1277.)

1112. The application of staff reduction programmes must not be used to carry out acts of anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 796; 346th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 1359; 351st Report, Case No. 2573, para. 467; 359th Report, Case No. 2760, para. 1165; and 377th Report, Case No. 3017, para. 265.)

1113. A corporate restructuring should not directly or indirectly threaten unionized workers and their organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 797; 359th Report, Case No. 2760, para. 1165; 362nd Report, Case No. 2228, para. 80, Case No. 2815, para. 1382; and 365th Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1277.)

1114. It is not within the Committee's purview to pronounce itself on allegations relating to restructuring programmes, even when these involve collective dismissals, unless they have given rise to acts of anti-union discrimination or interference.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3051, para. 690.)

1115. The liquidation of a company and the fact that the legal person under which the company operated has ceased to exist should not be used as a pretext for anti-union discrimination nor should they be an obstacle to the competent authorities determining whether or not there were acts of anti-union discrimination and, if such practices are shown to have taken place, to sanctioning such illegal acts and ensuring that the affected workers are duly compensated.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3027, para. 297.)

1116. In the Committee's opinion, the bipartite talks and the administrative procedure of permission to dismiss do not guarantee adequate protection to workers against acts of anti-union discrimination, since it appears that the legislation currently in force allows an employer merely to invoke "lack of harmony in the working relationship" to justify the dismissal of workers who only wish to exercise a fundamental right under the principles of freedom of association.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1756, para. 414.)

Organizations' leaders and representatives

A. General principles

1117. One of the fundamental principles of freedom of association is that workers should enjoy adequate protection against all acts of anti-union discrimination in respect of their employment, such as dismissal, demotion, transfer or other prejudicial measures. This protection is particularly desirable in the case of trade union officials because, in order to be able to perform their trade union duties in full independence, they should have a guarantee that they will not be prejudiced on account of the mandate which they hold from their trade unions. The Committee has considered that

the guarantee of such protection in the case of trade union officials is also necessary in order to ensure that effect is given to the fundamental principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 799; 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 367 and Case No. 2416, para. 1023; 342nd Report, Case No. 2262, para. 231, Case No. 2450, para. 429, Case No. 2441, para. 619; 343rd Report, Case No. 2402, para. 26 and Case No. 2451, para. 925; 344th Report, Case No. 2471, para. 892, Case No. 2474, para. 1153 and Case No. 2466, para. 1331; 346th Report, Case No. 2500, para. 331; 348th Report, Case No. 2153, para. 24, Case No. 2433, para. 49, Case No. 2262, para. 229, Case No. 2517, para. 835, Case No. 2512, para. 895 and Case No. 2526, para. 1046; 349th Report, Case No. 2590, para. 1107; 350th Report, Case No. 2433, para. 30; 351st Report, Case No. 2433, para. 20 and Case No. 2607, para. 587; 353rd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 118 and Case No. 2619, para. 579; 354th Report, Case No. 2633, para. 719; 355th Report, Case No. 2613, para. 930, Case No. 2661, para. 1065; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 761 and Case No. 2601, para. 1019; 357th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 259, Case No. 2703, para. 1009, Case No. 2748, para. 1067, Case No. 2714, para. 1117 and Case No. 2736, para. 1262; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 551, Case No. 2737, para. 635 and Case No. 2715, para. 906; 359th Report, Case No. 2783, para. 337 and Case No. 2754, para. 678; 360th Report, Case No. 2790, para. 420, Case No. 2775, para. 729: 362nd Report, Case No. 2808, para. 355, Case No. 2796, para. 533. Case No. 2723, para. 832, Case No. 2815, para. 1371; 363rd Report, Case No. 2811, para. 656 and Case No. 2892, para. 1155; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 580; 367th Report, Case No. 2896, para. 683, Case No. 2925, para. 1139 and Case No. 2892, para. 1238; 368th Report, Case No. 2914, para. 407; 371st Report, Case No. 2752, para. 86 and Case No. 2925, para. 920; 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 154; 376th Report, Case No. 2892, para. 145, Case No. 3076, para. 746, Case No. 3067, para. 952 and Case No. 2994, para. 1004; 377th Report, Case No. 3100, para. 375; and 378th Report, Case No. 2994, para. 771.)

1118. The Committee has drawn attention to the Workers' Representatives Convention (No. 135) and Recommendation (No. 143), 1971, in which it is expressly established that workers' representatives in the undertaking shall enjoy effective protection against any act prejudicial to them, including dismissal, based on their status or activities as workers' representatives or on union membership, or participation in union activities, in so far as they act in conformity with existing laws or collective agreements or other jointly agreed arrangements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 800; 343rd Report, Case No. 2426, para. 281; 354th Report, Case No. 2382, para. 34, Case No. 2633, para. 719; 362nd Report, Case No. 2808, para. 355; 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 911; 374th Report, Case No. 3024, para. 556; and 377th Report, Case No. 3140, para. 392.)

1119. The principle that a worker or trade union official should not suffer prejudice by reason of his or her trade union activities does not necessarily imply that the fact that a person holds a trade union office confers immunity against dismissal irrespective of the circumstances.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 801; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 729; and 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 525.)

1120. A deliberate policy of frequent transfers of persons holding trade union office may seriously harm the efficiency of trade union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 802; 340th Report, Case No. 2429, para. 1195; 356th Report, Case No. 2673, para. 791; 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 678; 362nd Report, Case No. 2825, para. 1258; and 370th Report, Case No. 2595, para. 37.)

B. Blacklists

1121. All practices involving the blacklisting of trade union officials or members constitute a serious threat to the free exercise of trade union rights and, in general, governments should take stringent measures to combat such practices.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 803; 343rd Report, Case No. 2355, para. 477; 346th Report, Case No. 2318, para. 391 and Case No. 2488, para. 1355; 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 311; 359th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 639; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1066; 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 997; 368th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 493; 370th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 674; 371st Report, Case No. 2908, para. 290, Case No. 3010, para. 666; 377th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 196; and 378th Report, Case No. 3119, para. 670.)

C. Dismissal of trade union leaders

1122. The Committee has pointed out that one way of ensuring the protection of trade union officials is to provide that these officials may not be dismissed, either during their period of office or for a certain time thereafter except, of course, for serious misconduct.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 804; 346th Report, Case No. 2500, para. 331; 349th Report, Case No. 2590, para. 1107; 351st Report, Case No. 2355, para. 369 and Case No. 2607, para. 587; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 551; 359th Report, Case No. 2783, para. 337, Case No. 2760, para. 1159; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 720; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 832 and Case No. 2815, para. 1371; 368th Report, Case No. 2914, para. 407; 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 525; 372nd Report, Case No. 2924, para. 78; 374th Report, Case No. 3030, para. 540; 377th Report, Case No. 3104, para. 110; and 378th Report, Case No. 3095, para. 800.)

1123. The dismissal of trade unionists for absence from work without the employer's permission, for example, to attend a workers' education course, does not appear in itself to constitute an infringement of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 805; and 344th Report, Case No. 2339, para. 78.)

1124. The Committee cannot accept that the failure to work on a non-workday should be considered a breach of labour discipline leading to the dismissal of trade union leaders.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 806.)

1125. In a case in which trade union leaders could be dismissed without an indication of the motive, the Committee requested the government to take steps with a view to punishing acts of anti-union discrimination and to making appeal procedures available to the victims of such acts.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 807; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 761; 372nd Report, Case No. 2684, para. 278; and 375th Report, Case No. 3059, para. 664.)

1126. In no case should it be possible to dismiss a trade union officer merely for having presented a list of dispute grievances; this constitutes an extremely serious act of discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 808; 348th Report, Case No. 2538, para. 618; 364th Report, Case No. 2855, para. 770; 368th Report, Case No. 2914, para. 407; and 378th Report, Case No. 3095, para. 800.)

1127. A recommendation of the chairperson of a union in respect of an employer's proposal is a legitimate act within the context of collective bargaining and should be protected as a legitimate trade union activity.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 618.)

1128. According to the findings of a court, one of the essential reasons for the dismissal of a trade union official was that he performed certain trade union activities in the employer's time, using the personnel of the employer for trade union purposes and using his business position to exercise improper pressure on another employee – all this without the consent of the employer. The Committee considered that, when trade union activities are carried on in this way, it is not possible for the person concerned to invoke the protection of Convention No. 98 or to contend that, in the event of dismissal, his legitimate trade union rights have been infringed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 809.)

1129. In a case in which a trade union leader was dismissed and then reinstated a few days later, the Committee pointed out that the dismissal of trade union leaders by reason of union membership or activities is contrary to Article 1 of Convention No. 98, and could amount to intimidation aimed at preventing the free exercise of their trade union functions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 810; 359th Report, Case No. 2769, para. 482; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2752, para. 919.)

1130. The dismissal of trade union officers on account of their trade union office or activities, even if they are subsequently reinstated, is contrary to Article 1 of Convention No. 98, and could, in cases where dismissal has been proven, amount to intimidation preventing the exercise of their trade union functions.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2527, para. 1106.)

1131. Especially at the initial stages of unionization in a workplace, dismissal of trade union representatives might fatally compromise incipient attempts at exercising the right to organize, as it not only deprives the workers of their representatives, but also has an intimidating effect on other workers who could have envisaged assuming trade union functions or simply join the union.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3086, para. 783.)

1132. With regard to the reasons for dismissal, the activities of trade union officials should be considered in the context of particular situations which may be especially strained and difficult in cases of labour disputes and strike action.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 811; 350th Report, Case No. 2252, para. 171; and 356th Report, Case No. 2652, para. 1215.)

1133. In cases involving a large number of dismissals of trade union leaders and other trade unionists, the Committee considered that it would be particularly desirable for the government to carry out an inquiry in order to establish the true reasons for the measures taken.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 812; and 346th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 1359.)

Need for rapid and effective protection

1134. Legislation should lay down explicitly remedies and penalties against acts of anti-union discrimination in order to ensure the effective application of Article 1 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 813; 343rd Report, Case No. 2443, para. 315 and Case No. 2265, para. 1141; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 584; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 899; 351st Report, Case No. 2607, para. 589; and 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 761.)

1135. Where a government has undertaken to ensure that the right to associate shall be guaranteed by appropriate measures, that guarantee, in order to be effective, should, when necessary, be accompanied by measures which include the protection of workers against anti-union discrimination in their employment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 814; 348th Report, Case No. 2494, para. 964; 353rd Report, Case No. 2634, para. 1306; 358th Report, Case No. 2737, para. 640; and 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 682.)

1136. In accordance with Convention No. 98, a government should take measures, whenever necessary, to ensure that protection of workers is effective, which implies that the authorities should refrain from any act likely to provoke, or have as its object, anti-union discrimination against workers in respect of their employment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 815.)

1137. As long as protection against anti-union discrimination is in fact ensured, the methods adopted to safeguard workers against such practices may vary from one

State to another; but if there is discrimination, the government concerned should take all necessary steps to eliminate it, irrespective of the methods normally used.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 816; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 896; and 354th Report, Case No. 2633, para. 720.)

1138. The government is responsible for preventing all acts of anti-union discrimination and it must ensure that complaints of anti-union discrimination are examined in the framework of national procedures which should be prompt, impartial and considered as such by the parties concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 817; 340th Report, Case No.2395, para. 180, Case No. 2439, para. 367 and Case No. 2397, para. 887: 343rd Report, Case No. 2451, para. 925: 344th Report, Case No.2395, para. 191 and Case No. 2468, para. 436; 346th Report, Case No. 2508, para. 1181; 348th Report, Case No. 2153, para. 24 and Case No. 2512, para. 899; 350th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 202, Case No. 2362, para. 422, Case No. 2560, para. 568 and Case No. 2592, para. 1583; 351st Report, Case No. 2295, para. 869; 353rd Report, Case No. 2488, para. 233; 354th Report, Case No. 2229, para. 179 and Case No. 2633, para. 720; 355th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 353 and Case No. 2685, para. 908; 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 553; 359th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 313; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1060; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 832; 364th Report, Case No. 2855, para. 770, Case No. 2864, para. 787 and Case No. 2745, para. 989; 368th Report, Case No. 2976, para. 845; 370th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 669; 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 924; 372nd Report, Case No. 2967, para. 306; 374th Report, Case No. 2882, para. 88, Case No. 2960, para. 267 and Case No. 2811, para. 369; 375th Report, Case No. 2962, para. 349; 377th Report, Case No. 2889, para. 416; 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 492.)

1139. Cases concerning anti-union discrimination should be examined rapidly, so that the necessary remedies can be really effective; an excessive delay in processing such cases constitutes a serious attack on the trade union rights of those concerned.

(See 367th Report, Case No. 2925, para. 1139.)

1140. The basic regulations that exist in the national legislation prohibiting acts of anti-union discrimination are inadequate when they are not accompanied by procedures to ensure that effective protection against such acts is guaranteed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 818; 340th Report, Case No.2395, para. 180; 344th Report, Case No.2395, para. 191; 348th Report, Case No. 2472, para. 940 and Case No. 2494, para. 964; 351st Report, Case No. 2295, para. 869; 353rd Report, Case No. 2336, para. 115 and Case No. 2488, para. 233; 358th Report, Case No. 2737, para. 640; 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 682; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 741; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1383; 365th Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1277 and Case No. 2758, para. 1398; 376th Report, Case No. 3040, para. 485; 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 492.)

1141. It may often be difficult, if not impossible, for workers to furnish proof of an act of anti-union discrimination of which they have been the victim. This shows the full importance of Article 3 of Convention No. 98, which provides that machinery appropriate to national conditions shall be established, where necessary, to ensure respect for the right to organize.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 819; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2655, para. 385.)

1142. Respect for the principles of freedom of association clearly requires that workers who consider that they have been prejudiced because of their trade union activities should have access to means of redress which are expeditious, inexpensive and fully impartial.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 820; 343rd Report, Case No. 2186, para. 50; 348th Report, Case No. 2472, para. 940; 349th Report, Case No. 2236, para. 141; 351st Report, Case No. 2607, para. 589 and Case No. 2568, para. 907; 353rd Report, Case No. 2236, para. 110; 354th Report, Case No. 2228, para. 117; 357th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 66; 362nd Report, Case No. 2808, para. 356; 365th Report, Case No. 2228, para. 78; 371st Report, Case No. 2228, para. 70, Case No. 2988, para. 858 and Case No. 2925, para. 911; and 375th Report, Case No. 2962, para. 349; 376th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 40 and Case No. 3042, para. 564.)

1143. The longer it takes for a procedure – particularly concerning the reinstatement of trade unionists – to be completed, the more difficult it becomes for the competent body to issue a fair and proper relief, since the situation complained of has often been changed irreversibly, people may have been transferred, etc., to a point where it becomes impossible to order adequate redress or to come back to the status quo ante.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 821; 357th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 261; 358th Report, Case No. 2716, para. 864; and 359th Report, Case No. 2474, para. 158.)

1144. Delay in the conclusion of proceedings giving access to remedies diminishes in itself the effectiveness of those remedies, since the situation complained of has often been changed irreversibly, to a point where it becomes impossible to order adequate redress or come back to the status quo ante.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3018, para. 584.)

1145. Cases concerning anti-union discrimination contrary to Convention No. 98 should be examined rapidly, so that the necessary remedies can be really effective. An excessive delay in processing cases of anti-union discrimination, and in particular a lengthy delay in concluding the proceedings concerning the reinstatement of the trade union leaders dismissed by the enterprise, constitute a denial of justice and therefore a denial of the trade union rights of the persons concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 826; 340th Report, Case No.2395, para. 178, Case No. 2400, para. 1228 and Case No. 2419, para. 1293; 343rd Report, Case No. 2267, para. 158 and Case No. 2088, para. 207; 344th Report, Case No. 2380, para. 197, Case No. 2419, para. 202 and Case No. 2474, para. 1155; 349th Report, Case No. 2474, para. 250, Case No. 2419, para. 287 and Case No. 2559, para. 1179; 350th Report, Case No. 2550, para. 118; 355th Report, Case No. 2160, para. 142; 357th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 261; 358th Report, Case No. 2267, para. 81 and Case No. 2715, para. 907; 359th Report, Case No. 2474, para. 1060; 362nd Report, Case No. 2685, para. 97; 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1060; 362nd Report, Case No. 2745, para. 669; 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 911; 372nd Report, Case No. 2869, para. 295; 373rd Report, Case No. 2948, para. 358 and Case No. 3014 para. 404; 376th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 40, Case No. 3042,

para. 566 and Case No. 3062, para. 580; 377th Report, Case No. 2889, para. 416; and 378th Report, Case No. 3114, para. 197 and Case No. 3018, para. 584.)

1146. In cases in which proceedings concerning dismissals had already taken 14 months, the Committee requested the judicial authorities, in order to avoid a denial of justice, to pronounce on the dismissals without delay and emphasized that any further undue delay in the proceedings could in itself justify the reinstatement of these persons in their posts.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 827; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 896; 357th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 261; 359th Report, Case No. 2474, para. 158; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 723; 368th Report, Case No. 2984, para. 378; 371st Report, Case No. 2512, para. 77; 372nd Report, Case No. 2869, para. 295.)

1147. In a case where judicial proceedings concerning allegations of anti-union dismissal of workers had been pending for several years, the Committee requested the Government to ensure that they were concluded without further delay and, while awaiting the final judicial decisions, to ensure the immediate provisional reinstatement of the workers in respect of whom reinstatement orders that had not been shelved were issued at first instance.

(See 372nd Report, Case No. 2869, para. 295.)

1148. Legislation must make express provision for appeals and establish sufficiently dissuasive sanctions against acts of anti-union discrimination to ensure the practical application of Articles 1 and 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 822; 343rd Report, Case No. 2443, para. 315, Case No. 2451, para. 925 and Case No. 2265, para. 1143; 344th Report, Case No. 2468, para. 436; 355th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 353; and 359th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 313.)

1149. Where a government has undertaken to ensure that the free exercise of trade union rights shall be guaranteed by appropriate measures, that guarantee, in order to be effective, should, when necessary, be accompanied by measures which include the protection of workers against anti-union discrimination in their employment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 823.)

1150. The Committee has recalled the need to ensure by specific provisions accompanied by civil remedies and penal sanctions the protection of workers against acts of anti-union discrimination at the hands of employers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 824; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 492.)

1151. As regards the allegation concerning the lack of legislative guarantees against anti-union discrimination, the Committee considered that the current system of protection against anti-union practices (heavy fines in the case of anti-union dismissals, administrative orders to reinstate workers so dismissed and the possibility of closing down the enterprise) does not infringe Convention No. 98 but could be improved in so far as accelerating the procedure.

(See 283rd Report, Case No. 1596, para. 372.)

1152. Complaints against acts of anti-union discrimination should normally be examined by national machinery which, in addition to being speedy, should not only be impartial but also be seen to be such by the parties concerned, who should participate in the procedure in an appropriate and constructive manner.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 828; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2794, para. 1138.)

1153. The Committee has recalled that the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association had stressed the importance of providing expeditious, inexpensive and wholly impartial means of redressing grievances caused by acts of anti-union discrimination; it has drawn attention to the desirability of settling grievances wherever possible by discussion without treating the process of determining grievances as a form of litigation, but the Commission has concluded, in cases where honest differences of opinion or viewpoint exist, that resort should be had to impartial tribunals or individuals as the final step in the grievance procedure.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 829.)

1154. The Committee has drawn attention to the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), which recommends, as one of the measures that should be taken to ensure the effective protection of workers' representatives, the adoption of provision for laying upon the employer, in the case of any alleged discriminatory dismissal or unfavourable change in the conditions of employment of a workers' representative, the burden of proving that such action was in fact justified.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 830.)

1155. Besides preventive machinery to forestall anti-union discrimination (such as, for example, a request for the prior authorization of the labour inspectorate before dismissing a trade union leader), a further means of ensuring effective protection could be to make it compulsory for each employer to prove that the motive for the decision to dismiss a worker has no connection with the worker's union activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 831; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2655, para. 385.)

1156. In cases of staff reductions, the Committee has drawn attention to the principle contained in the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), which mentions amongst the measures to be taken to ensure effective protection to these workers, that recognition of a priority should be given to workers' representatives with regard to their retention in employment in case of reduction of the workforce.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 832; 344th Report, Case No. 2151, para. 54; 357th Report, Case No. 2736, para. 1264; and 359th Report, Case No. 2760, para. 1165)

1157. The Committee has emphasized the advisability of giving priority to workers' representatives with regard to their retention in employment in case of reduction of the workforce, to ensure their effective protection.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 833; 357th Report, Case No. 2736, para. 1264; 364th Report, Case No. 2844, para. 644; 373rd Report, Case No. 3020, para. 226; and 377th Report, Case No. 3140, para. 392.)

1158. The Committee has considered that governments should take the necessary measures to enable labour inspectors to enter freely and without previous notice any workplace liable to inspection, and to carry out any examination, test or inquiry which they may consider necessary in order to satisfy themselves that the legal provisions – including those relating to anti-union discrimination – are being strictly observed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 834; and 368th Report, Case No. 2984, para. 377.)

1159. Where cases of alleged anti-union discrimination are involved, the competent authorities dealing with labour issues should begin an inquiry immediately and take suitable measures to remedy any effects of anti-union discrimination brought to their attention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 835; 340th Report, Case No. 2419, para. 1293; 343rd Report, Case No. 2402, para. 26; 344th Report, Case No. 2380, para. 197, Case No. 2419, para. 202; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 896; 349th Report, Case No. 2419, para. 287; 351st Report, Case No. 2512, para. 101; 353rd Report, Case No. 2380, para. 269; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 769; 357th Report, Case No. 2678, para. 656; 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 924; 373rd Report, Case No. 2995, para. 206 and Case No. 2948, para. 356; 374th Report, Case No. 2946, para. 251; 376th Report, Case No. 3027, para. 298; 377th Report, Case No. 3094, para. 346; and 378th Report, Case No. 2673, para. 334 and Case No. 3177, para. 504.)

1160. In a case in which the remedies available to undocumented workers dismissed for attempting to exercise their trade union rights included: (1) a cease and desist order in respect of violations of the law; and (2) the conspicuous posting of a notice to employees setting forth their rights under the law and detailing the prior unfair practices, the Committee considered that such remedies in no way sanctioned the act of anti-union discrimination already committed, but only acted as possible deterrents for future acts. Such an approach is likely to afford little protection to undocumented workers who can be indiscriminately dismissed for exercising freedom of association. The remedial measures in question are therefore inadequate to ensure effective protection against acts of anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 836.)

1161. The Committee considers that the role of the Government in relation to acts of anti-union discrimination and interference is not confined to mediation and conciliation but also includes, where appropriate, investigation and enforcement in order to ensure effective protection against acts of anti-union discrimination and interference and, in particular, ensure that such acts are identified and remedied, that guilty parties are punished and that such acts do not reoccur in the future.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2472, para. 940.)

1162. In order to guarantee effective protection against anti-union discrimination, it would be necessary to try to establish the truth of the allegations made by the complainant organizations concerning pressure to encourage workers to resign from their union and, if those allegations are found to be true, to take appropriate corrective measures.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 654.)

Reinstatement of trade unionists in their jobs

1163. No one should be subjected to anti-union discrimination because of legitimate trade union activities and the remedy of reinstatement should be available to those who are victims of anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 837; 343rd Report, Case No. 2265, para. 1143; 344th Report, Case No. 2471, para. 893; 349th Report, Case No. 2521, para. 113; 353rd Report, Case No. 2291, para. 251; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 770; 359th Report, Case No. 2773, para. 301; 363rd Report, Case No. 2819, para. 534; and 367th Report, Case No. 2836, para. 60.)

1164. Respect for the principles of freedom of association requires that workers should not be dismissed for engaging in legitimate trade union activities.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 2164, para. 134.)

1165. The Government must ensure an adequate and efficient system of protection against acts of anti-union discrimination, which should include sufficiently dissuasive sanctions and prompt means of redress, emphasizing reinstatement as an effective means of redress.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2445, para. 786; and 355th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 865.)

1166. In the case of a country in which there was no legislation providing for the reinstatement of workers who had been dismissed without justification, the Committee requested the government to take measures to amend the legislation so that workers dismissed for the exercise of their trade union rights can be reinstated in their posts.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 838; and 367th Report, Case No. 2896, para. 683.)

1167. In cases of the dismissal of trade unionists on the grounds of their trade union membership or activities, the Committee has requested the government to take the necessary measures to enable trade union leaders and members who had been dismissed due to their legitimate trade union activities to secure reinstatement in their jobs and to ensure the application against the enterprises concerned of the corresponding legal sanctions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 839; 367th Report, Case No. 2896, para. 680; and 370th Report, Case No. 2936, para. 317.)

1168. In many cases, the Committee has requested the government to ensure that the persons in question are reinstated in their jobs without loss of pay or compensation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 840; 344th Report, Case No. 2371, para. 34; 346th Report, Case No. 2480, para. 440; 348th Report, Case No. 2361, para. 752; 350th Report, Case No. 2399, para. 149; 353rd Report, Case No. 2399, para. 184; 354th Report, Case No. 2594, para. 1082; 355th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 354; 356th Report, Case No. 2533, para. 1072; 357th Report, Case No. 2638, para. 800; 358th Report, Case No. 2576, para. 716; 359th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 311; 360th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 87; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1372; 363rd Report, Case No. 2450, para. 143; 368th Report, Case No. 2976, para. 845; 370th Report, Case No. 2902, para. 596; 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 920; 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 155; and 378th Report, Case No. 3095, para. 800.)

1169. If it appears that the dismissals occurred as a result of involvement by the workers concerned in the activities of a union, the Government must ensure that those workers are reinstated in their jobs without loss of pay.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2096, para. 164.)

1170. If, given the considerable time that has elapsed since the dismissals, in violation of the principles of freedom of association, it is not practicable to reinstate the workers concerned, the Committee has requested the government to take steps to ensure that the workers receive full compensation without delay.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 841, and 350th Report, Case No. 2252, para. 172.)

1171. In certain cases of dismissals in which judicial proceedings were ongoing, if the decision concludes that there have been acts of anti-union discrimination, the Committee has requested the reinstatement of the workers concerned as a priority solution.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 842; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 672 and Case No. 2533, para. 1492; 353rd Report, Case No. 2589, para. 126 and Case No. 2602, para. 459; and 355th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 663.)

1172. If the judicial authority determines that reinstatement of workers dismissed in violation of freedom of association is not possible, measures should be taken so that they are fully compensated.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 843; and 349th Report, Case No. 2521, para. 113.)

1173. The compensation should be adequate, taking into account both the damage incurred and the need to prevent the repetition of such situations in the future.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 844; 349th Report, Case No. 2521, para. 113 and Case No. 2546, para. 1217; 350th Report, Case No. 2252, para. 172; 353rd Report, Case No. 2546, para. 242; 356th Report, Case No. 2227, para. 66; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 722; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2450, para. 143.)

1174. If reinstatement is not possible, the government should ensure that the workers concerned are paid adequate compensation which would represent a sufficient dissuasive sanction for anti-trade union dismissals.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 845; 343rd Report, Case No. 2096, para. 164 and Case No. 2265, para. 1143; 344th Report, Case No. 2471, para. 893; 350th Report, Case No. 2399, para. 149 and Case No. 2252, para. 172; 353rd Report, Case No. 2399, para. 184; 356th Report, Case No. 2227, para. 66; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 607; 359th Report, Case No. 2773, para. 301; 373rd Report, Case No. 2995, para. 207; and 377th Report, Case No. 3140, para. 394.)

1175. If the judicial authority – or an independent competent body – determines that reinstatement of trade union members is not possible for objective and compelling reasons, adequate compensation should be awarded to remedy all damages suffered and prevent any repetition of such acts in the future, so as to constitute a sufficiently dissuasive sanction against acts of anti-union discrimination.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 672, Case No. 2533, para. 1492; 353rd Report, Case No. 2589, para. 126; 355th Report, Case No. 2646, para. 323, Case No. 2602, para. 663; 359th Report, Case No. 2613, para. 944; 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 459; 367th Report, Case No. 2590, para. 69. See also 359th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 311.)

1176. In many cases, the Committee has requested the government to take the necessary measures to ensure that, if reinstatement is not possible for objective and compelling reasons, the workers concerned receive adequate compensation so as to constitute a sufficiently dissuasive sanction against acts of anti-union discrimination.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2533, para. 1085; 355th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 354; 356th Report, Case No. 2533, para. 1064; 357th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 262, Case No. 2638, para. 800; 360th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 87, Case No. 2772, para. 321, Case No. 2775, para. 722; 365th Report, Case No. 2902, para. 1121; 368th Report, Case No. 2914, para. 408, Case No. 2976, para. 845; 370th Report, Case No. 2902, para. 596; 372nd Report, Case No. 3025, para. 155, Case No. 2715, para. 529; 374th Report, Case No. 2902, para. 800.)

1177. In one case, the Committee requested the Government, if it was found by an independent judicial body that reinstatement in one form or another was not possible, to ensure that the workers concerned were paid adequate compensation beyond that provided in the law for simple unmotivated dismissal, but rather such compensation as to represent a sufficient dissuasive sanction for anti-union dismissal.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2443, para. 314.)

1178. In certain cases, the Committee has requested the government to carry out independent investigations of dismissals and, if it finds that they constitute anti-trade union acts, to take measures to ensure the reinstatement of the workers concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 846.)

1179. In one case, the Committee, taking into account the slowness of the judicial proceedings, requested a Government to ensure that the labour inspectorate carried out an investigation without delay, and, if the dismissals were shown to have been carried out for anti-union reasons, to take steps to ensure that the workers concerned were immediately reinstated in their places of work.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2241, para. 763.)

1180. If the post occupied by the worker has been eliminated, she or he should be reinstated in a comparable post if the dismissal constituted an act of anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 847; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2752, para. 919.)

1181. Where the enterprise no longer exists, measures should be taken to ensure that workers dismissed for trade union activities are fully compensated.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 848; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2228, para. 80.)

1182. Declarations of loyalty or other similar commitment should not be imposed as a condition for reinstatement.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 849.)

1183. In a case of a strike by air traffic controllers in which public safety was endangered, the Committee considered that it could not ask the Government to allow the request for a return to work of those who were dismissed, as claimed by the complainant.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 850.)

1184. The necessary measures should be taken so that trade unionists who have been dismissed for activities related to the establishment of a union are reinstated in their functions, if they so wish.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 852; 342nd Report, Case No. 2262, para. 231 and Case No. 2423, para. 492; and 343rd Report, Case No. 2265, para. 1143.)

1185. It is inconsistent with the right to strike for an employer to be permitted to refuse to reinstate some or all of the employees at the conclusion of the strike, lock-out or other industrial action without those employees having the right to challenge the fairness of that dismissal before an independent court or tribunal.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 853.)

Discrimination against employers

1186. In relation to allegations concerning discrimination against employers' leaders on the grounds of agrarian reform, the Committee considered that the provisions concerning compensation for land expropriation should be reviewed to make sure that there is real and fair compensation for the losses thus sustained by owners, and that the Government should reopen the compensation files if so requested by persons who consider they have been despoiled in the agrarian reform process.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 854.)

General principles

1187. Article 2 of Convention No. 98 provides that workers' and employers' organizations shall enjoy adequate protection against acts of interference in their establishment, functioning or administration.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2901, para. 722.)

1188. Article 2 of Convention No. 98 establishes the total independence of workers' organizations from employers in exercising their activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 855; 353rd Report, Case No. 2488, para. 236; 356th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 147; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 611; 360th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 114; 362nd Report, Case No. 2808, para. 353; and 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 534.)

1189. Workers shall have the right to join organizations of their own choosing without any interference from the employer.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2472, para. 957.)

1190. The Committee recalled the fundamental principle of workers being able to join organizations of their own choosing and of the enterprise not interfering in favour of a trade union.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 362.)

1191. The employer's consent to the establishment of the union should not be required as a condition for registration. Indeed, the Committee considers that such a requirement would constitute a clear violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 360th Report, Case No. 2777, para. 778.)

1192. Respect for the principles of freedom of association requires that employers exercise great restraint in relation to intervention in the internal affairs of trade unions.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2789, para. 1121.)

1193. Respect for the principles of freedom of association requires that the public authorities exercise great restraint in relation to intervention in the internal affairs of trade unions. It is even more important that employers exercise restraint in this regard. They should not, for example, do anything which might seem to favour one group within a union at the expense of another.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 859; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 788; 353rd Report, Case No. 2488, para. 236; 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1162; 356th Report, Case No. 2669, para. 1258; 357th Report, Case No. 2748, para. 1057; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1054; 362nd Report, Case No. 2708, para. 1118; 363rd Report, Case No. 2850, para. 874; 364th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 983; 370th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 666; 372nd Report, Case No. 2954, para. 96 and Case No. 3007, para. 228; and 373rd Report, Case No. 2708, para. 333.)

Forms of interference

1194. As regards allegations of anti-union tactics in the form of preventing the establishment of workers' organizations or bribes offered to union members to encourage their withdrawal from the union and the presentation of statements of resignation to the workers, as well as the alleged efforts made to create puppet unions, the Committee considers such acts to be contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 98, which provides that workers' and employers' organizations shall enjoy adequate protection against any acts of interference by each other or each other's agents in their establishment, functioning or administration.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 858; 344th Report, Case No. 2468, para. 438; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 788; 348th Report, Case No. 2388, para. 163; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 611; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 731; 363rd Report, Case No. 2780, para. 809 and Case No. 2850, para. 874; and 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 580 and Case No. 2815, para. 1274.)

1195. The intervention by an employer to promote the establishment of a parallel trade union constitutes an act of interference by the employer in the functioning of a workers' association, which is prohibited under Article 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2890, para. 1058.)

1196. The Committee recalled that it has had the opportunity to review the question of employers' freedom of expression in a case where, observing that the protection afforded by unfair labour practices in the country included protection against freedom of speech that would interfere with the formation of any labour organization or with the selection of a trade union as a representative for the purpose of bargaining collectively, found that the principles of freedom of association did not appear to be violated.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 381.)

1197. The Committee requested a government to ensure that employers did not express opinions which would intimidate workers in the exercise of their organizational

rights, such as claiming that the establishment of an association is unlawful, or warning against application with a higher level organization, or encouraging workers to withdraw their membership.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2301, para. 80; and 357th Report, Case No. 2683, para. 585.)

1198. Any coercion of workers or trade union officers to revoke their union membership constitutes a violation of the principle of freedom of association, in violation of Convention No. 87.

(See 370th Report, Case No. 2985, para. 424.)

1199. Coercing trade union members into leaving the trade union constitutes a serious violation of Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 that consecrate the right of workers to freely join the organization of their own choice and the principle of the adequate protection of this right.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2341, para. 870.)

1200. Management drafting of a union resignation letter constitutes a grave interference in the functioning of workers' organization.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2901, para. 722.)

1201. The Committee considered that the distribution of resignation forms and the setting up of a toll-free telephone line providing information on how to resign from the union constitute interference in the internal affairs of the union. In that regard, the Committee recalls that Article 2 of Convention No. 98 stipulates that workers' and employers' organizations shall enjoy adequate protection against any acts of interference by each other or each other's agents or members in their establishment, functioning or administration, and requested the Government to put into place a mechanism that would enable it to rapidly redress any effects of this type of interference, including through the imposition of sufficiently dissuasive sanctions on the employer, where appropriate, and to avoid such incidents in the future.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2470, para. 385.)

1202. The distribution of resignation forms to trade union members and oneon-one interviews to obtain the withdrawal of members from the union constitute acts of interference.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 671.)

1203. Paid full-time union officers should be able to carry out their trade union duties in accordance with the rules of their organization without having to account for each activity to the management. Such activities should include educational activities, activities carried out under the aegis of the relevant federation or confederation and those related to the preparation of action on a collective dispute.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 110.)

1204. Extending an invitation to participate in the meetings with the enterprise management to one organization and not to another, may be an informal way of showing favouritism to one organization and thereby influencing the trade union membership of workers.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2388, para. 164.)

1205. The closure of trade union offices, as a consequence of a legitimate strike, constitutes a violation of the principles of freedom of association and, if carried out by management, interference by the employer in the functioning of a workers' organization, which is prohibited under Article 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 856.)

1206. The intervention by an employer to promote the constitution of the executive board of a trade union, and interference with its correspondence, are acts which constitute a grave violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 857.)

1207. Attempts by employers to persuade employees to withdraw authorizations given to a trade union could unduly influence the choice of workers and undermine the position of the trade union, thus making it more difficult to bargain collectively, which is contrary to the principle that collective bargaining should be promoted.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 863; 362nd Report, Case No. 2808, para. 353; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 488.)

1208. The alleged offer of conditional benefits by the company provided that it would not be required to enter into a collective bargaining relationship with the union, if true, would be tantamount to employer interference in the right of workers to form and join the organization of their own choosing to represent their occupational interests.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2780, para. 809.)

1209. Legal provisions which allow employers to undermine workers' organizations through artificial promotions of workers constitute a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 864.)

1210. The maintenance of camera surveillance in rooms set aside for trade union meetings is likely to produce an intimidating effect on trade union bodies and members and may give rise to employer interference in a manner contrary to the principles of freedom of association in relation to trade union meetings.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2901, para. 725.)

1211. The issue of a management requesting its employees to state whether or not they belong to a union, even though this may not be intended to interfere with the exercise of trade union rights, may naturally be regarded as such an interference and felt to be intimidating to union members.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2901, para. 726.)

1212. The issue of circulars by a company requesting its employees to state to which trade union they belong, even though this is not intended to interfere with the exercise of trade union rights, may not unnaturally be regarded as such an interference.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 866.)

1213. The fact that one of the members of a government is at the same time a leader of a trade union which represents several categories of workers employed by the State creates a possibility of interference in violation of Article 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 867.)

1214. Recalling the importance of the independence of the parties in collective bargaining, negotiations should not be conducted on behalf of employees or their organizations by bargaining representatives appointed by or under the domination of employers or their organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 868; 343rd Report, Case No. 2436, para. 629; and 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 903.)

Need for effective protection

1215. The Committee recalled the importance it attaches to protection being ensured against acts of interference by employers designed to promote the establishment of workers' organizations under the domination of an employer.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2436, para. 629.)

1216. Where legislation does not contain specific provisions for the protection of workers' organizations from acts of interference by employers and their organizations (and provides that any case not provided for by the legislation should be decided, inter alia, in accordance with the provisions laid down in the Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the International Labour Organization, in so far as they are not contrary to laws of the country, and in accordance with Convention No. 98, by virtue of its ratification), it would be appropriate for the government to examine the possibility of adopting clear and precise provisions ensuring the adequate protection of workers' organizations against these acts of interference.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 860; and 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 858.)

1217. The existence of legislative provisions prohibiting acts of interference on the part of the authorities, or by organizations of workers and employers in each other's affairs, is insufficient if they are not accompanied by efficient procedures to ensure their implementation in practice.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 861; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 611; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 731; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 492.)

1218. Legislation must make express provision for appeals and establish sufficiently dissuasive sanctions against acts of interference by employers against workers and workers' organizations to ensure the practical application of Articles 1 and 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 862; 342nd Report, Case No. 2317, para. 862; 343rd Report, Case No. 2186, para. 51; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 899; and 358th Report, Case No. 2715, para. 909.)

1219. In endorsing an observation made by the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations concerning a law, the Committee pointed out that it would be extremely difficult for a worker who was dismissed by an employer invoking, for example, "neglect of duty", to prove that the real motive for dismissal was to be found in his or her trade union activities. Further, since lodging an appeal in this case did not suspend the decision taken, the dismissed trade union leader had, by virtue of the law, to resign his or her trade union post when dismissed. The Committee considered that the law therefore made it possible for managements of undertakings to hinder the activities of a trade union, which is contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 98, according to which workers' and employers' organizations shall enjoy adequate protection against any acts of interference by each other or each other's agents or members in their establishment, functioning or administration.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 865.)

Solidarist or other associations

A. Definition

1220. An Act on solidarist associations provides that such associations may be formed by 12 or more workers, and defines them as follows: "Solidarist associations are bodies of indeterminate duration which have their own legal personality and which, to achieve their purposes (the promotion of justice and social peace, harmony between employers and workers and the general advancement of their members), may acquire goods of all kinds, conclude any type of contract and undertake legal operations of any sort aimed at improving their members' social and economic conditions so as to raise their standard of living and enhance their dignity. To this effect they may undertake savings, credit and investment operations and any other financially viable operations. They may also organize programmes in the areas of housing, science, sport, art, education and recreation, cultural and spiritual matters and social and economic affairs and any other programme designed legally to promote cooperation between workers and between workers and their employers." The income of solidarist associations comes from members' minimum monthly savings, the percentage of which shall be determined by the general meeting, and the employers' monthly contribution on behalf of the workers, which shall be determined by common agreement between the two sides.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 869.)

1221. Solidarist associations are associations of workers which are set up dependent on a financial contribution from the relevant employer and which are financed in accordance with the principles of mutual benefit societies by both workers and employers for economic and social purposes of material welfare (savings, credit, investment, housing and educational programmes, etc.) and of unity and cooperation between workers and employers; their deliberative bodies must be made up of workers, though an employers' representative may be included who may speak but not vote. In the Committee's opinion, although from the point of view of the principles contained in Conventions Nos. 87 and 98, nothing prevents workers and employers from seeking forms of cooperation, including those of a mutualist nature, to pursue social objectives, it is up to the Committee, in so far as such forms of cooperation crystallize into permanent structures and organizations, to ensure that the legislation on and the functioning of solidarist associations do not interfere with the activities and the role of trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 870.)

B. Safeguards to prevent associations from carrying out trade union activities

1222. The provisions governing "solidarist" associations should respect the activities of trade unions guaranteed by Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 871.)

1223. The necessary legislative and other measures should be taken to guarantee that solidarist associations do not get involved in trade union activities, as well as measures to guarantee effective protection against any form of anti-union discrimination and to abolish any inequalities of treatment in favour of solidarist associations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 872.)

1224. As regards allegations relating to "solidarism", the Committee has recalled the importance it attaches, in conformity with Article 2 of Convention No. 98, to protection being ensured against any acts of interference by employers designed to promote the establishment of workers' organizations under the domination of an employer.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 873.)

1225. As regards allegations concerning the activities of solidarist associations aimed at thwarting trade union activities, the Committee drew the Government's attention to Article 2 of Convention No. 98, which provides that workers' and employers' organizations shall enjoy adequate protection against any acts of interference by each other and that measures designed to promote the establishment of workers' organizations under the domination of employers or employers' organizations, or to support workers' organizations by financial and other means, with the object of placing such organizations under the control of employers or employers' organizations, are specifically assimilated to such acts of interference.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 874.)
```

1226. The interference of solidarist associations in trade union activities, including collective bargaining, through direct settlements signed between an employer and a group of non-unionized workers, even when a union exists in the undertaking, does not promote collective bargaining as set out in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, which refers to the development of negotiations between employers or their organizations and workers' organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 875.)

1227. Since solidarist associations are financed partly by employers, are comprised of workers but also of senior staff or personnel having the employers' confidence and are often started up by employers, they cannot play the role of independent organizations in the collective bargaining process, a process which should be carried out between an employer (or an employer's organization) and one or more workers' organizations totally independent of each other. This situation therefore gives rise to problems in the application of Article 2 of Convention No. 98, which sets out the principle of the full independence of workers' organizations in carrying out their activities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 876.)

1228. In relation to solidarist associations, the Committee emphasized the fundamental importance of the principle of tripartism advocated by the ILO, which presupposes organizations of workers and of employers which are independent of each other and of the public authorities. The Committee requested the Government to take measures, in consultation with the trade union confederations, to create the necessary conditions for strengthening the independent trade union movement and for developing its activities in the social field.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 877.)

1229. Workers' welfare associations cannot be substitutes for free and independent trade unions for as long as they fail to present guarantees of independence in their composition and functioning.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 878.)

1230. The Committee has recalled that legislative or other measures have to be taken in order to ensure that organizations that are separate from trade unions do not assume responsibility for trade union activities and to ensure effective protection against all forms of anti-union discrimination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 879.)

Collective bargaining

15

The right to bargain collectively – General principles

1231. Measures should be taken to encourage and promote the full development and utilization of machinery for voluntary negotiation between employers or employers' organizations and workers' organizations, with a view to the regulation of terms and conditions of employment by means of collective agreements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 880; 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 993; 349th Report, Case No. 2481, para. 78; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 676; 356th Report, Case No. 2611, para. 174; 358th Report, Case No. 2704, para. 357; 362nd Report, Case No. 2826, para. 1298; 363rd Report, Case No. 2819, para. 538; 364th Report, Case No. 2848, para. 426; 370th Report, Case No. 2900, para. 627; and 371st Report, Case No. 3010, para. 668.)

1232. The right to bargain freely with employers with respect to conditions of work constitutes an essential element in freedom of association, and trade unions should have the right, through collective bargaining or other lawful means, to seek to improve the living and working conditions of those whom the trade unions represent. The public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof. Any such interference would appear to infringe the principle that workers' and employers' organizations should have the right to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 881; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 570 and Case No. 2460, para. 995; 346th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 1353; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 676; 351st Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1335; 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 831 and Case No. 2581, para. 1111; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 120; 364th Report, Case No. 2887, para. 697; 368th Report, Case No. 2976, para. 844; and 376th Report, Case No. 3067, para. 950 and Case No. 3113, para. 990.)

1233. The preliminary work for the adoption of Convention No. 87 clearly indicates that "one of the main objects of the guarantee of freedom of association is to enable employers and workers to combine to form organizations independent of the public authorities and capable of determining wages and other conditions of employment by means of freely concluded collective agreements". (Freedom of Association and Industrial Relations, Report VII, International Labour Conference, 30th Session, Geneva, 1947, p. 52.)

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 882; 358th Report, Case No. 2704, para. 357; 363rd Report, Case No. 2704, para. 398; and 364th Report, Case No. 2848, para. 427.)

1234. One of the main objectives of workers in exercising their right to organize is to bargain collectively their terms and conditions of employment. Provisions which ban trade unions from engaging in collective bargaining therefore unavoidably frustrate the main objective and activity for which such unions are set up. This is contrary not only to Article 4 of Convention No. 98 but also Article 3 of Convention No. 87 which provides that trade unions shall have the right to exercise their activities and formulate their programmes in full freedom.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 991.)

1235. The Committee underlines the importance of collective disputes being conducted and resolved peacefully within the framework of collective bargaining.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2564, para. 611.)

1236. Federations and confederations should be able to conclude collective agreements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 883.)

1237. The Committee has pointed out the importance which it attaches to the right of representative organizations to negotiate, whether these organizations are registered or not.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 884; and 358th Report, Case No. 2704, para. 357.)

1238. The Committee stressed the importance of ensuring that the essential rules governing the system of labour relations and collective bargaining are shared, to the maximum extent possible, by the most representative workers' and employers' organization.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 455.)

Workers covered by collective bargaining

A. Public servants

1239. Only armed forces, the police and public servants engaged in the administration of the State may be excluded from collective bargaining.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2988, para. 843.)

1240. Convention No. 98, and in particular Article 4 thereof concerning the encouragement and promotion of collective bargaining, applies both to the private sector and to nationalized undertakings and public bodies.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 885; and 356th Report, Case No. 2611, para. 174.)

1241. All public service workers other than those engaged in the administration of the State should enjoy collective bargaining rights, and priority should be given to collective bargaining as the means to settle disputes arising in connection with the determination of terms and conditions of employment in the public service.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 886; 343rd Report, Case No. 2430, para. 361 and Case No. 2292, para. 794; 344th Report, Case No. 2364, para. 91; 376th Report, Case No. 3042, para. 560; 377th Report, Case No. 3118, para. 177; and 378th Report, Case No. 3135, para. 418.)

1242. A distinction must be drawn between, on the one hand, public servants who by their functions are directly engaged in the administration of the State (that is, civil servants employed in government ministries and other comparable bodies), as well as officials acting as supporting elements in these activities and, on the other hand, persons employed by the government, by public undertakings or by autonomous public institutions. Only the former category can be excluded from the scope of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 887; 343rd Report, Case No. 2292, para. 794; 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 989; 376th Report, Case No. 2970, para. 469; and 377th Report, Case No. 3118, para. 177.)

1243. Article 1(2) of Convention No. 151 states that the extent to which the guarantees provided for in this Convention shall apply to high-level employees whose functions are normally considered as policy-making or managerial, or to employees whose duties are of a highly confidential nature, shall be determined by national laws or regulations. However, under Convention No. 98, the right of collective bargaining can be denied only to public servants working in the state administration.

(See 374th Report, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 663.)

1244. The Committee has considered it useful to recall that, under the terms of the Labour Relations (Public Service) Convention, 1978 (No. 151) (Article 7): "Measures appropriate to national conditions shall be taken, where necessary, to encourage and promote the full development and utilization of machinery for negotiation of terms and conditions of employment between the public authorities concerned and public employees' organizations, or of such other methods as will allow representatives of public employees to participate in the determination of these matters".

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 888.)

1245. While recalling the terms of Article 7 of Convention No. 151, the Committee has emphasized that when national legislation opts for negotiation machinery, the State must ensure that such machinery is applied properly.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 889.)

1246. The Committee acknowledges that Article 7 of Convention No. 151 allows a degree of flexibility in the choice of procedures to be used in the determination of the terms and conditions of employment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 891; and 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 744.)

1247. Referring to Article 8 of Convention No. 151 concerning the settlement of disputes, the Committee has recalled that, in view of the preparatory work which preceded the adoption of the Convention, this Article has been interpreted as giving a choice between negotiation or other procedures (such as mediation, conciliation and arbitration) in settling disputes. The Committee has stressed the importance of the principle contained in Article 8 of Convention No. 151.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 890.)

1248. Although certain categories of public servants should already enjoy the right to collective bargaining, in accordance with Convention No. 98, the promotion of that right was generally recognized for all public servants with the ratification of Convention No. 154 and, in consequence, workers in the public sector and the central public administration should enjoy the right of collective bargaining.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 798.)

1249. The mere fact that public servants are white-collar employees is not in itself conclusive of their qualification as employees engaged in the administration of the State; if this were not the case, Convention No. 98 would be deprived of much of its scope. To sum up, all public service workers, with the sole possible exception of the armed forces and the police and public servants directly engaged in the administration of the State, should enjoy collective bargaining rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 892; and 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 989 and Case No. 2437, para. 1320.)

1250. It is imperative that the legislation contain specific provisions clearly and explicitly recognizing the right of organizations of public employees and officials who are not acting in the capacity of agents of the state administration to conclude collective agreements. From the point of view of the principles laid down by the supervisory bodies of the ILO in connection with Convention No. 98, this right could only be denied to officials working in ministries and other comparable government bodies, but not, for example, to persons working in public undertakings or autonomous public institutions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 893; and 344th Report, Case No. 2114, para. 115 and Case No. 2460, para. 989.)

1251. Those public employees and officials who are not acting in the capacity of agents of the state administration (for example, those working in public undertakings or autonomous public institutions) should be able to engage in free and voluntary negotiations with their employers; in that case, the bargaining autonomy of the parties should prevail and not be conditional upon the provisions of laws, by-laws or the budget.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 743.)

1252. If any class of public employee could be denied the right to collective bargaining simply by legislating their terms and conditions of employment, Convention No. 98 would be deprived of all of its scope vis-à-vis public employees.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2114, para. 115.)

1253. The Committee has considered that it is clear that the International Labour Conference intended to leave it to each State to decide on the extent to which it was desirable to grant members of the armed forces and of the police the rights covered by Convention No. 87. It also held that the same considerations apply to Conventions Nos. 98, 151 and 154.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2943, para. 758; and 374th Report, Case No. 3073, para. 501.)

1254. In the preparatory work leading up to Convention No. 151, it was established that judges of the judiciary did not fall within the scope of implementation of the Convention; nevertheless, said Convention does not exclude the auxiliary staff of judges. The Committee therefore deems that auxiliary staff of the judiciary must therefore have the right to collective bargaining.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2881, para. 228.)

1255. Convention Nos. 87 and 98 are applicable to locally recruited personnel in embassies.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 905; and 334th Report, Case No. 2197, para. 130.)

1256. The Committee does not consider that the mere fact that public servants are subject to security clearance vests them with the quality of employees engaged in the administration of the State.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2437, para. 1320.)

1257. The Committee queried whether federal airport screeners may actually be considered as public servants engaged in the administration of the State. While recognizing that there is clearly a security element involved in their work, as indeed exists for security screeners of private enterprises, the Committee was concerned that the extension of the notion of national security concerns for persons who were clearly not making national policy that may affect security, but only exercising specific tasks within clearly defined parameters, may impede unduly upon the rights of these federal employees. It therefore requested the Government to carefully review, in consultation with the workers' organizations concerned, the matters covered within the overall terms and conditions of employment of federal airport screeners which are not directly related to national security issues and to engage in collective bargaining on these matters with the screeners' freely chosen representative.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2292, paras. 795 and 796.)

1258. While the work of transport security officers, as the tasks of numerous other workers across the country that affect or implement in one form or another the measures adopted for national security reasons, relate without a doubt to questions of security, the Committee cannot consider that the clearly non-policy making aspects of those working in an enlarged security administration can be assimilated without limit into a category of workers whose collective bargaining rights can be denied.

(See 351st Report, Case No. 2292, para. 64.)

1259. In a case in which an attempt was being made to give the workers in the National Bank private sector status, the Committee considered that it was not within its purview to express an opinion as to whether the workers should be given public law or private law status. Considering that Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 apply to all workers in the banking sector, however, the Committee expressed the hope that the right of bank employees would be recognized to conclude collective agreements and join the federations of their choosing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 896.)

1260. No provision in Convention No. 98 authorizes the exclusion of staff having the status of contract employee from its scope.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 898; and 343rd Report, Case No. 2430, para. 361.)

B. Workers of state-owned enterprises

1261. The workers of state-owned commercial or industrial enterprises should have the right to negotiate collective agreements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 894.)

C. Employees of the postal and telecommunications services

1262. Convention No. 98 applies to employees of the postal and telecommunications services.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 895.)

1263. While the particular status of "mail contractors" (under a contract with the Post Office) may call for clarification as regards the definition of bargaining units, the rules for certification, etc., as well as specific negotiations taking their status under the Act and their work requirements into account, the Committee fails to see any reason why the principles on the basic rights of association and collective bargaining afforded to all workers should not also apply to mail contractors.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2848, para. 428.)

D. Radio and television staff

1264. The staff of a national radio and television institute, a public undertaking, may not be excluded, by reason of their duties, from the principle concerning the promotion of collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 897.)

E. Teaching staff

1265. The Committee has drawn attention to the importance of promoting collective bargaining, as set out in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, in the education sector.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 900; and 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 803.)

1266. In the Committee's opinion, teachers do not carry out tasks specific to officials in the state administration; indeed, this type of activity is also carried out in the private sector. In these circumstances, it is important that teachers with civil servant status should enjoy the guarantees provided for under Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 901; 344th Report, Case No. 2114, para. 116 and Case No. 2467, para. 571; 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1586; 351st Report, Cases Nos. 2611 and 2632, para. 1272; and 358th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 552.)

1267. Workers in public or private universities shall have the right to collective bargaining.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2677, para. 79.)

1268. While there may be some linkages between the educational and employment relationship of graduate teaching and research assistants to their university, a series of other concrete elements leads the Committee to consider that graduate teaching and research assistants, in so far as they are workers, should, like all other workers, enjoy the right to bargain collectively over the terms and conditions of their employment, excluding academic requirements and policies, so as to protect and promote their occupational interests. In that capacity, this right should include being represented in negotiations by the union of their choice and having sufficient protection for the exercise of their trade union rights.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 804.)

F. Hospital staff

1269. Persons employed in public hospitals should enjoy the right to collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 903.)

1270. The Committee has considered that health service employees cannot be considered to be public servants engaged in the administration of the State whose right to negotiate may be subject to restrictions.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 571.)

G. Aviation sector personnel

1271. Air flight control personnel should have the right to engage in collective bargaining on their conditions of employment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 902.)

1272. Civil aviation technicians working under the jurisdiction of the armed forces cannot be considered, in view of the nature of their functions, as belonging to the armed forces and as such liable to be excluded from the guarantees laid down in Convention No. 98; the standards contained in Article 4 of the Convention concerning collective bargaining should be applied to them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 904.)

H. Customs staff

1273. The Committee emphasized the importance it attaches to the promotion of dialogue and consultations on matters of mutual interest between the public authorities and the most representative occupational organizations of the customs sector.

(See 299th Report, Case No. 1808, para. 380; and 344th Report, Case No. 2464, para. 329.)

1274. While the decision to install a new port security system may – to the extent that it forms part of a broader Government policy on port security – reasonably be regarded as lying outside the scope of collective bargaining, the presence of such a system may have an impact upon the customs staff's conditions of employment, which should be the subject of consultation and negotiation between the parties.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2464, para. 329.)

I. Seafarers

1275. When examining legislation which made it possible to exclude seafarers not resident in the country from collective agreements, the Committee called on the Government to take measures to amend the Act so as to ensure that full and voluntary collective bargaining open to all seafarers employed on ships sailing under the national flag was once again a reality.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 899.)

J. Workers of cooperatives

1276. As a logical consequence of the right to organize of workers associated in cooperatives, the trade union organizations that workers of cooperatives join should be guaranteed the right to engage in collective bargaining on their behalf with a view to defending and promoting their interests.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2668, para. 679.)

K. Temporary and part-time workers

1277. Temporary workers should be able to negotiate collectively.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 906; 351st Report, Case No. 2600, para. 572; 355th Report, Case No. 2600, para. 477; and 371st Report, Case No. 2963, para. 234.)

1278. While the particular circumstances of the part-time employees may call for differentiated treatment and adjustments as regards the definition of bargaining units, the rules for certification, etc., as well as specific negotiations taking their status and work requirements into account, the Committee fails to see any reason why the principles on the basic rights of association and collective bargaining afforded to all workers should not also apply to part-time employees.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2430, para. 362.)

L. Workers employed under programmes to combat unemployment

1279. With regard to temporary job offers in the public sector to combat unemployment, in which the wages were not determined under the terms of the collective agreements governing remuneration of regular employees, the Committee expressed the hope that the Government would ensure that, in practice, the job offers remained of a limited duration and did not become an opportunity to fill permanent posts with unemployed persons, restricted in their right to bargain collectively as regards their remuneration.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 907.)

1280. People involved in community participation activities intended to combat unemployment, of a limited duration of six months, are not true employees of the organization which benefits from their labour and can therefore legitimately be excluded from the scope of collective agreements in force, at least in respect of wages.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 908.)

1281. With reference to people involved in community participation activities, the Committee however considered that the persons concerned perform work and provide a service of benefit to the organizations concerned. For this reason, they must enjoy a certain protection in respect of their working and employment conditions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 909.)

1282. In the context of measures to combat unemployment and the introduction of job offer schemes which imposed a ceiling on hourly wage rates, the Committee emphasized that the Government should ensure, in practice, that job pools are not resorted to on a successive basis in order to fill regular jobs with unemployed persons restricted in their right to bargain collectively in respect of wages. The Committee urged the Government to set up tripartite procedures in order to prevent any abuse.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 910.)

M. Subcontracted workers

1283. Collective bargaining between the relevant trade union and the party who determines the terms and conditions of employment of the subcontracted/agency workers should always be possible.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2602, para. 457.)

N. Civic volunteers

1284. The work of civic volunteers, which entails compensation, determination of working hours, and continuity of service must similarly afford these workers with the protection afforded by freedom of association principles, including the right to collective bargaining.

(See 377th Report, Case No. 3100, para. 373.)

O. Self-employed workers

1285. The Committee requested a Government to take the necessary measures to ensure that workers who are self-employed could fully enjoy trade union rights for the purpose of furthering and defending their interest, including by the means of collective bargaining; and to identify, in consultation with the social partners concerned, the particularities of self-employed workers that have a bearing on collective bargaining so as to develop specific collective bargaining mechanisms relevant to self-employed workers, if appropriate.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 2786, para. 349.)

P. Non-unionized workers

1286. With regard to legislation that grants non-unionized workers the right to choose the collective agreement they desire when one or more have been concluded within the company, the Committee considered that non-unionized workers are in a better position to determine which union has best succeeded in defending the interests of the occupational category to which they belong by means of the collective agreement it has concluded with the company. It also considered that their right to choose does not undermine the principle of promoting free and voluntary collective bargaining laid down in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, as it is not restricted by the existence of more than one collective agreement within an enterprise.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2729, para. 888.)

Q. Erga omnes effect of collective agreements

1287. In a case in which some collective agreements applied only to the parties to the agreement and their members and not to all workers, the Committee considered that this is a legitimate option - just as the contrary would be - which does not appear to violate the principles of freedom of association, and one which is practised in many countries.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 911.)

1288. While Convention No. 98 is compatible both with systems that grant bargaining rights to the most representative organization which affect the entire workforce erga omnes and systems which allow minority trade unions to bargain on behalf of their members, in the former case it is not consistent also to grant collective bargaining rights in the same field to minority trade unions and, in practice, doing so may lead to anti-union practices.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 3056, para. 828.)

Subjects covered by collective bargaining

1289. It is for the parties concerned to decide on the subjects for negotiation. (See 357th Report, Case No. 2638, para. 793.)

1290. Measures taken unilaterally by the authorities to restrict the scope of negotiable issues are often incompatible with Convention No. 98; tripartite discussions for the preparation, on a voluntary basis, of guidelines for collective bargaining are a particularly appropriate method of resolving these difficulties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 912; 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 832; and 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 227.)

1291. Matters which might be subject to collective bargaining include the type of agreement to be offered to employees or the type of industrial instrument to be negotiated in the future, as well as wages, benefits and allowances, working time, annual leave, selection criteria in case of redundancy, the coverage of the collective agreement, the granting of trade union facilities, including access to the workplace beyond what is provided for in legislation etc.; these matters should not be excluded from the scope of collective bargaining by law, or as in this case, by financial disincentives and considerable penalties applicable in case of non-implementation of the Code and Guidelines.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 913; 344th Report, Case No. 2464, para. 327 and Case No. 2502, para. 1022; 346th Report, Case No. 2488, para. 1353; 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1154; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2804, para. 568.)

1292. It is not for the Committee to express an opinion on the amount of remuneration paid, or on the justification for granting or not granting various benefits and special payments. This matter relates to negotiation between the parties concerned.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2425, para. 257.)

1293. Legislation excluding working time from the scope of collective bargaining, unless there is government authorization, would seem to infringe the right of workers' organizations to negotiate freely their working conditions with employers, as guaranteed under Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 914.)

1294. As regards the legislative ban on including secondary boycott clauses in collective agreements, the Committee has considered that restrictions on such clauses should not be included in the legislation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 915.)

1295. It should be possible for collective agreements to provide for a system for the collection of union dues, without interference by the authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 916.)

1296. The issue of the payment of wages by the employer to full-time union officials should be up to the parties to determine and the Government should authorize negotiation on the issue of whether trade union activity by full-time union officials should be treated as unpaid leave.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 701.)

1297. Where job distribution is subject to legal restrictions, the Committee has drawn attention to the fact that such provisions may tend to prevent the negotiation by collective agreement of better terms and conditions, mainly concerning access to particular employment, and thereby to infringe the rights of the workers concerned to bargain collectively and to improve their working conditions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 917.)

1298. Legislation amending collective agreements which have already been in force for some time, and which prohibits collective agreements concerning the manning of ships from being concluded in the future, is contrary to the principle of free bargaining provided for in Convention No. 98.

(See 106th Report, Case No. 541, paras. 12, 14 and 15.)

1299. Legislation establishing that the ministry of labour has powers to regulate wages, working hours, leave and conditions of work, that these regulations must be observed in collective agreements, and that such important aspects of conditions of work are thus excluded from the field of collective bargaining, is not in harmony with Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 919.)

1300. With regard to allegations concerning the refusal to bargain collectively on certain matters in the public sector, the Committee has recalled the view of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association that "there are certain matters which clearly appertain primarily or essentially to the management and operation of government business; these can reasonably be regarded as outside the scope of negotiation". It is equally clear that certain other matters are primarily or essentially questions relating to conditions of employment and that such matters should not be regarded as falling outside the scope of collective bargaining conducted in an atmosphere of mutual good faith and trust.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 920; 344th Report, Case No. 2464, para. 328 and Case No. 2460, para. 992; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 747; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 704; 362nd Report, Case No. 2804, para. 568; and 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 387.)

1301. While staffing levels or the departments to be affected as a result of financial difficulties may be considered to be matters which appertain primarily or essentially to the management and operation of government business and therefore reasonably regarded as outside the scope of negotiation, the larger spectrum of job security in general includes questions which relate primarily or essentially to conditions of employment, such as pre-dismissal rights, indemnities, etc., which should not be excluded from the scope of collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 921.)

1302. The determination of the broad lines of educational policy is not a matter for collective bargaining between the competent authorities and teachers' organizations, although it may be normal to consult these organizations on such matters.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 922; 340th Report, Case No. 2405, para. 454; 344th Report, Case No. 2464, para. 328; 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1586; and 351st Report, Case No. 2569, para. 631.)

1303. As regards the education sector, a distinction may be made between matters that essentially concern the determination of the broad lines of educational policy, which may be excluded from collective bargaining, and matters relating to conditions of employment, which should be subject to collective bargaining.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2547, para. 803.)

1304. Free collective bargaining should be allowed on the consequences for conditions of employment of decisions on educational policy.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 923; 344th Report, Case No. 2464, para. 328; and 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1586.)

1305. The bargaining partners are best equipped to weigh the justification and determine the modalities of negotiated retirement clauses.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 792.)

1306. The bargaining partners are best equipped to weigh the justification and determine the modalities (and, as far as employers are concerned, the financial practicability) of negotiated compulsory retirement clauses before the legal retirement age, be it by reason of the difficult nature of the job, or for health and safety reasons.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 924.)

1307. The Committee recognizes the right of States to regulate pension schemes but it is necessary that States should respect the principle of collective bargaining in so doing.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 661.)

1308. A general pension system does not necessarily preclude collective bargaining. Indeed, although the general system establishes a compulsory minimum guaranteed platform for the population as a whole, there is nothing to prevent a supplementary scheme being established by collective bargaining in addition to the general system. It is necessary to draw a distinction between private companies and the public sector. In the case of the former, the employer may negotiate a possible award of a supplementary pension with the trade union, taking into account its economic possibilities and prospects.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 793.)

1309. The parties involved in collective bargaining should be able to improve the legal provisions on retirement and pension schemes by mutual agreement.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2434, para. 538; 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 830; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2804, para. 571.)

1310. Supplementary pension schemes can legitimately be considered as benefits that may be the subject of collective bargaining.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2502, para. 1022.)

1311. Under ILO standards, the fixing of minimum wages may be subject to decisions by tripartite bodies.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2699, para. 1389.)

1312. The Committee recalls that it has consistently taken the view that it is up to the legislative authority to determine the legal minimum standards for conditions of work or employment which, in its opinion, does not restrict or impede the promotion of bipartite bargaining to fix conditions of work, as foreseen in Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2905, para. 1218.)

The principle of free and voluntary negotiation

1313. The voluntary negotiation of collective agreements, and therefore the autonomy of the bargaining partners, is a fundamental aspect of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 925; 340th Report, Case No. 2405, para. 452; 342nd Report, Case No. 2408, para. 271 and Case No. 2447, para. 748; 343rd Report, Case No. 2425, para. 257 and Case No. 2405, para. 335; 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 789 and Case No. 2460, para. 990; 356th Report, Case No. 2611, para. 174; 358th Report, Case No. 2704, para. 357; and 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 284.)

1314. The Committee emphasizes the importance of respecting the autonomy of the parties in the collective bargaining process so that the free and voluntary character thereof, established in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, is ensured.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2638, para. 793.)

1315. Collective bargaining, if it is to be effective, must assume a voluntary character and not entail recourse to measures of compulsion which would alter the voluntary nature of such bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 926; 342nd Report, Case No. 2408, para. 271; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 575, Case No. 2460, para. 990 and Case No. 2437, para. 1314; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 767; 364th Report, Case No. 2887, para. 697; and 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 284.)

1316. Nothing in Article 4 of Convention No. 98 places a duty on the government to enforce collective bargaining by compulsory means with a given organization; such an intervention would clearly alter the nature of bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 927; 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 990 and Case No. 2437, para. 1314; and 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1146.)

1317. Article 4 of Convention No. 98 in no way places a duty on the government to enforce collective bargaining, nor would it be contrary to this provision to oblige social partners, within the framework of the encouragement and promotion of the full development and utilization of collective bargaining machinery, to enter into negotiations on terms and conditions of employment. The public authorities should however refrain from any undue interference in the negotiation process.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 928; 354th Report, Case No. 2672, para. 1146; and 365th Report, Case No. 2905, para. 1218.)

1318. Although nothing in Article 4 of Convention No. 98 places a duty on the government to enforce collective bargaining by compulsory means with a given organization, as such an intervention would clearly alter the voluntary nature of collective bargaining, this does not mean that governments should abstain from any measure whatsoever aiming to establish a collective bargaining mechanism.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 929.)

1319. A legislative provision that would oblige a party to conclude a contract with another party would be contrary to the principle of free and voluntary negotiations. (See 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 990.)

1320. Legislation which lays down mandatory conciliation and prevents the employer from withdrawing, irrespective of circumstances, at the risk of being penalized by payment of wages in respect of strike days, in addition to being disproportionate, runs counter to the principle of voluntary negotiation enshrined in Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 930.)

1321. The opportunity which employers have, according to the legislation, of presenting proposals for the purposes of collective bargaining – provided these proposals are merely to serve as a basis for the voluntary negotiation to which Convention No. 98 refers – cannot be considered as a violation of the principles applicable in this matter.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 931; 342nd Report, Case No. 2448, para. 408; and 344th Report, Case No. 2493, para. 860.)

Mechanisms to facilitate collective bargaining

1322. If the negotiations are not successful because of disagreement, the Government should consider with the parties ways of overcoming such an obstacle through a conciliation or mediation mechanism, or, if the disagreements persist, through arbitration by an independent body trusted by the parties.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2584, para. 294.)

1323. The intervention of a neutral, independent third party, in which the parties have confidence, may be enough to break a stalemate resulting from a collective dispute, which the parties cannot resolve by themselves.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2942, para. 188.)

1324. While various arrangements can facilitate negotiations and help promote collective bargaining, legislation or practices establishing machinery or procedures for arbitration or conciliation designed to facilitate bargaining between both sides of an industry should guarantee the autonomy of parties to collective bargaining.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2905, para. 1222.)

1325. The bodies appointed for the settlement of disputes between the parties to collective bargaining should be independent, and recourse to these bodies should be on a voluntary basis.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 932; 360th Report, Case No. 2803, para. 343; 364th Report, Case No. 2887, para. 698; and 365th Report, Case No. 2905, para. 1222.)

1326. Certain rules and practices can facilitate negotiations and help to promote collective bargaining and various arrangements may facilitate the parties' access to certain information concerning, for example, the economic position of their bargaining unit, wages and working conditions in closely related units, or the general economic situation; however, all legislation establishing machinery and procedures for arbitration and conciliation designed to facilitate bargaining between both sides of industry must guarantee the autonomy of parties to collective bargaining. Consequently, instead of entrusting the public authorities with powers to assist actively, even to intervene, in order to put forward their point of view, it would be better to convince the parties to collective bargaining to have regard voluntarily in their negotiations to the major reasons put forward by the government for its economic and social policies of general interest.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 933.)

The principle of bargaining in good faith

1327. The Committee recalls the importance which it attaches to the obligation to negotiate in good faith for the maintenance of the harmonious development of labour relations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 934; 342nd Report, Case No. 2408, para. 271; 343rd Report, Case No. 2425, para. 258; 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 990; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 745 and Case No. 2506, para. 1077; 350th Report, Case No. 2584, para. 293 and Case No. 2602, para. 676; 351st Report, Cases Nos. 2611 and 2632, para. 1282; 353rd Report, Case No. 2634, para. 1308; 354th Report, Case No. 2581, para. 1107; 355th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 356; 356th Report, Case No. 2611, para. 175 and Case No. 2663, para. 767; 359th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 315; 360th Report, Case No. 2745, para. 1056; 363rd Report, Case No. 2867, para. 352, Case No. 2704, para. 398 and Case No. 2819, para. 538; 368th Report, Case No. 2914, para. 409; and 371st Report, Case No. 3001, para. 209, Case No. 2908, para. 292 and Case No. 2937, para. 653.)

1328. It is important that both employers and trade unions bargain in good faith and make every effort to reach an agreement; moreover genuine and constructive negotiations are a necessary component to establish and maintain a relationship of confidence between the parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 935; 343rd Report, Case No. 2425, para. 258; 344th Report, Case No. 2437, para. 1314; 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1077; 350th Report, Case No. 2584, para. 293, Case No. 2553, para. 1538; 351st Report, Case No. 2607, para. 585, Cases Nos. 2611 and 2632, para. 1282; 353rd Report, Case No. 2650, para. 419; 355th Report, Case No. 2655, para. 356; 356th Report, Case No. 2611, para. 175, Case No. 2663, para. 767; 357th Report, Case No. 2638, para. 796; 358th Report, Case No. 2716, para. 859; 359th Report, Case No. 2776, para. 289, Case No. 2655, para. 315; 360th Report, Case No. 2803, para. 342; 362nd Report, Case No. 2788, para. 251, Case No. 2741, para. 765, Case No. 2704, para. 398; 365th Report, Case No. 2872, para. 1085; 373rd Report, Case No. 3039, para. 263; and 375th Report, Case No. 3063, para. 132 and Case No. 2871, para. 227.)

1329. Both employers and trade unions should bargain in good faith and make every effort to come to an agreement, and satisfactory labour relations depend primarily on the attitudes of the parties towards each other and on their mutual confidence.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 936; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 576, Case No. 2486, para. 1212, Case No. 2437, para. 1314; 346th Report, Case No. 2506, para. 1077; 349th Report, Case No. 2481, para. 78, Case No. 2486, para. 1238; 362nd Report, Case No. 2361, para. 1096; 364th Report, Case No. 2848, para. 427; and 375th Report, Case No. 3063, para. 134.)

1330. The principle that both employers and trade unions should negotiate in good faith and make efforts to reach an agreement means that any unjustified delay in the holding of negotiations should be avoided.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 937; 340th Report, Case No. 2417, para. 307, Case No. 2397, para. 888; 343rd Report, Case No. 2425, para. 259; 360th Report, Case No. 2803, para. 342; 362nd Report, Case No. 2361, para. 1096; 364th Report, Case No. 2827, para. 1121; 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 533; and 375th Report, Case No. 2871, para. 227.)

1331. In the context of voluntary collective bargaining and a spirit of good faith, a request made by trade union organizations to suspend the work of the Public Sector Salary Negotiation Committee for some days, to allow for internal consultations on a proposal which the Government submitted during that meeting and about which further technical details were needed, is not unreasonable.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3122, para. 211.)

1332. The act of postponing or arranging negotiation meetings unilaterally at the last minute and without prior warning, if it occurs without good reason, is a practice that is harmful to the development of normal and healthy labour relations.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2611, para. 175.)

1333. While the question as to whether or not one party adopts an amenable or uncompromising attitude towards the other party is a matter for negotiation between the parties, both employers and trade unions should bargain in good faith making every effort to reach an agreement.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 938; 343rd Report, Case No. 2319, para. 1007; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 576, Case No. 2437, para. 1315; 350th Report, Case No. 2362, para. 429; and 355th Report, Case No. 2640, para. 1048.)

1334. Agreements should be binding on the parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 939; 342nd Report, Case No. 2356, para. 352, Case No. 2421, para. 582; 343rd Report, Case No. 2425, para. 259; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 585, Case No. 2486, para. 1212; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 745; 349th Report, Case No. 2469, para. 71, Case No. 2572, para. 792; 350th Report, Case No. 2601, para. 1446; 351st Report, Cases Nos. 2611 and 2632, para. 1282; 354th Report, Case No. 2626, para. 358; 355th Report, Case No. 2572, para. 85; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 766, Case No. 2601, para. 1023; 357th Report, Case No. 2774, para. 1157; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 601; 359th Report, Case No. 2776, para. 289, Case No. 2639, para. 1070; 362nd Report, Case No. 2825, para. 1256; 363rd Report, Case No. 2914, para. 409; 370th Report, Case No. 2969,

para. 532; 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 463, Case No. 2937, para. 653; 372nd Report, Case No. 2986, para. 206, Case No. 3013, para. 260, Case No. 3024, para. 423; 373rd Report, Case No. 3012, para. 307; 374th Report, Case No. 3044, para. 333; and 376th Report, Case No. 3081, para. 722, Case No. 3072, para. 923 and Case No. 3016, para. 1033.)

1335. Recalling that meaningful collective bargaining is based on the premise that all represented parties are bound by voluntarily agreed provisions, the Committee urged the Government to ensure the statutory enforceability of every collective agreement among those represented by the contracting parties.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 997.)

1336. Mutual respect for the commitment undertaken in collective agreements is an important element of the right to bargain collectively and should be upheld in order to establish labour relations on stable and firm ground.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 940; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 745; 349th Report, Case No. 2572, para. 792; 350th Report, Case No. 2601, para. 1446; 351st Report, Case No. 2598, para. 1355; 353rd Report, Case No. 2615, para. 866; 355th Report, Case No. 2572, para. 85; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 766; 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 453; 372nd Report, Case No. 3024, para. 423; 373rd Report, Case No. 3002, para. 74; 375th Report, Case Nos. 3065 and 3066, para. 476; 376th Report, Case No. 3081, para. 722, Case No. 3016, para. 1033; and 377th Report, Case No. 3094, para. 345.)

1337. Collective bargaining implies both a give-and-take process and a reasonable certainty that negotiated commitments will be honoured, at the very least for the duration of the agreement, such agreement being the result of compromises made by both parties on certain issues, and of certain bargaining demands dropped in order to secure other rights which were given more priority by trade unions and their members. If these rights, for which concessions on other points have been made, can be cancelled unilaterally, there could be neither reasonable expectation of industrial relations stability, nor sufficient reliance on negotiated agreements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 941; 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 792, Case No. 2502, para. 1019; 356th Report, Case No. 2663, para. 766; 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 532; 372nd Report, Case No. 3024, para. 423; 374th Report, Case No. 3044, para. 333; and 376th Report, Case No. 3081, para. 722.)

1338. A legal provision which allows the employer to modify unilaterally the content of signed collective agreements, or to require that they be renegotiated, is contrary to the principles of collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 942; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 573; 348th Report, Case No. 2497, para. 400; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778.)

1339. The Collective Agreements Recommendation, 1951 (No. 91), which guides governments in their understanding of the principles of collective bargaining, explicitly recognizes in its Paragraph 3 that "collective bargaining agreements should bind the signatories thereto and those on whose behalf the agreement is concluded".

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3081, para. 722.)

1340. Failure to implement a collective agreement, even on a temporary basis, violates the right to bargain collectively, as well as the principle of bargaining in good faith.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 943; 353rd Report, Case No. 2615, para. 866; 358th Report, Case No. 2735, para. 601; 363rd Report, Case No. 2811, para. 662; and 376th Report, Case No. 3081, para. 722.)

1341. All the parties to the negotiation, whether or not they have legal personality, must be liable for any breaches of the right to secrecy of the information which they receive in the framework of collective bargaining.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2699, para. 1389.)

Collective bargaining with representatives of non-unionized workers

1342. The conclusion, with workers who are not union members or who leave their trade union, of collective accords which provide better terms than the collective agreements, serve to discourage collective bargaining as laid down in Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2362, para. 426.)

1343. The Collective Agreements Recommendation, 1951 (No. 91), emphasizes the role of workers' organizations as one of the parties in collective bargaining; it refers to representatives of unorganized workers only when no organization exists.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 944; 356th Report, Case No. 2699, para. 1389; 360th Report, Case No. 2801, para. 482; 362nd Report, Case No. 2796, para. 535; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 998; and 370th Report, Case No. 2595, para. 37.)

1344. The Collective Agreements Recommendation, 1951 (No. 91), provides that: "For the purpose of this Recommendation, the term 'collective agreements' means all agreements in writing regarding working conditions and terms of employment concluded between an employer, a group of employers or one or more employers' organisations, on the one hand, and one or more representative workers' organisations, or, in the absence of such organisations, the representatives of the workers duly elected and authorised by them in accordance with national laws and regulations, on the other." In this respect, the Committee has emphasized that the said Recommendation stresses the role of workers' organizations as one of the parties in collective bargaining. Direct negotiation between the undertaking and its employees, by-passing representative organizations where these exist, might in certain cases be detrimental to the principle that negotiation between employers and organizations of workers should be encouraged and promoted.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 945; 340th Report, Case No. 2241, para. 824; 342nd Report, Case No. 2455, para. 770; 343rd Report, Case No. 2259, para. 90; 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 216; 362nd Report, Case No. 2796, para. 535, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 363rd Report, Case No. 2780, para. 813; 367th Report, Case No. 2877, para. 505; and 375th Report, Case No. 3010, para. 455.)

1345. The Workers' Representatives Convention, 1971 (No. 135), and the Collective Bargaining Convention, 1981 (No. 154), also contain explicit provisions guaranteeing that, where there exist in the same undertaking both trade union representatives and elected representatives, appropriate measures are to be taken to ensure that the existence of elected representatives is not used to undermine the position of the trade unions concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 946; 342nd Report, Case No. 2455, para. 770; 348th Report, Case No. 2492, para. 992; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; 363rd Report, Case No. 2780, para. 813; and 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 998.

1346. The Committee has recalled that Article 5 of Convention No. 135 provides that where there exist in the same undertaking both trade union representatives and elected representatives, appropriate measures shall be taken, wherever necessary, to ensure that the existence of elected representatives is not used to undermine the position of the trade unions concerned or their representatives and to encourage cooperation on all relevant matters between the elected representatives and the trade unions concerned and their representatives.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2518, para. 494.)

1347. Collective agreements with the non-unionized workers should not be used to undermine the rights of workers belonging to the trade unions.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 2241, para. 824; and 349th Report, Case No. 2493, para. 700.)

1348. The possibility for staff delegates who represent 10 per cent of the workers to conclude collective agreements with an employer, even where one or more organizations of workers already exist, is not conducive to the development of collective bargaining in the sense of Article 4 of Convention No. 98; in addition, in view of the small percentage required, this possibility could undermine the position of the workers' organizations, contrary to Article 3, paragraph 2, of Convention No. 154.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 947.)

1349. Where an offer made directly by the company to its workers is merely a repetition of the proposals previously made to the trade union, which has rejected them, and where negotiations between the company and the trade union are subsequently resumed, the Committee considers that the complainants have not demonstrated in such a situation that there has been a violation of trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 948.)

Recognition of the most representative organizations

1350. The Collective Bargaining Recommendation, 1981 (No. 163), enumerates various means of promoting collective bargaining, including the recognition of representative employers' and workers' organizations (Paragraph 3(a)).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 949.)

1351. Systems of collective bargaining with exclusive rights for the most representative trade union and those where it is possible for a number of collective agreements to be concluded by a number of trade unions within a company are both compatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 950; 344th Report, Case No. 2437, para. 1315; 356th Report, Case No. 2691, para. 258; 358th Report, Case No. 2729, para. 887; 362nd Report, Case No. 2750, para. 933; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 115; 364th Report, Case No. 2881, para. 229; 367th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 878; 370th Report, Case No. 2971, para. 220; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3024, para. 421.)

1352. Legislation that sets a threshold for the validation of collective agreements goes against neither Article 4 of Convention No. 98 nor the objective of promoting the broadest possible development and utilization of voluntary collective bargaining procedures, especially if the threshold has been set in consultation with the social partners and if it is not too high.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2750, para. 961.)

1353. In a case where the right to represent all the employees in the sector in question appeared to have been granted to organizations which were representative only to a limited extent at the national level, the Committee considered that, if national legislation establishes machinery for the representation of the occupational interests of a whole category of workers, this representation should normally lie with the organizations which have the largest membership in the category concerned, and the public authorities should refrain from any intervention that might undermine this principle.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 951.)

1354. Employers, including governmental authorities in the capacity of employers, should recognize for collective bargaining purposes the organizations representative of the workers employed by them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 952; 340th Report, Case No. 2416, para. 1022; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 904, Case No. 2492, para. 988; 350th Report, Case No. 2579, para. 1699; 355th Report, Case No. 2609, para. 864; 357th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 64; 360th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 88; 363rd Report, Case No. 2837, para. 309; and 376th Report, Case No. 3067, para. 950.)

1355. Recognition by an employer of the main unions represented in the undertaking, or the most representative of these unions, is the very basis for any procedure for collective bargaining on conditions of employment in the undertaking.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 953; 340th Report, Case No. 2416, para. 1022; 348th Report, Case No. 2492, para. 988; 355th Report, Case No. 2685, para. 907; 357th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 64; and 360th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 88.)

1356. Employers should recognize for the purposes of collective bargaining organizations that are representative of workers in a particular industry.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 954.)

1357. Where difficulties with regard to the interpretation of rules concerning the election of trade union officers create situations where the employers refuse to negotiate with the union concerned and, more in general, to recognize such a union, problems of compatibility with Convention No. 87 arose.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 955; and 343rd Report, Case No. 2096, para. 163.)

1358. The requirement that the employer have at least 21 employees in order for a union to have recourse to the recognition dispute procedure runs contrary to the principle of free and voluntary collective bargaining.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 274.)

Determination of the trade union(s) entitled to negotiate

1359. Workers and employers should in practice be able to freely choose which organization will represent them for purposes of collective bargaining.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2919, para. 651.)

1360. Systems based on a sole bargaining agent (the most representative) and those which include all organizations or the most representative organizations in accordance with clear pre-established criteria for the determination of the organizations entitled to bargain are both compatible with Convention No. 98.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2578, para. 252.)

1361. The requirement to register a trade union as a condition of being able to bargain collectively, if this does not involve excessive delays and the competent authority does not have discretionary power in this regard, does not violate the principles of freedom of association.

(See 353rd Report, Case No. 2597, para. 1228.)

1362. The requirement of the majority of not only the number of workers, but also of enterprises, in order to be able to conclude a collective agreement on the branch or occupational level could raise problems with regard to the application of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 956.)

1363. For a trade union at the branch level to be able to negotiate a collective agreement at the enterprise level, it should be sufficient for the trade union to establish that it is sufficiently representative at the enterprise level.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 957; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1535; and 373rd Report, Case No. 3021, para. 527.)

1364. Bargaining at the enterprise level with the most representative higher trade union level organization should only take place if it has a number of members in the company in accordance with the national legislation.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2699, para. 1389.)

1365. In relation to a provision under which a majority union in an enterprise cannot engage in collective bargaining if it is not affiliated to a representative federation, the Committee recalled the importance to be attached to the right to bargain collectively of the majority union in an enterprise.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 958.)

1366. The competent authorities should, in all cases, have the power to proceed to an objective verification of any claim by a union that it represents the majority of the workers in an undertaking, provided that such a claim appears to be plausible. If the union concerned is found to be the majority union, the authorities should take appropriate conciliatory measures to obtain the employer's recognition of that union for collective bargaining purposes.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 959.)

1367. If a union other than that which concluded an agreement has in the meantime become the majority union and requests the cancellation of this agreement, the authorities, notwithstanding the agreement, should make appropriate representations to the employer regarding the recognition of this union.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 960.)

1368. If the authorities have the power to hold polls for determining the majority union which is to represent the workers for the purposes of collective bargaining, such polls should always be held in cases where there are doubts as to which union the workers wish to represent them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 961.)

1369. Where, under the system in force, the most representative union enjoys preferential or exclusive bargaining rights, decisions concerning the most representative organization should be made by virtue of objective and pre-established criteria so as to avoid any opportunities for partiality or abuse.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 962; 349th Report, Case No. 2529, para. 495; 362nd Report, Case No. 2805, para. 197; 367th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 878; and 372nd Report, Case No. 3024, para. 422.)

1370. While the public authorities have the right to decide whether they will negotiate at the regional or national level, the workers, whether negotiating at the regional or national level, should be entitled to choose the organization which shall represent them in the negotiations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 963.)

1371. In a case in which, in order to claim to be representative and have the capacity to be the sole signatory to collective agreements, the organizations in question needed to demonstrate national and multi-sectoral representativeness, the Committee considered that the combination of these requirements raises problems with regard to the principles of freedom of association in terms of representativeness. Their application could have the consequence of preventing a representative union

in a given sector from being the sole signatory to the collective agreements resulting from the collective negotiations in which it has participated.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 964.)

1372. The association of an organization with the negotiation process, in order to be fully effective and real, implies that the organization should be able to sign, and where necessary to be the sole signatory to, resulting agreements when it wishes, provided that its representativeness in the sector has been objectively demonstrated.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 965.)

1373. Participation in collective bargaining and in signing the resulting agreements necessarily implies independence of the signatories from the employer or employers' organizations, as well as from the authorities. It is only when their independence is established that trade union organizations may have access to bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 966; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 903; and 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1581.)

1374. In order to determine whether an organization has the capacity to be the sole signatory to collective agreements, two criteria should be applied: represent-ativeness and independence. The determination of which organizations meet these criteria should be carried out by a body offering every guarantee of independence and objectivity.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 967; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 904; and 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1581.)

1375. A minimum membership requirement of 1,000 set out in the law for the granting of exclusive bargaining rights might be liable to deprive workers in small bargaining units or who are dispersed over wide geographical areas of the right to form organizations capable of fully exercising trade union activities, contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 968.)

1376. A branch of activity threshold (3 per cent) required by legislation, in addition to a workplace threshold (50 per cent) or enterprise threshold (40 per cent) to be able to conclude a collective labour agreement covering a workplace or an enterprise, is not conducive to harmonious industrial relations and does not promote collective bargaining in line with Article 4 of Convention No. 98, as it may result in a decrease in the number of workers covered by collective agreements.

(See 373rd Report, Case No. 3021, para. 529.)

1377. In the particular circumstances of one case, the Committee was of the opinion that it may well be excessively difficult for a trade union to receive the support of 45 per cent of employees before the procedure for recognition as a collective bargaining agent.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 379.)

1378. A required 10-per-cent representation for a trade union organization to be able to participate in the negotiating commission is not in violation of the principles of freedom of association and collective bargaining.

(356th Report, Case No. 2691, para. 258.)

1379. It is not necessarily incompatible with Convention No. 87 to provide for the certification of the most representative union in a given unit as the exclusive bargaining agent for that unit. This is the case, however, only if a number of safeguards are provided. The Committee has pointed out that in several countries in which the procedure of certifying unions as exclusive bargaining agents has been established, it has been regarded as essential that such safeguards should include the following: (a) certification to be made by an independent body; (b) the representative organizations to be chosen by a majority vote of the employees in the unit concerned; (c) the right of an organization which fails to secure a sufficiently large number of votes to ask for a new election after a stipulated period; (d) the right of an organization other than the certificated organizations to demand a new election after a fixed period, often 12 months, has elapsed since the previous election.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 969; and 357th Report, Case No. 2683, para. 588.)

1380. If there is a change in the relative strength of unions competing for a preferential right or the power to represent workers exclusively for collective bargaining purposes, then it is desirable that it should be possible to review the factual bases on which that right or power is granted. In the absence of such a possibility, a majority of the workers concerned might be represented by a union which, for an unduly long period, could be prevented – either in fact or in law – from organizing its administration and activities with a view to fully furthering and defending the interests of its members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 970.)

1381. While stressing that the appropriate procedure for the verification of facts and alleged irregularities in a ballot process for bargaining rights under the collective agreement between workers or members of rival organizations is primarily the responsibility of the national bodies, the Committee emphasized the importance it attaches, if there is a new ballot, to the authorities providing the safeguards necessary to avoid all alleged irregularities, thus guaranteeing that the affected workers have a full and fair opportunity to participate, in an atmosphere of calm and security.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2919, para. 651; and 373rd Report, Case No. 2919, para. 50.)

1382. In order to encourage the harmonious development of collective bargaining and to avoid disputes, it should always be the practice to follow, where they exist, the procedures laid down for the designation of the most representative unions for collective bargaining purposes when it is not clear by which unions the workers wish to be represented. In the absence of such procedures, the authorities, where appropriate, should examine the possibility of laying down objective rules in this respect.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 971; 348th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 904; and 350th Report, Case No. 2592, para. 1581.)

1383. In one case a Bill concerning negotiating committees for the public service provided for a count to be taken of the paid-up membership of the trade unions in order to determine their representative character, and for a verification of such representative character to be carried out by a board presided over by a magistrate (every six years or at any time at the request of a union). The Committee considered that although, in general, a vote might be a desirable means of ascertaining how representative trade unions are, the inquiries provided for in the Bill seemed to offer strong guarantees of secrecy and impartiality which are indispensable in such an operation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 972.)

1384. While providing all relevant ballot information, including how to vote against a union, would be acceptable as part of the process of a certification election, the active participation by an employer in a way that interferes in any way with an employee exercising his or her free choice would be a violation of freedom of association and disrespect for workers' fundamental right to organize.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2683, para. 584.)

1385. In one case where the government, in the light of national conditions, had restricted the right to engage in collective bargaining to the two most representative national unions of workers in general, the Committee considered that this should not prevent a union representing the majority of workers of a certain category from furthering the interests of its members. The Committee recommended that the Government be requested to examine the measures that it might take under national conditions to afford this union the possibility of being associated with the collective bargaining process so as to permit it adequately to represent and defend the collective interests of its members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 973.)

1386. Given the aim of preserving the independence of investigators tasked with investigating issues of trade union corruption, the Committee considers that it is not necessarily incompatible with the provisions of Article 2 of Convention No. 87 and Article 4 of Convention No. 98 to have created a special collective bargaining unit with a restriction on the choice of unions which the investigators may join, on the condition that they have the right to set up their own organization.

(See 374th Report, Case No. 3015, para. 180.)

Rights of minority unions

1387. The Committee has recalled the position of the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations that, where the law of a country draws a distinction between the most representative trade union and other trade unions, such a system should not have the effect of preventing minority unions from functioning and at least having the right to make representations on behalf of their members and to represent them in cases of individual grievances.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 974; and 356th Report, Case No. 2691, para. 258.)

1388. The granting of exclusive rights to the most representative organization should not mean that the existence of other unions to which certain involved workers might wish to belong is prohibited. Minority organizations should be permitted to carry out their activities and at least to have the right to speak on behalf of their members and to represent them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 975.)

1389. Where, under a system for nominating an exclusive bargaining agent, there is no union representing the required percentage to be so designated, collective bargaining rights should be granted to all the unions in this unit, at least on behalf of their own members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 976; 340th Report, Case No. 2380, para. 1274; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1535; 349th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 273; 357th Report, Case No. 2683, para. 588; 363rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 115; 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 533; and 373rd Report, Case No. 3021, para. 529.)

1390. If there is no union covering more than 50 per cent of the workers in a unit, collective bargaining rights should nevertheless be granted to the unions in this unit, at least on behalf of their own members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 977; and 348th Report, Case No. 2355, para. 316.)

1391. With regard to a provision that stipulates that a collective agreement may be negotiated only by a trade union representing an absolute majority of the workers in an enterprise, the Committee considered that the provision does not promote collective bargaining in the sense of Article 4 of Convention No. 98 and it invited the government to take steps, in consultation with the organizations concerned, to amend the provision in question so as to ensure that when no trade union represents the absolute majority of the workers, the organizations may jointly negotiate a collective agreement applicable to the enterprise or the bargaining unit, or at least conclude a collective agreement on behalf of their members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 978.)

1392. The requirement established by law that a union has to establish its authority for all the workers it claims to represent in negotiations for a collective employment contract is excessive and in contradiction with freedom of association principles as it may be applied so as to constitute an impediment to the right of a workers' organization to represent its members.

(See 2006 Digest, para. 979.)

1393. In so far as the persons who conclude collective agreements are trade union representatives, the requirement that they be approved by an absolute majority of the workers involved may constitute an obstacle to collective bargaining which is incompatible with the provisions of Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 980.)

Determination of employers' organizations entitled to negotiate

1394. Employers should be able to choose the organization which they wish to represent their interests in the collective bargaining process.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 981.)

1395. The principle of representation for collective bargaining purposes cannot be applied in an equitable fashion in respect of employers' associations if membership in the Chamber of Commerce is compulsory and the Chamber of Commerce is empowered to bargain collectively with trade unions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 982.)

1396. Granting collective bargaining rights to the Chamber of Commerce which is created by law and to which affiliation is compulsory impairs the employers' freedom of choice in respect of the organization to represent their interests in collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 983.)

Representation of organizations in the collective bargaining process

1397. Workers' organizations must themselves be able to choose which delegates will represent them in collective bargaining without the interference of the public authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 984; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778.)

1398. The right of workers' organizations to organize their administration and activities in accordance with Article 3 of Convention No. 87 includes the freedom for organizations recognized as representative to choose their union delegates for the purposes of collective bargaining.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2750, para. 947; and 377th Report, Case No. 2750, para. 33.)

1399. Excessively strict prescriptions on such matters as the composition of the representatives of the parties in the process of collective bargaining may limit its effectiveness and this is a matter which should be determined by the parties themselves.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 985.)

1400. The Committee has drawn attention to Paragraph 6 of the Collective Bargaining Recommendation, 1981 (No. 163), according to which parties to collective bargaining should provide their respective negotiators with the necessary mandate to conduct and conclude negotiations, subject to any provisions for consultations within their respective organizations. On the basis of these principles, and with a view to promoting collective bargaining in good faith and the harmonious development of labour relations in the public sector, there must be clarity at the outset on the articulation of the distinct stages of collective bargaining, and the studies on the verification of the financial viability of the contents of negotiations should precede the conclusion of the collective agreement.

```
(See 377th Report, Case No. 3094, para. 345.)
```

1401. The right of workers' organizations to organize their administration and activities in accordance with Article 3 of Convention No. 87 includes the freedom for organizations recognized as representative to choose their trade union delegates for the purposes of collective bargaining, as well as the possibility of being assisted by advisers of their choice.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2750, para. 947; and 377th Report, Case No. 2750, para. 33.)

1402. Organizations of employers and workers should have the right to choose, without any hindrance, the persons from whom they wish to seek assistance during collective bargaining and dispute settlement procedures.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 986.)

1403. With regard to the ban on third party intervention in the settlement of disputes, the Committee is of the opinion that such an exclusion constitutes a serious restriction on the free functioning of trade unions, since it deprives them of assistance from advisers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 987; and 365th Report, Case No. 2723, para. 778.)

Level of bargaining

1404. According to the principle of free and voluntary collective bargaining embodied in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, the determination of the bargaining level is essentially a matter to be left to the discretion of the parties and, consequently, the level of negotiation should not be imposed by law, by decision of the administrative authority or by the case-law of the administrative labour authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 988; 340th Report, Case No. 2267, para. 152; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1534; 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 220; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2826, para. 1298.)

1405. The determination of the bargaining level is essentially a matter to be left to the discretion of the parties. Thus, the Committee does not consider the refusal by employers to bargain at a particular level as an infringement of freedom of association.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 989.)

1406. The determination of the bargaining level is essentially a matter to be left to the discretion of the parties.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2699, para. 1389.)

1407. The Committee does not adopt a stance either in favour of bargaining at the level of the branch of activity or at the enterprise level. The fundamental principle concerns the need for the level of collective bargaining to be freely determined by the parties concerned.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2375, para. 181.)

1408. The elaboration of procedures systematically favouring decentralized bargaining of exclusionary provisions that are less favourable than the provisions at a higher level can lead to a global destabilization of the collective bargaining machinery and of workers' and employers' organizations and constitutes in this regard a weakening of freedom of association and collective bargaining contrary to the principles of Conventions Nos. 87 and 98.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 997; and 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 453.)

1409. Legislation should not constitute an obstacle to collective bargaining at the industry level.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 990; and 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 997.)

1410. The best procedure for safeguarding the independence of the parties involved in collective bargaining is to allow them to decide by mutual agreement the level at which bargaining should take place. Nevertheless, it appears that, in many countries, this question is determined by a body that is independent of the parties themselves. The Committee considers that in such cases the body concerned should be truly independent.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 991.)

1411. A constitutional court ruling according to which all collective bargaining within the construction sector should take place at the branch level overrides the principles of freedom of the parties and free and voluntary bargaining, both of which cannot be dissociated from the right to collective bargaining as enshrined in Convention No. 98.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2375, para. 181.)

1412. In the event of disagreement between the parties concerning the level of negotiations, and in place of a general ruling by the judicial authority in favour of branch-level bargaining, it would be more in keeping with the letter and spirit of Convention No. 98 and Recommendation No. 163 for a system to be established by the parties by common agreement in which their interests and points of view can be specifically expressed.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2375, para. 181; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2826, para. 1298.)

Collective bargaining in the case of subcontracting

1413. It pertains to the Government to ensure, through appropriate measures, that subcontracting is not used as a way to evade the application of the freedom of association guarantees provided for in legislation and to ensure that trade unions representing subcontracted workers may effectively seek to improve the living and working conditions of those whom they represent.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 677.)

1414. Although an employer/main contractor may not be under an obligation to negotiate with a trade union representing workers engaged by subcontractors (or a trade union that has not demonstrated its membership among the main contractor's workers), nothing should prevent such an employer from negotiating and concluding a collective agreement on a voluntary basis. Moreover, the trade union concerned should also be able to request negotiations with the employer of its choice, on a voluntary basis, especially in cases where it would be impossible to negotiate with each and every one of the subcontractors. In fact, given the main contractor's dominant position in the construction site, and the general absence of collective bargaining at the branch or industry levels, the conclusion of a collective agreement with the main contractor would appear to be a viable option allowing for effective collective bargaining and the conclusion of a collective agreement with sufficiently general scope over the construction site.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 775.)

Restrictions on the principle of free and voluntary bargaining

A. Compulsory arbitration

1415. The imposition of a compulsory arbitration procedure if the parties do not reach agreement on a draft collective agreement raises problems in relation to the application of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 992; and 358th Report, Case No. 2716, para. 860.)

1416. Provisions which establish that, failing agreement between the parties, the points at issue in collective bargaining must be settled by the arbitration of the authority are not in conformity with the principle of voluntary negotiation contained in Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 993; 346th Report, Case No. 2473, para. 1539; 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 340; 370th Report, Case No. 2983, para. 284; and 377th Report, Case No. 3107, para. 241.)

1417. Recourse to compulsory arbitration in cases where the parties do not reach agreement through collective bargaining is permissible only in the context of essential services in the strict sense of the term (i.e. services the interruption of which would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 994; 358th Report, Case No. 2716, para. 860; 360th Report, Case No. 2803, para. 343; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2785, para. 736.)

1418. In certain cases, the Committee has regretted that the government has not given priority to collective bargaining as a means of regulating employment conditions in a non-essential service, but rather that it felt compelled to have recourse to compulsory arbitration in the dispute in question.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 996.)

1419. The use of collective bargaining to settle problems of rationalization in undertakings and improve their efficiency may yield valuable results for both the workers and the undertakings. Nevertheless, if this type of collective bargaining has to follow a special pattern which imposes bargaining on the trade union organizations on those aspects determined by the labour authority and stipulates that the period of negotiation shall not exceed a specified time; and failing agreement between the parties, the points at issue shall be submitted to arbitration by the said authority, such a statutory system does not conform to the principle of voluntary negotiation which is the guiding principle of Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 997.)

B. Intervention by the authorities in collective bargaining

(a) General principles

1420. In cases of government intervention to restrict collective bargaining, the Committee has considered that it is not its role to express a view on the soundness of the economic arguments used by the Government to justify its position or on the measures it has adopted. However, it is for the Committee to express its views on whether, in taking such action, the Government has gone beyond what the Committee has considered to be acceptable restrictions that might be placed temporarily on free collective bargaining

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 998; and 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 378.)

1421. In any case, any limitation on collective bargaining on the part of the authorities should be preceded by consultations with the workers' and employers' organizations in an effort to obtain their agreement.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 999; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 568; and 373rd Report, Case No. 3039, para. 264.)

1422. In cases in which governments had, on many occasions over the past decade, resorted to statutory limitations on collective bargaining, the Committee pointed out that repeated recourse to statutory restrictions on collective bargaining could, in the long term, only prove harmful and destabilize labour relations, as it deprived workers of a fundamental right and means of furthering and defending their economic and social interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1000; 372nd Report, Cases Nos. 2177 and 2183, para. 373; and 378th Report, Cases Nos. 2177 and 2183, para. 465.)

1423. Repeated and extensive intervention in collective bargaining can destabilize the overall framework for labour relations in the country if the measures are not consistent with the principles of freedom of association and collective bargaining.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 995)

(b) The drafting of collective agreements

1424. State bodies should refrain from intervening to alter the content of freely concluded collective agreements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1001; 344th Report, Case No. 2502, para. 1018; 362nd Report, Case No. 2785, para. 736; 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 380; 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 463; 373rd Report, Case No. 3039, para. 263; and 376th Report, Case No. 3072, para. 923.)

1425. State bodies should refrain from intervening in free collective bargaining between workers' and employers' organizations.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3155, para. 105.)

1426. In general terms, the Committee wishes to underline the importance it attaches to the principle of the autonomy of the parties to the collective bargaining process, a principle generally recognized in the preparatory discussions that led to the adoption by the Conference in 1981 of the Collective Bargaining Convention (No. 154). It follows from this principle that the public authorities should not as a rule intervene in order to modify the contents of collective agreements freely concluded. Such intervention would be justified only for cogent reasons of social justice and the general interest.

(See 211th Report, Case No. 1052, para. 155)

1427. Respect for the rule of law implies avoiding retroactive intervention in collective agreements through legislation.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 380.)

1428. The intervention by a representative of the public authorities in the drafting of collective agreements, unless it consists exclusively of technical aid, is inconsistent with the spirit of Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1002.)

1429. The Committee recognizes that there comes a time in bargaining where, after protracted and fruitless negotiations, the authorities might be justified in stepping in when it is obvious that the deadlock in bargaining will not be broken without some initiative on their part.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1003; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2785, para. 737.)

1430. The Committee has expressed the view that the mere existence of a deadlock in a collective bargaining process is not in itself a sufficient ground to justify an intervention from the public authorities to impose arbitration on the parties to the labour dispute. Any intervention by the public authorities in collective disputes must be consistent with the principle of free and voluntary negotiations; this implies that the bodies appointed for the settlement of disputes between the parties to collective bargaining should be independent and recourse to these bodies should be on a voluntary basis, except where there is an acute national crisis.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1004; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2741, para. 765.)

1431. Where intervention by the public authorities is essentially for the purpose of ensuring that the negotiating parties subordinate their interests to the national economic policy pursued by the government, irrespective of whether they agree with that policy or not, this is not compatible with the generally accepted principles that workers' and employers' organizations should enjoy the right freely to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes, that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof, and that the law of the land should not be such as to impair or be so applied as to impair the enjoyment of such right.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1005; 342nd Report, Case No. 2447, para. 751; 344th Report, Case No. 2502, para. 1020; and 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 995.)

1432. Legislation which permits the refusal to approve a collective agreement on grounds of errors of pure form is not in conflict with the principle of voluntary negotiation. If this legislation, however, implies that the filing of a collective agreement may be refused on grounds such as incompatibility with the general policy of the government, it would amount to a requirement that prior approval be obtained before a collective agreement can come into force.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1006.)

1433. While the Committee appreciates that the introduction of wage restraint measures must be timed in order to obtain the maximum impact on the economic situation, it nevertheless considers that the interruption of already negotiated contracts is not in conformity with the principles of free collective bargaining because such contracts should be respected.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1009.)

1434. While it is not its role to express a view on the soundness of the economic arguments invoked to justify government intervention to restrict collective bargaining, the Committee must recall that measures that might be taken to confront exceptional circumstances ought to be temporary in nature having regard to the severe negative consequences on workers' terms and conditions of employment and their particular impact on vulnerable workers.

(See 371th Report, Case No. 2947, para. 464; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 995; 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 464; and 376th Report, Case No. 3072, para. 917.)

1435. The harmonious development of labour relations would be facilitated if the public authorities, when dealing with the problems concerning the workers' loss of purchasing power, adopted solutions which did not involve modifications of agreements without the consent of the parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1010; 364th Report, Case No. 2887, para. 697.)

1436. Giving by law a special incentive encouraging one of the parties to denounce/ cancel collective agreements by which pension funds were set up constitutes interference with the free and voluntary nature of collective bargaining. Moreover, the

Committee considers that after the collective agreements by which pension funds were set up were denounced by one of the parties, it pertained to the parties themselves to determine whether and under which terms and conditions the funds would be dissolved and what would become of their assets. Nothing in Convention No. 98 enables the Government to step in and unilaterally determine these issues, much less to unilaterally determine that the assets of a private pension fund, established by collective agreement, would be appropriated and automatically transferred to a public pension scheme. These measures are contrary to Article 3 of Convention No. 87 and Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2502, para. 1018.)

(c) Administrative approval of freely concluded collective agreements and the national economic policy

1437. The Committee has highlighted the importance, in the context of an economic crisis, of maintaining permanent and intensive dialogue with the most representative workers' and employers' organizations.

(See 368th Report, Case No. 2918, para. 362.)

1438. Making the validity of collective agreements signed by the parties subject to the approval of these agreements by the authorities is contrary to the principles of collective bargaining and of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1012; 344th Report, Case No. 2365, para. 1447; and 367th Report, Case No. 2952, para. 879.)

1439. Legal provisions which make collective agreements subject to the approval of the ministry of labour for reasons of economic policy, so that employers' and workers' organizations are not able to fix wages freely, are not in conformity with Article 4 of Convention No. 98 respecting the promotion and full development of machinery for voluntary collective negotiations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1013.)

1440. The Government must ensure that the process of registration and publication of collective agreements only involves checks on compliance with the legal minima and questions of form, such as, for example, the determination of the parties and the beneficiaries of the agreement with sufficient precision and the duration of the agreement.

(See 356th Report, Case No. 2699, para. 1389.)

1441. The requirement of Cabinet approval for negotiated agreements and of conformity with the policy and guidelines unilaterally set for the public sector are not in full conformity with the principles of freedom of association, which apply to all workers covered by Convention No. 98.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1014.)
```

1442. The requirement of previous approval by a government authority to make an agreement valid might discourage the use of voluntary collective bargaining between employers and workers for the settlement of conditions of employment. Even though a refusal by the authorities to give their approval may sometimes be the subject of an appeal to the courts, the system of previous administrative authorization in itself is contrary to the whole system of voluntary negotiation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1015.)

1443. Objections by the Committee to the requirement that prior approval of collective agreements be obtained from the government do not signify that ways could not be found of persuading the parties to collective bargaining to have regard voluntarily in their negotiations to considerations relating to the economic or social policy of the government and the safeguarding of the general interest. But to achieve this, it is necessary first of all that the objectives to be recognized as being in the general interest should have been widely discussed by all parties on a national scale through a consultative body in accordance with the principle laid down in the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113). It might also be possible to envisage a procedure whereby the attention of the parties could be drawn, in certain cases, to the considerations of general interest which might call for further examination of the terms of agreement on their part. However, in this connection, persuasion is always to be preferred to constraint. First, instead of making the validity of collective agreements subject to governmental approval, it might be provided that every collective agreement filed with the ministry of labour would normally come into force a reasonable length of time after being filed; if the public authority considered that the terms of the proposed agreement were manifestly in conflict with the economic policy objectives recognized as being desirable in the general interest, the case could be submitted for advice and recommendation to an appropriate consultative body, it being understood, however, that the final decision in the matter rested with the parties to the agreement.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1016.)

1444. The requirement of ministerial approval before a collective agreement can come into effect is not in full conformity with the principles of voluntary negotiation laid down in Convention No. 98. In cases where certain collective agreements contain terms which appear to conflict with considerations of general interest, it might be possible to envisage a procedure whereby the attention of the parties could be drawn to these considerations to enable them to examine the matter further, it being understood that the final decision thereon should rest with the parties. The setting up of a system of this kind would be in conformity with the principle that trade unions should enjoy the right to endeavour to improve, by means of collective bargaining, the conditions of living and of work of their members and that the authorities should refrain from any interference which might limit this right.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1017.)

1445. A provision which establishes as a ground for refusing approval the existence in a collective agreement of a clause which interferes with "the right reserved to the State to coordinate and have the overall control of the economic life of the nation" involves the risk of seriously restricting the voluntary negotiation of collective agreements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1018.)

(d) Administrative interventions which suspend or require the renegotiation of existing collective agreements

1446. The interruption by law of provisions in already concluded collective agreements is not in conformity with the principles of free collective bargaining.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2447, para. 748.)

1447. A legal provision which modifies unilaterally the content of signed collective agreements, or requires that they be renegotiated, is contrary to the principles of collective bargaining, as well as to the principle of the acquired rights of the parties.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 791; and 346th Report, Case No. 2469, para. 415.)

1448. In a case in which, in the context of a stabilization policy, the provisions of collective agreements relating to remuneration were suspended (in the public and private sectors), the Committee emphasized that collective agreements which were in force should be applied fully (unless otherwise agreed by the parties).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1007.)

1449. The suspension or derogation by decree – without the agreement of the parties – of collective agreements freely entered into by the parties violates the principle of free and voluntary collective bargaining established in Article 4 of Convention No. 98. If a government wishes the clauses of a collective agreement to be brought into line with the economic policy of the country, it should attempt to persuade the parties to take account voluntarily of such considerations, without imposing on them the renegotiation of the collective agreements in force.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1008; 342nd Report, Case No. 2447, para. 748; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 995; 368th Report, Case No. 2918, para. 362; 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 464; and 376th Report, Case No. 3072, para. 923.)

1450. Repeated recourse to legislative restrictions on collective bargaining can only, in the long term, prejudice and destabilize the labour relations climate, if the legislator frequently intervenes to suspend or terminate the exercise of rights recognized for unions and their members. Moreover, this may have a detrimental effect on workers' interests in unionization, since members and potential members could consider it useless to join an organization the main objective of which is to represent its members in collective bargaining, if the results of such bargaining are constantly cancelled by law.

⁽See the 2006 Digest, para. 1019; 340th Report, Case No. 2405, para. 452; 343rd Report, Case No. 2405, para. 335; 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 831; and 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 995.)

1451. The question of whether serious economic problems of enterprises may, in certain cases, call for the modification of collective agreements must be addressed, and, since it can be handled in various ways, the way to proceed should be determined within the framework of social dialogue.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 453.)

1452. Legislation which obliges the parties to renegotiate acquired trade union rights is contrary to the principles of collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1020.)

1453. In examining allegations of the annulment and forced renegotiation of collective agreements for reasons of economic crisis, the Committee was of the view that legislation which required the renegotiation of agreements in force was contrary to the principles of free and voluntary collective bargaining enshrined in Convention No. 98 and insisted that the government should have endeavoured to ensure that the renegotiation of collective agreements in force resulted from an agreement reached between the parties concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1021; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 842; and 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 574 and Case No. 2723, para. 778.)

1454. It would not be objectionable if, once it became clear that the implementation of an agreement concerning pension funds dependent on the State budget would be practically impossible, and after having exhausted all good faith efforts to achieve the implementation of the agreement, the Government undertook concrete efforts to renegotiate the agreement in order to find a solution that would be commonly acceptable to the parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1022.)

(e) Compulsory extension of the period for which collective agreements are in force

1455. Referring to an Act on the extension of collective agreements which followed other government interventions in collective bargaining, the Committee pointed out that such action, involving as it did statutory intervention in the collective bargaining process, should only be taken in cases of emergency and for brief periods of time. The Committee hoped that in future no similar measures would be taken to interfere with free collective bargaining or to restrict the right of workers to defend their economic and social interests through industrial action.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1023; and 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 570.)

(f) Restrictions imposed by the authorities on future collective bargaining

1456. If, as part of its stabilization policy, a government considers that wage rates cannot be settled freely through collective bargaining, such a restriction should be imposed as an exceptional measure and only to the extent that is necessary, without exceeding a reasonable period, and it should be accompanied by adequate safeguards to protect workers' living standards.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1024; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 574; 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 830; 355th Report, Case No. 2639, para. 1011; 357th Report, Case No. 2690, para. 944; 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 379; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 990; 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 343; 368th Report, Case No. 2918, para. 362, Case No. 2990, para. 541; and 376th Report, Case No. 3072, para. 923.)

1457. A three-year period of limited collective bargaining on remuneration within the context of a policy of economic stabilization constitutes a substantial restriction, and the legislation in question should cease producing effects at the latest at the dates mentioned in the Act, or indeed earlier if the fiscal and economic situation improves.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1025; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 572; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 990; and 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 343.)

1458. Restraints on collective bargaining for three years are too long. (See the 2006 Digest, para. 1026; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 990; and 367th Report.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1026; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 990; and 367th Report, Case No. 2894, para. 343.)

1459. Where wage restraint measures are taken by a government to impose financial controls, care should be taken to ensure that collective bargaining on non-monetary matters can be pursued and that unions and their members can fully exercise their normal trade union activity.

```
(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1027.)
```

1460. The Committee is not mandated to decide on acceptable amounts of financial restraint, but where possible these measures should only extend to the sectors actually facing an emergency situation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1028.)

1461. As regards the obligation for future collective agreements to respect productivity criteria, the Committee recalled that if, within the context of a stabilization policy, a government may consider for compelling reasons that wage rates cannot be fixed freely by collective bargaining (in the present case the fixing of wage scales excludes index-linking mechanisms and must be adjusted to increases in productivity), such a restriction should be imposed as an exceptional measure and only to the extent necessary, without exceeding a reasonable period and it should be accompanied by adequate safeguards to protect workers' living standards. This principle is all the more important because successive restrictions may lead to a prolonged suspension of wage negotiations, which goes against the principle of encouraging voluntary collective negotiation.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1029.)

(g) Restrictions on clauses to index wages to the cost of living

1462. The impossibility of negotiating wage increases on an ongoing basis is contrary to the principle of free and voluntary collective bargaining enshrined in Convention No. 98.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2690, para. 946; and 374th Report, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 666.)

1463. Legislative provisions prohibiting the negotiation of wage increases beyond the level of the increase in the cost of living are contrary to the principle of voluntary collective bargaining embodied in Convention No. 98; such a limitation would be admissible only if it remained within the context of an economic stabilization policy, and even then only as an exceptional measure and only to the extent necessary, without exceeding a reasonable period of time.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1030; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2447, para. 750.)

1464. In a case where government measures had fixed the base reference for the indexation of wages, whereas the parties had fixed another indexation system, the Committee recalled that the intervention of a government in areas which traditionally have always been negotiated freely by the parties could call into question the principle of free collective bargaining recognized by Article 4 of Convention No. 98, if it is not accompanied by certain guarantees and in particular if its period of application is not limited in time.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1031.)

1465. The determination of criteria to be applied by the parties in fixing wages (cost-of-living increases, productivity, etc.) is a matter for negotiation between the parties and it is not for the Committee to express an opinion on the criteria that should be applied in fixing pay adjustments.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1032.)

(h) Other forms of intervention by the authorities

1466. In one case it was alleged that Article 4 of Convention No. 98 had been infringed because, when lengthy negotiations had reached a deadlock, the Government gave effect to the claims of the union by an enactment. The Committee pointed out that such an argument would, if carried to its logical conclusion, mean that, in nearly every country where the workers were not sufficiently strongly organized to obtain a minimum wage, and that this standard was prescribed by law, Article 4 of Convention No. 98 would be infringed. Such an argument would clearly be untenable. If a government, however, adopted a systematic policy of granting by law what the unions could not obtain by negotiation, the situation might call for reappraisal.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1044; and 364th Report, Case No. 2887, para. 697.)

1467. In a case in which general wage increases in the private sector were established by law, which were added to the increases agreed upon in collective agreements, the Committee drew to the Government's attention the fact that the harmonious development of industrial relations would be promoted if the public authorities, in tackling problems relating to the loss of the workers' purchasing power, were to adopt solutions which did not entail modifications of what had been agreed upon between workers' and employers' organizations without the consent of both parties.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1045; and 364th Report, Case No. 2887, para. 697.)

1468. It is not contrary to Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 for a minister to urge the social partners, within the framework of the encouragement and promotion of the full development and utilization of collective bargaining machinery, to find a mutually acceptable solution to the conflict.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2545, para. 1155.)

1469. The presence of police forces in close proximity to the room where minimum wage negotiations take place is liable to unduly influence the free and voluntary nature of negotiations. Any police presence in the vicinity of meeting rooms where negotiations are taking place must be strictly justified by the circumstances.

(See 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 804.)

1470. Obliging the parties to a collective agreement to meet the extremely high cost of publication of that agreement in the Official Journal seriously impedes the application of Article 4 of Convention No. 98, which enshrines the principle of promotion of collective bargaining.

(See 351st Report, Case No. 2622, para. 290.)

Collective bargaining in the public sector

A. General principles

1471. The special modalities of application provided by Convention No. 154 with regard to public service should nevertheless not be of such a kind as to entirely negate the principle of promoting collective bargaining in the public administration or render meaningless the subject matter of such collective bargaining, in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention.

(See 351st Report, Cases Nos. 2611 and 2632, para. 1277.)

1472. In order to maintain harmonious professional relationships in the public sector, respect of the principles of non-interference, the recognition of the most representative organizations and party autonomy in negotiations is required.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3067, para. 950.)

1473. A legislative provision which prohibits public authorities and public employees, even those not engaged in the administration of the State, from concluding an agreement, even if they are willing to do so, is contrary to the principle of free and voluntary negotiations.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 990.)

1474. Legislative intervention is not a substitute for free and voluntary negotiations over the terms and conditions of employment of public employees who are not engaged in the administration of the State.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 993.)

1475. The principle of collective bargaining allows for negotiations between public servants and the government in its quality as employer and not as the executive; it concerns more specifically the terms and conditions of employment of public servants and would not necessarily include questions of public policy which might concern the citizenry more generally.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2460, para. 992.)

1476. In the event of conflicting interpretations of a collective agreement in the public sector, the definitive interpretation should not be that of the public administration, which would be acting as judge as well as party in the case, but rather that of an independent authority.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2421, para. 580.)

1477. Control of allegedly abusive clauses of collective agreements in the public sector should not be up to the administrative authority (which in the public sector is both judge and party), but rather to the judicial authority, and then only in extremely serious cases.

(See 370th Report, Case No. 2926, para. 388.)

1478. The Committee expressed concern that a provision, adopted without consulting the relevant organizations, imposes a unique structure of representation of workers' interests for sharing and negotiating with the administration. Such a situation does not ensure peaceful professional relationships.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3067, para. 950.)

1479. The practice of granting certain improvements in conditions to public servants, not within the framework of a collective agreement, but as unilateral decisions, even though they relate to bargaining matters (which makes it more a consultation than bargaining) is problematic. In the Committee's view, this practice does not promote collective bargaining and should be avoided.

(See 367th Report, Case No. 2816, para. 1004.)

1480. The public authorities should promote free collective bargaining and not prevent the application of freely concluded collective agreements, particularly when these authorities are acting as employers or have assumed responsibility for the application of agreements by countersigning them.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1011; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 990; and 373rd Report, Case No. 3039, para. 263.)

B. Economic situation, budgetary powers and collective bargaining

1481. Adequate mechanisms for dealing with exceptional economic situations can be developed within the framework of the public sector collective bargaining system.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 378; and 368th Report, Case No. 2918, para. 362.)

1482. Possible avenues for constructive engagement can be based in the elaboration of adequate mechanisms for dealing with exceptional economic situations within the framework of the public sector collective bargaining system.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 989.)

1483. The reservation of budgetary powers to the legislative authority should not have the effect of preventing compliance with collective agreements entered into by, or on behalf of, that authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1033; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 743; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 703; and 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 833.)

1484. The Committee has considered that the exercise of financial powers by the public authorities in a manner that prevents or limits compliance with collective agreements already entered into by public bodies is not consistent with the principle of free collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1034; 346th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 743; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 703, Case No. 2615, para. 869; and 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 990.)

1485. A fair and reasonable compromise should be sought between the need to preserve as far as possible the autonomy of the bargaining parties, on the one hand, and measures which must be taken by governments to overcome their budgetary difficulties, on the other.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1035; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 574; 372nd Report, Cases Nos. 2177 and 2183, para. 373; 374th Report, Case No. 3032, para. 414; and 378th Report, Cases Nos. 2177 and 2183, para. 465.)

1486. In so far as the income of public enterprises and bodies depends on state budgets, it would not be objectionable – after wide discussion and consultation between the concerned employers' and employees' organizations in a system having the confidence of the parties – for wage ceilings to be fixed in state budgetary laws, and neither would it be a matter for criticism that the Ministry of Finance prepare a

report prior to the commencement of collective bargaining with a view to ensuring respect of such ceilings.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1036; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 571; 353rd Report, Case No. 2615, para. 869; and 374th Report, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 658.)

1487. With regard to the principle relating to the fixing of wage ceilings, the Committee was of the opinion that it was vital for workers and their organizations to have the possibility of participating fully and significantly in the determination of this wider bargaining framework. That would mean their having access to all financial, budgetary or other information to allow them to assess the situation in full knowledge of the facts.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 571.)

1488. With regard to the requirement that draft collective agreements in the public sector must be accompanied by a preliminary opinion on their financial implications issued by the financial authorities, and not by the public body or enterprise concerned, the Committee noted that it was aware that collective bargaining in the public sector called for verification of the available resources in the various public bodies or undertakings, that such resources were dependent on state budgets and that the period of duration of collective agreements in the public sector did not always coincide with the duration of the State Budgetary Law – a situation which could give rise to difficulties. The body issuing the above opinion could also formulate recommendations in line with government economic policy or seek to ensure that the collective bargaining process did not give rise to any discrimination in the working conditions of the employees in different public institutions or undertakings. Provision should therefore be made for a mechanism which ensured that, in the collective bargaining process in the public sector, both trade union organizations and the employers and their associations were consulted and could express their points of view to the authority responsible for assessing the financial consequences of draft collective agreements. Nevertheless, notwithstanding any opinion submitted by the financial authorities, the parties to collective bargaining should be able to conclude an agreement freely.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1037; 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 794; 355th Report, Case No. 2639, para. 1010; 357th Report, Case No. 2690, para. 944; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 572; and 374th Report, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 666.)

1489. The Committee has endorsed the point of view expressed by the Committee of Experts in its 1994 General Survey:

While the principle of autonomy of the parties to collective bargaining is valid as regards public servants covered by Convention No. 151, the special characteristics of the public service described above require some flexibility in its application. Thus, in the view of the Committee, legislative provisions which allow Parliament or the competent budgetary authority to set upper and lower limits for wage negotiations or to establish an overall "budgetary package" within which the parties may negotiate monetary or standard-setting clauses (for example: reduction of working hours or other arrangements, varying wage increases according to levels of remuneration,

fixing a timetable for readjustment provisions) or those which give the financial authorities the right to participate in collective bargaining alongside the direct employer, are compatible with the Convention, provided they leave a significant role to collective bargaining. It is essential, however, that workers and their organizations be able to participate fully and meaningfully in designing this overall bargaining framework, which implies in particular that they must have access to all the financial, budgetary and other data enabling them to assess the situation on the basis of the facts.

This is not the case of legislative provisions which, on the grounds of the economic situation of a country, impose unilaterally, for example, a specific percentage increase and rule out any possibility of bargaining, in particular by prohibiting the exercise of means of pressure subject to the application of severe sanctions. The Committee is aware that collective bargaining in the public sector "calls for verification of the available resources in the various public bodies or undertakings, that such resources are dependent on state budgets and that the period of duration of collective agreements in the public sector does not always coincide with the duration of budgetary laws – a situation which can give rise to difficulties". The Committee therefore takes full account of the serious financial and budgetary difficulties facing governments, particularly during periods of prolonged and widespread economic stagnation. However, it considers that the authorities should give preference as far as possible to collective bargaining in determining the conditions of employment of public servants; where the circumstances rule this out, measures of this kind should be limited in time and protect the standard of living of the workers who are the most affected. In other words, a fair and reasonable compromise should be sought between the need to preserve as far as possible the autonomy of the parties to bargaining, on the one hand, and measures which must be taken by governments to overcome their budgetary difficulties, on the other.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1038; 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 794, Case No. 2460, para. 994; 346th Report, Case No. 2469, para. 416; 351st Report, Cases Nos. 2611 and 2632, para. 1273; 357th Report, Case No. 2690, para. 945; 364th Report, Case No. 2821, para. 386; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 574, Case No. 2934, para. 1257; and 374th Report, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 666.)

1490. As regards provisions which set a cap on remuneration in the public sector, compensation for unfair dismissal and other causes of termination of the employment relation or prohibit pension schemes which involve contributions of State resources, the Committee stated that it did not doubt the expressed will of the Government to look after the general interest, ensure equality, avoid unreasonable excesses in collective agreements and ensure financial and budgetary balance, but considered that these were permanent and unalterable limitations on the right of collective bargaining of workers' organizations incompatible with Convention No. 98, which provides for free and voluntary bargaining of conditions of work. If the Government wishes to pursue a policy which seeks those objectives which, moreover, are legitimate, it can do so in the framework of collective bargaining without resorting to impositions which limit the content of bargaining by the parties to that bargaining.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 830.)

1491. It is acceptable that in the bargaining process the employer side representing the public administration seek the opinion of the Ministry of Finances or an economic and financial body that verifies the financial impact of draft collective agreements.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1039.)

1492. In context of economic stabilization, priority should be given to collective bargaining as a means of determining the employment conditions of public servants, rather than adopting legislation to restrain wages in the public sector.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1040; and 368th Report, Case No. 2918, para. 362.)

1493. The Committee deplored that, despite its previous calls to the government to refrain from intervening in the collective bargaining process, it once again failed to give priority to collective bargaining as a means of negotiating a change in the employment conditions of public servants, and that the legislative authority felt compelled to adopt the Public Sector Reduced Work-week and Compensation Management Act, particularly in view of the fact that this Act followed immediately the previous legislative intervention which had frozen public sector wages for one year.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1041.)

1494. Even though the principle of the autonomy of the parties in the collective bargaining process remains valid with regard to public servants and public employees covered by Convention No. 151, this may be applied with a certain degree of flexibility given the particular characteristics of the public administration, while at the same time, the authorities should, to the greatest possible extent, promote the collective bargaining process as a mechanism for determining the conditions of employment of public servants.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1042.)

1495. Special modalities of application may be established for collective bargaining within the public administration, but the right to free and voluntary collective bargaining cannot be considered to exist merely on the basis of the presentation of respectful petitions.

(See 342nd Report, Case No. 2356, para. 352; and 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 798.)

1496. A system in which public employees may only present "appropriate written representations" which are non-negotiable, in particular with regard to conditions of employment, which may only be determined by the authorities who have exclusive competence in this matter, is not in conformity with Conventions Nos. 98, 151 and 154.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1043.)

Relationship between ILO conventions

1497. Convention No. 151, which was intended to complement Convention No. 98, by laying down certain provisions concerning, in particular, protection against anti-union discrimination and the determination of terms and conditions of employment for the public service as a whole, does not in any way contradict or dilute the basic right of association guaranteed to all workers by virtue of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1061; 353rd Report, Case No. 1865, para. 698; and 363rd Report, Case No. 2892, para. 1151.)

1498. With regard to the allowed exceptions under Convention No. 151 referred to by the Government, the Committee points out that while Convention No. 151 recognized that certain categories of public servants (including those in highly confidential positions) may be excluded from the more general provisions guaranteeing to public servants protection against acts of anti- union discrimination or ensuring the existence of methods of participation in the determination of their conditions of employment, this exclusion cannot be interpreted as affecting or minimizing in any way the basic right to organize of all workers guaranteed by Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1062; and 371st Report, Case No. 2892, para. 933.)

1499. The Committee has drawn attention to the terms of Article 6 of Convention No. 98, which provide that: "This Convention does not deal with the position of public servants engaged in the administration of the State, nor shall it be construed as prejudicing their rights or status in any way". Unlike Article 5 of the Convention (dealing with the armed forces and the police), Article 6, in providing that the Convention shall not be construed as in any way prejudicing the rights or the status of public servants, at the same time removed the possible conflict between the Convention and Convention No. 87 and expressly preserved the rights of public servants, including those guaranteed in Convention No. 87. The argument that the effect of the provisions of Convention No. 87 is limited if reference is made to Article 6 of Convention No. 98 conflicts with the express terms of that Article. Likewise, Article 1, paragraph 1, of Convention No. 151 provides that the Convention applies to all persons employed by the public authorities "to the extent that more favourable provisions in other international labour Conventions are not applicable to them". If, therefore, Convention No. 98 left intact the rights granted to public servants by Convention No. 87, it follows that Convention No. 151 has not impaired them either.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1063.)

1500. Article 4 of Convention No. 98 offers more favourable provisions than Article 7 of Convention No. 151 in a branch of activity such as that of public education, where both Conventions are applicable, since it includes the concept of voluntary negotiation and the independence of the negotiating parties. In such cases, taking into account Article 1 of Convention No. 151, Article 4 of Convention No. 98 should be applicable in preference to Article 7 of Convention No. 151, which calls upon the public authorities to promote collective bargaining either by means of

procedures that make such bargaining possible, or by such other methods as will allow public servants to participate in the determination of their terms and conditions of employment.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1064.)

Time-limits for bargaining

1501. In one case where the legislation contained a provision whereby a time-limit of up to 105 days was fixed, within which employers had to reply to proposals by the workers, and a time-limit of six months fixed within which collective agreements had to be concluded (which could be prolonged once for a further six months), the Committee expressed the view that it would be desirable to reduce these periods in order to encourage and promote the development of voluntary negotiation, particularly in view of the fact that the workers in the country in question were unable to take strike action.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1046.)

Duration of collective agreements

1502. The duration of collective agreements is primarily a matter for the parties involved, but if government action is being considered any legislation should reflect tripartite agreement.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1047; 344th Report, Case No. 2467, para. 572; 356th Report, Case No. 2699, para. 1389; and 378th Report, Case No. 3155, para. 110.)

1503. The Committee has considered that amendments removing the upper limit on the term of collective agreements, and their effect on the time periods for assessing representativity, collective bargaining, change of union allegiance and affiliation, do not constitute a violation of the principles of freedom of association. However, the Committee is aware that, at least potentially, the possibility of concluding collective agreements for a very long term entails a risk that a union with borderline representativity may be tempted to consolidate its position by accepting an agreement for a longer term to the detriment of the workers' genuine interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1048.)

1504. A statutory provision providing that a collective agreement should be in force for two years when no other period has been agreed by the parties does not constitute a violation of the right to collective bargaining.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1049; 374th Report, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 664.)

Extension of collective agreements

1505. The codification by Decree of clauses contained in a collective labour agreement is not inconsistent with the principles of free collective bargaining, which has, as a basis, the notion of agreements that are legally binding on the parties.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2905, para. 1225.)

1506. In a case where the public authorities decreed the extension of collective agreements when current collective agreements had been concluded by minority organizations in the face of opposition by an organization which allegedly represented the large majority of workers in the sector, the Committee considered that the Government could have carried out an objective appraisal of representativity of the occupational associations in question since, in the absence of such appraisal, the extension of an agreement could be imposed on an entire sector of activity contrary to the views of the majority organization representing the workers in the category covered by the extended agreement, and thereby limiting the right of free collective bargaining of that majority organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1050.)

1507. Any extension of collective agreements should take place subject to tripartite analysis of the consequences it would have on the sector to which it is applied.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1051; and 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 999.)

1508. When the extension of the agreement applies to non-member workers of enterprises covered by the collective agreement, this situation in principle does not contradict the principles of freedom of association, in so far as under the law it is the most representative organization that negotiates on behalf of all workers, and the enterprises are not composed of several establishments (a situation in which the decision respecting extension should be left to the parties).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1052; and 376th Report, Case No. 2512, para. 39.)

1509. The extension of an agreement to an entire sector of activity contrary to the views of the organization representing most of the workers in a category covered by the extended agreement is liable to limit the right of free collective bargaining of that majority organization. This system makes it possible to extend agreements containing provisions which might result in a worsening of the conditions of employment of the category of workers concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1053; and 351st Report, Case No. 2628, para. 1160.)

Relationship between individual employment contracts and collective agreements

1510. When in the course of collective bargaining with the trade union, the enterprise offers better working conditions to non-unionized workers under individual agreements, there is a serious risk that this might undermine the negotiating capacity of the trade union and give rise to discriminatory situations in favour of the non-unionized staff; furthermore, it might encourage unionized workers to withdraw from the union.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1054.)

1511. The Committee requested a Government to ensure that a negotiation with individual workers was not detrimental to collective negotiation with the trade union organization.

(See 343rd Report, Case No. 2259, para. 90.)

1512. The relationship between individual employment contracts and collective agreements, and in particular the possibility that the former may override certain clauses in the latter under specific conditions, is dealt with differently in the various countries and under the various types of collective bargaining systems concerned. The basic task of the Committee is to decide whether the facts of the case are compatible with the Conventions and principles concerning freedom of association. In a case in which the relationship between individual contracts and the collective agreement seems to have been agreed between the employers and the trade union organizations, the Committee considered that the case did not call for further examination.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1056.)

1513. In one case, the Committee found it difficult to reconcile the equal status given in the law to individual and collective contracts with the ILO principles on collective bargaining, according to which the full development and utilization of machinery for voluntary negotiation between employers or employers' organizations and workers' organizations should be encouraged and promoted, with a view to the regulation of terms and conditions of employment by means of collective agreements. In effect, it seemed that the Act allowed collective bargaining by means of collective agreements, along with other alternatives, rather than promoting and encouraging it.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1057.)

Incentives to workers and employers to give up the right to collective bargaining

1514. When examining various cases in which workers who refused to give up the right to collective negotiation were denied a wage rise, the Committee considered that it raised significant problems of compatibility with the principles of freedom of association, in particular as regards Article 1, paragraph 2(b), of Convention No. 98. In addition, such a provision can hardly be said to constitute a measure to "encourage and promote the full development and utilization of machinery for voluntary negotiation ... with a view to the regulation of terms and conditions of employment by means of collective agreements", as provided in Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1058.)

Closure of the enterprise and application of the collective agreement

1515. The closing of an enterprise should not in itself result in the extinction of the obligations resulting from the collective agreement, in particular as regards compensation in the case of dismissal.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1059; and 340th Report, Case No. 2424, para. 690.)

1516. In a case related to insolvency and bankruptcy proceedings, the Committee considered that insisting on full compliance with the provisions of the collective agreement might threaten the continued operation of the enterprise and the maintenance of the workers' jobs.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1060.)

Consultation with the organizations of workers and employers

General principles

1517. The Committee has called the Government's attention to the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113), which establishes that consultations "should aim, in particular, at joint consideration of matters of mutual concern with a view to arriving, to the fullest possible extent, at agreed solutions" and includes among the matters for consultation "the preparation and implementation of laws and regulations affecting their interests".

(See 334th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1065.)

1518. The Committee has expressed the importance, for the preservation of a country's social harmony, of regular consultations with employers' and workers' representatives; such consultations should involve the whole trade union movement, irrespective of the philosophical or political beliefs of its leaders.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1065; 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 763; 350th Report, Case No.2476, para. 313; 359th Report, Case No. 2756, para. 722; 367th Report, Case No. 2949, para. 1224; and 370th Report, Case No. 2951, para. 189.)

1519. The Committee highlighted the importance for harmonious labour relations of full and frank consultations on matters affecting the workers' occupational interests.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3051, para. 699.)

1520. The Committee stressed the importance that immediate action be taken to create a climate of trust based on respect for business and labour organizations, so as to promote stable and solid industrial relations.

(See 372nd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 759)

1521. The Committee recalled the importance of consulting all trade union organizations concerned on matters affecting their interests or those of their members.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3095, para. 803.)

1522. The Committee has emphasized that the principle of consultation and cooperation between public authorities and employers' and workers' organizations at the industrial and national levels is one to which importance should be attached.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1066.)

1523. The Committee has emphasized the importance it attaches to the promotion of dialogue and consultations on matters of mutual interest between the public authorities and the most representative occupational organizations of the sector involved.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1067; 353rd Report, Case No. 2171, para. 279, Case No. 2614, para. 399, Case No. 2625, para. 962; 358th Report, Case No. 2661, para. 791; 368th Report, Case No. 2918, para. 356; 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 445; 373rd Report, Case No. 3002, para. 75; 374th Report, Case No. 3077, para. 433; 375th Report, Case No. 3054, para. 327; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 846.)

1524. The Committee emphasized the fundamental importance of tripartite dialogue as a means of finding solutions to problems arising in the context of labour relations.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3079, para. 423.)

1525. The Committee emphasized the vital importance that it attaches to social dialogue and tripartite consultation, not only concerning questions of labour law but also in the formulation of public policy on labour, social and economic matters.

(See 375th Report, Case No. 3054, para. 325.)

1526. The Committee recalled that, according to the Transition from the Informal to the Formal Economy Recommendation, 2015 (No. 204), in designing, implementing and evaluating policies and programmes of relevance to the informal economy, including its formalization, the Government should consult with and promote active participation of the most representative employers' and workers' organizations, which should include in their ranks, according to national practice, representatives of membership-based representative organizations of workers and economic units in the informal economy.

(See 378th Report, Case No. 3169, para. 351.)

1527. With the necessary limitations of time, the principles governing consultation remain valid during crises that require the taking of urgent measures.

(371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 445.)

1528. In the case concerning the public corporate sector, the Committee highlighted the importance of making changes to working conditions such as cuts to wages and other allowances and benefits the subject of in-depth consultation with the most representative organizations in the sector.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3072, para. 921.)

1529. It is important that national human resources policies in the public service, including vocational training arrangements, be drawn up in consultation with the most representative trade union organizations.

(See 358th Report, Case No. 2661, para. 791.)

1530. The Committee has considered it useful to refer to the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113), Paragraph 1 of which provides that measures should be taken to promote effective consultation and cooperation between public authorities and employers' and workers' organizations without discrimination of any kind against these organizations. In accordance with Paragraph 5 of the Recommendation, such consultation should aim at ensuring that the public authorities seek the views, advice and assistance of these organizations, particularly in the preparation and implementation of laws and regulations affecting their interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1068; 349th Report, Case No. 2575, para. 955; 350th Report, Case No.2476, para. 313, Case No. 2254, para. 1666; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 362; 370th Report, Case No. 2951, para. 189; 373rd Report, Case No. 3039, para. 264; and 378th Report, Case No. 3039, para. 37 and Case No. 3155, para. 104.)

1531. As reaffirmed by the Declaration of Philadelphia, the war against want requires to be carried on with unrelenting vigour within each nation, and by continuous and concerted international effort in which the representatives of workers and employers, enjoying equal status with those of governments, join with them in free discussion and democratic decision with a view to the promotion of the common welfare.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1069.)

1532. Tripartite consultation should take place before the Government submits a draft to the Legislative Assembly or establishes a labour, social or economic policy.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1070; 367th Report, Case No. 2930, para. 732; 368th Report, Case No. 2980, para. 320, Case No. 2945, para. 606, Cases Nos. 2917 and 2968, para. 1021; 375th Report, Case No. 3054, para. 327; 377th Report, Case No. 3118, para. 184; and 378th Report, Case No. 3155, para. 104.)

1533. It is important that consultations take place in good faith, confidence and mutual respect, and that the parties have sufficient time to express their views and discuss them in full with a view to reaching a suitable compromise. The Government must also ensure that it attaches the necessary importance to agreements reached between workers' and employers' organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1071; 348th Report, Case No. 2502, para. 94; 349th Report, Case No. 2575, para. 955; 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1666; 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 829, Cases Nos. 2177 and 2183, para. 987; 356th Report, Case No. 2654, para. 362, Case No. 2699, para. 1383; 368th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 981; and 378th Report, Case No. 3155, para. 104 and Case No. 2254, para. 849.)

1534. It is for trade unions to appoint their own representatives to consultative bodies. (See 357th Report, Case No. 2714, para. 1119.)

1535. The suspension by the labour authority of its collaboration with a trade union organization is not likely to ensure peaceful industrial relations.

(See 375th Report, Case No. 3085, para. 100.)

Consultation during the preparation and application of legislation

1536. The Committee has emphasized the value of consulting organizations of employers and workers during the preparation and application of legislation which affects their interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1072; 342nd Report, Case No. 2317, para. 862; 343rd Report, Case No. 2432, para. 1023; 351st Report, Case No. 2622, para. 293; 359th Report, Case No. 2799, para. 986; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 572; 368th Report, Case No. 2918, para. 356; 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 445; 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 203; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 849.)

1537. Bills do not require consultations or negotiations with each and every one of the trade union organizations, it being sufficient that these take place with the most representative organizations at the national or sectoral level.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2577, para. 1059.)

1538. Consultations on bills must take place prior to the legislative procedure, but they do not necessarily have to take place during the parliamentary proceedings.

(See 349th Report, Case No. 2577, para. 1059.)

1539. The Committee recognizes the different nature of the professional relationships in the public sector due to the State playing the role of both the employer and the legislator, which could potentially cause difficulties. It is all the more important for the State to be aware of criticism that questions its subjectivity. One of the ways to avoid such criticism is to consult with employers' and workers' organizations during the drafting and implementation of legislation that affects their interests.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3067, para. 948.)

1540. The Committee has drawn the attention of governments to the importance of prior consultation of employers' and workers' organizations before the adoption of any legislation in the field of labour law.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1073; 354th Report, Case No. 2684, para. 829; 372nd Report, Case No. 2254, para. 752; 375th Report, Case No. 3054, para. 327; and 378th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 849.)

1541. The Committee has emphasized the importance that should be attached to full and frank consultation taking place on any questions or proposed legislation affecting trade union rights.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1074; 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1313; 351st Report, Case No. 2599, para. 546; 358th Report, Case No. 2733, para. 153; 362nd Report, Case No. 2723, para. 841; 367th Report, Case No. 2930, para. 732; 368th Report, Case No. 2980, para. 320, Cases Nos. 2917 and 2968, para. 1021; 375th Report, Case No. 3054, para. 327; and 376th Report, Case No. 3067, para. 948.) **1542.** Any changes to the scope and exercise of trade union rights should, as a matter of importance, be subject to in-depth consultations with the most representative organizations, in order to find, as far as possible, shared solutions.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3101, para. 857.)

1543. The Committee stressed the importance that it attaches to holding consultations with the most representative workers' and employers' organizations with sufficient advance notice and, in particular, to ensuring that the drafts of laws or decrees are submitted to these organizations for consultation well before their adoption by the Government as a prerequisite for consideration by Parliament.

```
(See 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 445.)
```

1544. It is essential that the introduction of draft legislation affecting collective bargaining or conditions of employment should be preceded by full and detailed consultations with the appropriate organizations of workers and employers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1075; 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 763; 348th Report, Case No. 2492, para. 992, Case No. 2254, para. 1313; 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1667; 368th Report, Case No. 2980, para. 320; 373rd Report, Case No. 3039, para. 264; 374th Report, Case No. 3057, para. 203, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 661; 376th Report, Case No. 2970, para. 466; and 377th Report, Case No. 3118, para. 184.)

1545. Tripartite consultations before a Government submits a draft to the legislative assembly or establishes a labour social or economic policy should be full, frank and detailed.

(See 367th Report, Case No. 2930, para. 732; and 368th Report, Cases No. 2917 and 2968, para. 1021.)

1546. The Committee highlighted the importance of social dialogue in the process of adopting legislation, which may have an effect on workers' rights, including those intended to alleviate a serious crisis situation.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3072, para. 916.)

1547. The process of consultation on legislation and minimum wages helps to give laws, programmes and measures adopted or applied by public authorities a firmer justification and helps to ensure that they are well respected and successfully applied. The Government should seek general consensus as much as possible, given that employers' and workers' organizations should be able to share in the responsibility of securing the well-being and prosperity of the community as a whole. This is particularly important given the growing complexity of the problems faced by societies. No public authority can claim to have all the answers, nor assume that its proposals will naturally achieve all of their objectives.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1076; 349th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 665, Case No. 2575, para. 955; 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1666; 367th Report, Case No. 2930, para. 732; 368th Report, Case No. 2980, para. 320, Case No. 2918, para. 356, Cases Nos. 2917 and 2968, para. 1021; 371st Report, Case No. 2947, para. 445; 375th Report, Case No. 3054, para. 327; and 377th Report, Case No. 3118, para. 184.)

1548. The most representative employers' and workers' organizations, and in particular the confederations, should be consulted at length, on matters of mutual interest, including everything relating to the preparation and application of legislation concerning matters relating to them and to the fixing of minimum wages; this would contribute to legislation, programmes and measures that the public authorities have to adopt or apply being more solidly founded and to greater compliance and better implementation.

(See 330th Report, Case No. 2067, para. 175)

1549. The Committee emphasized that tripartite consultation should take place before the Government submits a draft to the Legislative Assembly or establishes a labour, social or economic policy and that consultation should form part of the elements required for the Government to take its decision.

(See 334th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1066)

1550. The Committee considers that forum for social dialogue shall be established in accordance with the principles of the ILO, having a tripartite composition which duly respects the representativeness of workers' and employers' organizations.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para 1663)

1551. While the refusal to permit or encourage the participation of trade union organizations in the preparation of new legislation or regulations affecting their interests does not necessarily constitute an infringement of trade union rights, the principle of consultation and cooperation between public authorities and employers' and workers' organizations at the industrial and national levels is one to which importance should be attached. In this connection, the Committee has drawn attention to the provisions of the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113).

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1077.)

Consultation and employment flexibility

1552. A contraction of the public sector and/or greater employment flexibility (for example, the generalization of short-term contracts) do not in themselves constitute violations of freedom of association. However, there is no doubt that these changes have significant consequences in the social and trade union spheres, particularly in view of the increased job insecurity to which they can give rise. Employers' and workers' organizations should therefore be consulted as to the scope and form of the measures adopted by the authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1078.)

Consultation and processes of restructuring, rationalization and staff reduction

1553. The Committee can examine allegations concerning economic rationalization programmes and restructuring processes, whether or not they imply redundancies or the transfer of enterprises or services from the public to the private sector, only in so far as they might have given rise to acts of discrimination or interference against trade unions. In any case, the Committee can only regret that in the rationalization and staff-reduction process, the government did not consult or try to reach an agreement with the trade union organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1079; 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 365, Case No. 2339, para. 874, Cases Nos. 2177 and 2183, para. 996; 342nd Report, Case No. 2356, para. 348; 350th Report, Case No. 2384, para. 448, Case No. 2583, para. 617, Case No. 2586, para. 839; 351st Report, Case No. 2613, para. 1088; 354th Report, Case No. 2595, para. 574; 355th Report, Case No. 2644, para. 550; 357th Report, Case No. 2719, para. 334, Case No. 2731, para. 371; 359th Report, Case No. 2760, para. 1166; 363rd Report, Case No. 2854, para. 1038; 364th Report, Case No. 2823, para. 477; 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 991; 370th Report, Case No. 2950, para. 329, Case No. 2926, para. 384; 374th Report, Case No. 3077, para. 433; 376th Report, Case No. 3099, para. 444, Case No. 3086, para. 783, Case No. 3067, para. 948; and 378th Report, Case No. 2824, para. 159.)

1554. Rationalization and staff reduction processes should involve consultations or attempts to reach agreement with the trade union organizations, instead of giving preference to proceeding by decree and ministerial decision.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1080; 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 365, Case No. 2339, para. 874; 367th Report, Case No. 2895, para. 528; and 377th Report, Case No. 3118, para. 182.)

1555. The Committee has emphasized that it is important that governments consult with trade union organizations to discuss the consequences of restructuring programmes on the employment and working conditions of employees.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1081; 340th Report, Cases Nos. 2177 and 2183, para. 996; 350th Report, Case No. 2586, para. 839; 351st Report, Case No. 2613, para. 1088; 357th Report, Case No. 2731, para. 371, Case No. 2736, para. 1263; 358th Report, Case No. 2644, para. 379; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 576, Case No. 2820, para. 991; 370th Report, Case No. 2926, para. 389; and 376th Report, Case No. 3051, para. 699.)

1556. The Committee stressed the importance of engaging into full and frank consultation with trade unions when elaborating restructuring plans, since they have a fundamental role to play in ensuring that programmes of this nature have the least possible negative impact on workers.

(See 364th Report, Case No. 2844, para. 647.)

1557. The Committee stressed the importance of consulting with trade unions when elaborating restructuring programmes, since they have a fundamental role to play in ensuring that programmes of this nature have the least possible effect on workers.

(See 350th Report, Case No. 2583, para. 617.)

1558. In a case concerning staff restructuring, the Committee stressed the importance of maintaining sound labour relations that would ensure that workers are not deprived of their fundamental rights and means of furthering and defending interests.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3051, para. 690.)

1559. The Committee requests that, in the cases where new staff reduction programmes are undertaken, negotiations take place between the enterprise concerned and the trade union organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1082; 359th Report, Case No. 2760, para. 1166; 360th Report, Case No. 2775, para. 740; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1380; 363rd Report, Case No. 2789, para. 1121; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 576, Case No. 2815, para. 1277; and 376th Report, Case No. 3027, para. 292.)

1560. When voluntary retirement programmes are carried out, the trade union organizations in the sector should be consulted.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1083; 362nd Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1380; 365th Report, Case No. 2815, para. 1277; and 367th Report, Case No. 2703, para. 89.)

1561. With regard to the allegation concerning measures taken to induce workers in the public sector to give up their posts in the context of redundancy programmes in return for financial compensation, the Committee regretted that in the course of the staff reduction process there was no consultation and no attempt to come to an agreement with the trade union organizations.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1084.)

1562. Although it is not within the Committee's competence to comment on economic measures which a government may take in difficult times or on the recommendations of the International Monetary Fund, the Committee nevertheless notes that decisions involving the dismissal of large numbers of workers should be discussed extensively with the trade union organizations concerned with a view to planning the occupational future of these workers in the light of the country's opportunities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1085; 362nd Report, Case No. 2361, para. 1091; and 365th Report, Case No. 2820, para. 991.)

Consultation concerning the bargaining process

1563. A fair and reasonable compromise should be sought between the need for financial sustainability, on the one hand, and the need to preserve as far as possible the autonomy of the bargaining parties, on the other. The Committee considers that as much as possible, governments should seek general consensus regarding labour, social and economic policies adopted in the context of economic restraint given that social partners should be able to share in the responsibility of securing the well-being and prosperity of the community as a whole.

(See 377th Report, Case No. 3118, para. 184)

1564. The Committee has stated, in the same way as the Committee of Experts, that where a government seeks to alter bargaining structures in which it acts actually or indirectly as employer, it is particularly important to follow an adequate consultation process, whereby all objectives perceived as being in the overall national interest can be discussed by all parties concerned. Such consultations imply that they be undertaken in good faith and that both partners have all the information necessary to make an informed decision.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1086; 343rd Report, Case No. 2267, para. 157; and 350th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1670.)

1565. Any limitation on collective bargaining by the authorities should be preceded by consultations with employers' and workers' organizations, in order to seek the agreement of both.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2434, para. 795.)

1566. In view of the implications for the standard of living of the workers of the fixing of wages by the government, by-passing the collective bargaining process, and of the government's wage policy in general, the Committee has pointed out the importance it attaches to the effective promotion of consultation and cooperation between public authorities and workers' organizations in this respect, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113), for the purpose of considering jointly matters of mutual concern with a view to arriving, to the fullest possible extent, at agreed solutions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1087; 349th Report, Case No. 2575, para. 955; and 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 572.)

1567. The Committee requested a government to take the necessary measures to ensure that trade unions in the public enterprises are consulted when setting budget ceilings for public enterprises with regard to wages, so that the trade unions concerned may assess the situation, express their views and positions and discuss with the authorities the considerations of general interest that these authorities may deem it necessary to highlight.

(See 355th Report, Cases Nos. 2639/2934, para. 1013; and 374th Report, Cases Nos. 2941 and 3026, para. 658.)

Consultation with employers' organizations

1568. In a particular case the Committee considered that all economic, social or foreign exchange policies that affect the interests of employers should be the subject of consultations with employers' organizations, and any concrete decision made by the authorities concerning these matters could be based on the intent to discriminate against specific employers belonging to a determined organization.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2254, para. 1308.)

Consultations on the redistribution of the assets of organizations which have been dissolved

1569. In a case relating to the redistribution of the assets of trade unions which had been dissolved, the Committee recalled that it is for the Government and the trade unions to cooperate to seek an arrangement consistent with the principles of freedom of association and acceptable to the parties concerned so that the trade unions are able to carry out their activities in full independence and on an equal footing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1088.)

Participation of organizations of workers and employers in various bodies and procedures

17

1570. The Committee considered that it was not called upon to express an opinion as to the right of a particular organization to be invited to take part in joint or consultative bodies unless its exclusion constituted a clear case of discrimination affecting the principle of freedom of association. This is a matter to be determined by the Committee in the light of the facts of each given case.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1089; and 359th Report, Case No. 2756, para. 721.)

1571. It is only in a framework which fully respects the capacity of workers' organizations to act in total independence that the Government will be in a position to determine, with these organizations, objective and transparent criteria for nominating workers' representatives to national and international tripartite bodies and to the International Labour Conference.

(See 363rd Report, Case No. 2450, para. 148.)

1572. Any decision concerning the participation of workers' organizations in a tripartite body should be taken in full consultation with all the trade unions whose representativity has been objectively proved.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1090; 342nd Report, Case No. 2450, para. 431; 349th Report, Case No. 2575, para. 955 and 956, and 359th Report, Case No. 2756, para. 724.)

1573. The fact that a trade union organization is debarred from membership of joint committees does not necessarily imply infringement of the trade union rights of that organization. But for there to be no infringement, two conditions must be met: first, that the reason for which a union is debarred from participation in a joint committee must lie in its non-representative character, determined by objective criteria; second, that in spite of such non-participation, the other rights which it enjoys and the activities it can undertake in other fields must enable it effectively to further and defend the interests of its members within the meaning of Article 10 of Convention No. 87.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1091; 349th Report, Case No. 2529, para. 491; and 359th Report, Case No. 2756, para. 721.)

1574. If the circumstances are such that an organization considered to be the most representative of workers or of employers in a country were prevented from taking part in joint and tripartite inter-occupational bodies for the economic sectors or branches of which it is representative, the Committee would consider that the principles of freedom of association had been infringed.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1092.)

1575. When setting up joint committees dealing with matters affecting the interests of workers, governments should make appropriate provision for the representation of different sections of the trade union movement having a substantial interest in the questions at issue.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1093.)

1576. In determining whether an organization is representative for the purpose of participation in the membership of arbitration tribunals, it is important that the State should not intervene other than to give formal recognition to situations of fact, and it is indispensable that any decision should be based on objective criteria laid down in advance by an independent body.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1095.)

1577. The establishment of a tripartite group to examine the question of wages and the anti-inflationary measures that should be taken is in accordance with the provision in Recommendation No. 113 which provides that consultation and cooperation should be promoted between public authorities and employers' and workers' organizations with the general objective of achieving mutual understanding and good relations between them with a view to developing the economy as a whole or individual branches thereof, improving conditions of work and raising standards of living. In particular, the authorities should seek the views, advice and assistance of employers' and workers' organizations in an appropriate manner in respect of such matters as the preparation and implementation of laws and regulations affecting their interests.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1096.)

1578. While the principles of freedom of association do not require that there be an absolute proportional representation (which might prove impossible, and indeed is not advisable due to the risks of excessive representational fragmentation), the authorities should at the very least make some allowance to recognize the plurality of trade unions, reflect the choice of workers and demonstrate in practice that fair and reasonable efforts are made to treat all representative workers' organizations on an equal footing.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1097.)

Facilities for workers' representatives

General principles

1579. Convention No. 135 calls on ratifying member States to supply such facilities in the undertaking as may be appropriate in order to enable workers' representatives to carry out their functions promptly and efficiently, and in such a manner as not to impair the efficient operation of the undertaking concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1098; 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1311; 357th Report, Case No. 2748, para. 1057; 359th Report, Case No. 2752, para. 921; 363rd Report, Case No. 2752, para. 921; and 371st Report, Case No. 2749, para. 514.)

1580. The Committee has underlined the need to strike a balance between two elements: (i) facilities in the undertaking should be such as to enable trade unions to carry out their functions promptly and efficiently and (ii) the granting of such facilities should not impair the efficient operation of the undertaking.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1172; and 357th Report, Case No. 2744, para. 1153.)

1581. The Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143) provides that the management of the undertaking should make available to workers' representatives such material facilities and information as may be necessary for the exercise of their functions.

(See 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1165.)

1582. The Workers' Representatives Convention, 1971 (No. 135), and the Collective Bargaining Convention, 1981 (No. 154), contain explicit provisions guaranteeing that, where there exist in the same undertaking both trade union representatives and elected representatives, appropriate measures are to be taken to ensure that the existence of elected representatives in an enterprise is not used to undermine the position of the trade unions concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1099.)

1583. Making the possibility of having enterprise-level trade union representation subordinate to reaching agreement with an employer on the content of a collective agreement could restrict trade union organizations' freedom of action and freedom of collective bargaining, enshrined respectively in Article 3 of Convention No. 87 and Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See 371st Report, Case No. 2953, para. 619.)

1584. The Labour Relations (Public Service) Convention, 1978 (No. 151) lays down in Article 6 that such facilities shall be afforded to the representatives of recognized public employees' organizations as may be appropriate in order to enable them to carry out their functions promptly and efficiently, both during and outside their hours of work, and that the granting of such facilities shall not impair the efficient operation of the administration or service concerned.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2499, para. 198; 349th Report, Case No. 2532, para. 1169; 351st Report, Case No. 2532, para. 160; 355th Report, Case No. 2666, para. 264, Case No. 2617, para. 501; 358th Report, Case No. 2661, para. 794; 365th Report, Case No. 2861, para. 213; and 370th Report, Case No. 2932, para. 399.)

Trade union meetings

1585. The right to hold meetings is essential for workers' organizations to be able to pursue their activities and it is for employers and workers' organizations to agree on the modalities for exercising this right.

(See 348th Report, Case No. 2499, para. 198; 349th Report, Case No. 2532, para. 1169; and 351st Report, Case No. 2532, para. 160.)

Collection of dues

1586. The Committee has drawn attention to the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), concerning protection and facilities to be afforded to workers' representatives in the undertaking, which provides that, in the absence of other arrangements for the collection of trade union dues, workers' representatives authorized to do so by the trade union should be permitted to collect such dues regularly on the premises of the undertaking.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1100.)

Access to the management

1587. In cases of the refusal by the management of an enterprise to establish communications with the representatives of the trade union, the Committee pointed out that Paragraph 13 of the Workers' Representatives Recommendation (No. 143) provides that workers' representatives should be granted without undue delay access to the management of the undertaking and to management representatives empowered to take decisions, as may be necessary for the proper exercise of their functions.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1101; 359th Report, Case No. 2752, para. 921; and 378th Report, Case No. 3111, para. 708.)

1588. Access to employers' facilities should not be exercised to the detriment of the efficient functioning of the enterprise concerned.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2816, para. 1221; and 367th Report, Case No. 2816, para. 998 and Case No. 2910, para. 1072.)

Access to the workplace

1589. The Committee has drawn the attention of governments to the principle that workers' representatives should enjoy such facilities as may be necessary for the proper exercise of their functions, including access to workplaces.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1102; 350th Report, Case No. 2602, para. 694; 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1161; 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 228; 362nd Report, Case No. 2816, para. 1221; and 367th Report, Case No. 2816, para. 998.)

1590. Governments should guarantee the access of trade union representatives to workplaces, with due respect for the rights of property and management, so that trade unions can communicate with workers in order to apprise them of the potential advantages of unionization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1103; 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1311; 354th Report, Case No. 2626, para. 360; 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1161; 357th Report, Case No. 2719, para. 335; 374th Report, Case No. 2946, para. 242; 376th Report, Case No. 3086, para. 785; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 491.)

1591. Workers' representatives should be granted access to all workplaces in the undertaking where such access is necessary to enable them to carry out their representation function.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1104; 357th Report, Case No. 2698, para. 228, , Case No. 2748, para. 1066, Case No. 2744, para. 1155; 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 675; 364th Report, Case No. 2901, para. 724; 371st Report, Case No. 2749, para. 514; and 377th Report, Case No. 3017, para. 263 and Case No. 3140, para. 395.)

1592. The Committee considers that, when a meeting with trade union members is held, their union representatives should be granted access to the workplace to participate in such a meeting so as to enable them to carry out their representation function.

(See 357th Report, Case No. 2678, para. 654.)

1593. Trade union representatives who are not employed in the undertaking but whose trade union has members employed therein should be granted access to the undertaking. The granting of such facilities should not impair the efficient operation of the undertaking concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1105; 355th Report, Case No. 2642, para. 1161; 357th Report, Case No. 2744, para. 1155; 359th Report, Case No. 2754, para. 675; 364th Report, Case No. 2901, para. 724; 371st Report, Case No. 2749, para. 514; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 491.)

1594. For the right to organize to be meaningful, the relevant workers' organizations should be able to further and defend the interests of their members, by enjoying such facilities as may be necessary for the proper exercise of their functions as workers' representatives, including access to the workplace of trade union members.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1106; 344th Report, Case No. 2470, para. 381; 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1311; 357th Report, Case No. 2169, para. 65, Case No. 2748, para. 1057; 363rd Report, Case No. 2850, para. 875; 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 528; 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 923; and 378th Report, Case No. 3171, para. 491.)

1595. The accompaniment by security guards when accessing an enterprise may be considered a necessary measure in certain circumstances. Considering, however, that such a procedure should not result in any interference in internal trade union affairs or in the capacity of trade union representatives to communicate freely with workers in order to apprise them of the potential advantages of unionization, steps should be taken by the Government to ensure that, once in the workplace, union officials have the necessary space to communicate freely with workers without interference from the employer and without the presence of the employer or the security guards.

(See 344th Report, Case No. 2470, para. 381.)

1596. The denial of access by trade union leaders to the premises of enterprises on the grounds that a list of dispute grievances had been presented constitutes a serious violation of the right of organizations to carry out their activities freely, which includes the presentation of grievances even by a trade union other than that which concluded the collective agreement in force.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1107.)

1597. The necessary measures should be taken to ensure that access is granted freely to farmworkers, domestic workers and workers in the mining industry by trade unions and their officials for the purpose of carrying out normal union activities although on the premises of employers.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1108.)

1598. Access to the workplace should not of course be exercised to the detriment of the efficient functioning of the administration or public institutions concerned. Therefore, the workers' organizations concerned and the employer should strive to reach agreements so that access to workplaces, during and outside working hours, should be granted to workers' organizations without impairing the efficient functioning of the administration or the public institution concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1109; 371st Report, Case No. 2925, para. 923; and 374th Report, Case No. 2946, para. 242.)

1599. If necessary, workers' organizations and employers could reach agreements so that access to workplaces, during and outside working hours, can be granted to workers' organizations without impairing the functioning of the establishment or service.

(See 351st Report, Case No. 2618, para. 1311.)

Use of the undertaking's facilities

1600. Workers' representatives should enjoy such facilities as may be necessary for the proper exercise of their functions, including the use of email.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2816, para. 1221; and 367th Report, Case No. 2816, para. 998.)

1601. Although the modalities for the use of email in the workplace by trade unions should be a matter for negotiation between the parties, in the event that the union organization is able to use its own email account from the workplace to contact its members, the fact that trade union communications must be sent using the institutional email address of the organization, and not the firm's email address, does not appear to limit the principles of freedom of association.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3087, para. 319.)

1602. There should be no unauthorized use of official vehicles in the context of the exercise of freedom of association.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3055, para. 821.)

Free time accorded to workers' representatives

1603. The Committee recalled that, while account should be taken of the characteristics of the industrial relations system of the country, and while the granting of such facilities should not impair the efficient operation of the undertaking concerned, Paragraph 10, subparagraph 1, of the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), provides that workers' representatives in the undertaking should be afforded the necessary time off from work, without loss of pay or social and fringe benefits, for carrying out their representation functions. Subparagraph 2 of Paragraph 10 also specifies that, while workers' representatives may be required to obtain permission from the management before taking time off, such permission should not be unreasonably withheld.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1110; 342nd Report, Case No. 2356, para. 353; 346th Report, Case No. 2469, para. 419; 348th Report, Case No. 2450, para. 553; 356th Report, Case No. 2614, para. 224; 357th Report, Case No. 2748, para. 1066; 359th Report, Case No. 2722, para. 19; 365th Report, Case No. 2829, para. 579; 370th Report, Case No. 2969, para. 528; and 373rd Report, Case No. 3002, para. 76.)

1604. Paragraph 10(3) of the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), states that: "Reasonable limits may be set on the amount of time off which is granted to workers' representatives."

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2863, para. 353.)

1605. The affording of facilities to representatives of public employees, including the granting of time off, has as its corollary ensuring the "efficient operation of the administration or service concerned". This corollary means that there can be checks on requests for time off for absences during hours of work by the competent authorities solely responsible for the "efficient operation" of their services.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1111; 346th Report, Case No. 2469, para. 419; and 354th Report, Case No. 2382, para. 34.)

1606. A provision which establishes that persons must have been registered for five years in order to obtain trade union leave and apparently allows the authorities excessive discretion when deciding whether to grant such leave raises problems of conformity with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 376th Report, Case No. 3101, para. 857.)

1607. It may be more appropriate to leave the issue of whether all trade union activity by full-time union officials will be treated as unpaid leave to consultations between the parties concerned.

(See 340th Report, Case No. 1865, para. 751.)

1608. Paragraph 15(1) and (2) of the Workers' Representatives Recommendation No. 143 states that workers' representatives acting on behalf of a trade union should be authorized to post trade union notices on the premises of the undertaking in a place or places agreed on with the management and to which the workers have easy access, and that management should permit workers' representatives acting on behalf of a trade union to distribute news sheets, pamphlets, publications and other documents of the union among the workers of the undertaking. Also, Paragraph 15(3) states that the notices and documents referred to in this paragraph should relate to normal trade union activities and their posting and distribution should not prejudice the orderly operation and tidiness of the undertaking.

(See 365th Report, Case No. 2863, para. 355.)

Facilities on plantations

1609. The Committee has recognized that plantations are private property on which the workers not only work but also live. It is therefore only by having access to plantations that trade union officials can carry out normal trade union activities among the workers. For this reason, it is of special importance that the entry of trade union officials into plantations for the purpose of carrying out lawful trade union activities should be readily permitted, provided that there is no interference with the carrying on of the work during working hours and subject to any appropriate precautions being taken for the protection of the property. In this connection, the Committee has also drawn attention to the resolution adopted by the Plantations Committee at its First Session in 1950, which provides that employers should remove existing hindrances, if any, in the way of the organization of free, independent and democratically controlled trade unions by plantation workers and they should provide such unions with facilities for the conduct of their normal activities, including free office accommodation, freedom to hold meetings and freedom of entry.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1112.)

Conflicts within the trade union movement

19

1610. A matter involving no dispute between the government and the trade unions, but which involves a conflict within the trade union movement itself, is the sole responsibility of the parties themselves.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1113; 340th Report, Case No. 2429, para. 1193, Case No. 2351, para. 1345; 370th Report, Case No. 2951, para. 188; 373rd Report, Case No. 3041, para. 99; and 378th Report, Case No. 3095, para. 805.)

1611. Conflicts within a trade union should be resolved by its members.

(See 362nd Report, Case No. 2842, para. 414.)

1612. The Government has an obligation to adopt a completely neutral attitude in disputes within the trade union movement.

(See 354th Report, Case No. 2382, para. 29.)

1613. The Committee is not competent to make recommendations on internal dissentions within a trade union organization, so long as the government does not intervene in a manner which might affect the exercise of trade union rights and the normal functioning of an organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1114; 340th Report, Case No. 2406, para. 259, Case No. 2439, para. 370; 344th Report, Case No.2476, para. 455; 354th Report, Case No.2476, para. 282; 355th Report, Case No. 2705, para. 749; 356th Report, Case No. 2695, para. 1114; 367th Report, Case No. 2764, para. 56; 371st Report, Case No. 3033, para. 764, Case No. 3037, para. 808; and 378th Report, Case No. 3166, para. 599.)

1614. While the Committee has no competence to examine the merits of disputes within the various tendencies of a trade union movement, a complaint against another organization, if couched in sufficiently precise terms to be capable of examination on its merits, may bring the government of the country concerned into question – for example, if the acts of the organization complained against are wrongfully supported by the government or are of a nature which the government is under a duty to prevent by virtue of its having ratified an international labour Convention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1115.)

1615. In cases of internal dissentions within a trade union organization, the Committee has pointed out that judicial intervention would permit a clarification of the situation from the legal point of view for the purpose of settling the question of the leadership and representation of the organization concerned.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1116; 340th Report, Case No. 2439, para. 370; 344th Report, Case No.2476, para. 455; 354th Report, Case No.2476, para. 282; 367th Report, Case No. 2764, para. 56; 373rd Report, Case No. 3041, para. 106; and 375th Report, Case No. 3105, para. 524.)

1616. In the case of internal dissention within one and the same trade union federation, by virtue of Article 3 of Convention No. 87, the only obligation of the government is to refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of the workers' and employers' organizations to draw up their constitutions and rules, to elect their representatives in full freedom, to organize their administration and activities and to formulate their programmes, and to refrain from any interference which would impede the lawful exercise of that right.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1117; 362nd Report, Case No. 2842, para. 414, Case No. 2843, para. 1496; 367th Report, Case No. 2764, para. 56, Case No. 2913, para. 810; 370th Report, Case No. 2951, para. 188; 373rd Report, Case No. 3041, para. 99; and 375th Report, Case No. 3085, para. 96, Case No. 3105, para. 524.)

1617. Article 2 of Convention No. 98 is designed to protect workers' organizations against employers' organizations or their agents or members and not against other workers' organizations or the agents or members thereof. Inter-union rivalry is outside the scope of the Convention.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1118; and 362nd Report, Case No. 2843, para. 1496.)

1618. With regard to the existence of two executive committees within the trade union, one of which is allegedly manipulated by the employer, the Committee recalled the need to lay down explicitly in legislation remedies and penalties for acts of anti-union discrimination and acts of interference by employers in workers' organizations in order to ensure the effective application of Article 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1119.)

1619. In cases of internal dissention, the Committee has invited the government to persevere with its efforts, in consultation with the organizations concerned, to put in place as soon as possible impartial procedures to enable the workers concerned freely to choose their representatives.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1120; and 371st Report, Case No. 3037, para. 809.)

1620. When two executive committees each proclaim themselves to be the legitimate one, the dispute should be settled by the judicial authority or an independent arbitrator, and not by the administrative authority.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1121; and 371st Report, Case No. 2713, para. 876.)

1621. When internal disputes arise in a trade union organization they should be resolved by the persons concerned (for example, by a vote), by appointing an independent mediator with the agreement of the parties concerned, or by intervention of the judicial authorities.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1122; 354th Report, Case No.2476, para. 286; 355th Report, Case No. 2705, para. 749; 356th Report, Case No. 2695, para. 1114; 367th Report, Case No. 2831, para. 99, Case No. 2913, para. 809; and 375th Report, Case No. 3085, para. 96.)

1622. Conflicts within a trade union lie outside the competence of the Committee and should be resolved by the parties themselves or by recourse to the judicial authority or an independent arbitrator.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1123; 340th Report, Case No. 2351, para. 1345; and 357th Report, Case No. 2713, para. 1099.)

1623. In cases of internal conflict, the Committee has pointed out that judicial intervention would permit a clarification of the situation from the legal point of view for the purpose of settling questions concerning the management and representation of the trade union federation concerned. Another possible means of settlement would be to appoint an independent arbitrator to be agreed on by the parties concerned, to seek a joint solution to existing problems and, if necessary, to hold new elections. In either case, the government should recognize the leaders designated as the legitimate representatives of the organization.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1124; 354th Report, Case No. 2382, para. 30; 357th Report, Case No. 2713, para. 1099; 370th Report, Case No. 2951, para. 192; 371st Report, Case No. 3037, para. 809; 375th Report, Case No. 3105, para. 526; and 376th Report, Case No. 3037, para. 135.)

1624. Violence resulting from inter-union rivalry might constitute an attempt to impede the free exercise of trade union rights. If this were the case and if the acts in question were sufficiently serious, it appears that the intervention of the authorities, in particular the police, would be called for in order to provide adequate protection of those rights. The question of infringement of trade union rights by the government would only arise to the extent that it may have acted improperly with regard to the alleged violence.

(See the 2006 Digest, para. 1125; and 342nd Report, Case No. 2441, para. 627

Annex I

Special procedures for the examination in the International Labour Organization of complaints alleging violations of freedom of association

The outline given below of the current procedure for the examination of complaints alleging infringements of trade union rights is based on the provisions adopted by common consent by the Governing Body of the International Labour Office and the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations in January and February 1950, and also on the decisions taken by the Governing Body at its 117th Session (November 1951), 123rd Session (November 1953), 132nd Session (June 1956), 140th Session (November 1958), 144th Session (March 1960), 175th Session (May 1969), 184th Session (November 1971), 202nd Session (March 1977), 209th Session (May-June 1979) and 283rd Session (March 2002) with respect to the internal procedure for the preliminary examination of complaints, and lastly on certain decisions adopted by the Committee on Freedom of Association itself¹.

Background

1. In January 1950 the Governing Body, following negotiations with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, set up a Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association, composed of independent persons, and defined the terms of reference of the Commission and the general lines of its procedure. It also decided to communicate to the Economic and Social Council a certain number of suggestions with a view to formulating a procedure for making the services of the Commission available to the United Nations.

1. Most of the procedural rules referred to in this Annex are contained under the heading "procedural questions" in the following documents: First Committee Report, paras. 6 to 32, in Sixth Report of the International Labour Organisation to the United Nations (Geneva, ILO, 1952), Appendix V; the 6th Report in Seventh Report of the International Labour Organisation to the United Nations (Geneva, ILO, 1953), Appendix V, paras. 14 to 21; the 9th Report in Eighth Report of the International Labour Organisation to the United Nations (Geneva, ILO, 1954), Appendix II, paras. 2 to 40; the 29th and 43rd Reports in the *Official Bulletin*, Vol. XLIII, 1960, No. 3; the 111th Report, *ibid.*, Vol. LII, 1969 No. 4, paras. 7 to 20; the 127th Report, *ibid.*, Vol. LV, 1972, Supplement, paras. 9 to 28; the 164th Report, *ibid.*, Vol. LX, 1977, No. 2, paras. 19 to 28; the 193rd Report, *ibid.*, Vol. LXII, 1979, No. 1; and the 327th Report, *ibid.*, Vol. LXXXV, 2002, paras. 17 to 26.

2. The Economic and Social Council, at its Tenth Session, on 17 February 1950, noted the decision of the Governing Body and adopted a resolution in which it formally approved this decision, considering that it corresponded to the intent of the Council's resolution of 2 August 1949 and that it was likely to prove a most effective way of safeguarding trade union rights. It decided to accept, on behalf of the United Nations, the services of the ILO and the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission and laid down a procedure, which was supplemented in 1953.

Complaints received by the United Nations

3. All allegations regarding infringements of trade union rights received by the United Nations from governments or trade union or employers' organizations against ILO member States will be forwarded by the Economic and Social Council to the Governing Body of the International Labour Office, which will consider the question of their referral to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission.

4. Similar allegations received by the United Nations regarding any Member of the United Nations which is not a Member of the ILO will be transmitted to the Commission through the Governing Body of the ILO when the Secretary-General of the United Nations, acting on behalf of the Economic and Social Council, has received the consent of the government concerned, and if the Economic and Social Council considers these allegations suitable for transmission. If the government's consent is not forthcoming, the Economic and Social Council will give consideration to the position created by such refusal, with a view to taking any appropriate alternative action calculated to safeguard the rights relating to freedom of association involved in the case. If the Governing Body has before it allegations regarding infringements of trade union rights that are brought against a Member of the United Nations which is not a Member of the ILO, it will refer such allegations in the first instance to the Economic and Social Council.

Bodies competent to examine complaints

5. In accordance with a decision originally taken by the Governing Body, complaints against member States of the ILO were submitted in the first instance to the Officers of the Governing Body for preliminary examination. Following discussions at its 116th and 117th Sessions, the Governing Body decided to set up a Committee on Freedom of Association to carry out this preliminary examination.

6. At the present time, therefore, there are three bodies which are competent to hear complaints alleging infringements of trade union rights that are lodged with the ILO, viz. the Committee on Freedom of Association set up by the Governing Body, the Governing Body itself, and the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association.

Composition and functioning of the Committee on Freedom of Association

7. This body is a Governing Body organ reflecting the ILO's own tripartite character. Since its creation in 1951, it has been composed of nine regular members representing in equal proportion the Government, Employer and Worker groups of the Governing Body; each member participates in a personal capacity. Nine substitute members, also appointed by the Governing Body, were originally called upon to participate in the meetings only if, for one reason or another, regular members were not present, so as to maintain the initial composition.

8. The present practice adopted by the Committee in February 1958 and specified in March 2002 gives substitute members the right to participate in the work of the Committee, whether or not all the regular members are present. They have therefore acquired the status of deputy members and must respect the same rules as regular members.

9. At its most recent examination of the procedure in March 2002, the Committee expressed the hope that, in view of the rule that all the members are appointed in their individual capacity, the nominations of Government members would be made in a personal capacity so as to ensure a relative permanence of government representation.

10. No representative or national of the State against which a complaint has been made, or person occupying an official position in the national organization of employers or workers which has made the complaint, may participate in the Committee's deliberations or even be present during the hearing of the complaint in question. Similarly, the documents concerning the case are not supplied to them.

11. The Committee always endeavours to reach unanimous decisions.

Mandate and responsibility of the Committee

12. By virtue of its Constitution, the ILO was established in particular to improve working conditions and to promote freedom of association in the various countries. Consequently, the matters dealt with by the Organization in this connection no longer fall within the exclusive sphere of States and the action taken by the Organization for the purpose cannot be considered to be interference in internal affairs, since it falls within the terms of reference that the ILO has received from its Members with a view to attaining the aims assigned to it².

2. See Freedom of Association: Digest of decisions and principles of the Freedom of Association Committee of the Governing Body of the ILO, fifth (revised) edition, 2006, para. 2 **13.** The function of the International Labour Organization in regard to freedom of association and the protection of the individual is to contribute to the effectiveness of the general principles of freedom of association, as one of the primary safeguards of peace and social justice³. Its function is to secure and promote the right of association of workers and employers. It does not level charges at, or condemn, governments. In fulfilling its task the Committee takes the utmost care, through the procedures it has developed over many years, to avoid dealing with matters which do not fall within its specific competence.

14. The mandate of the Committee consists in determining whether any given legislation or practice complies with the principles of freedom of association and collective bargaining laid down in the relevant Conventions⁴.

15. It is within the mandate of the Committee to examine whether, and to what extent, satisfactory evidence is presented to support allegations; this appreciation goes to the merits of the case and cannot support a finding of irreceivability⁵.

16. With a view to avoiding the possibility of misunderstanding or misinterpretation, the Committee considers it necessary to make it clear that its task is limited to examining the allegations submitted to it. Its function is not to formulate general conclusions concerning the trade union situation in particular countries on the basis of vague general statements, but simply to evaluate specific allegations.

17. The usual practice of the Committee has been not to make any distinction between allegations levelled against governments and those levelled against persons accused of infringing freedom of association, but to consider whether or not, in each particular case, a government has ensured within its territory the free exercise of trade union rights.

18. The Committee (after a preliminary examination, and taking account of any observations made by the governments concerned, if received within a reasonable period of time) reports to the Governing Body that a case does not call for further examination if it finds, for example, that the alleged facts, if proved, would not constitute an infringement of the exercise of trade union rights, or that the allegations made are so purely political in character that it is undesirable to pursue the matter further, or that the allegations made are too vague to permit a consideration of the case on its merits, or that the complainant has not offered sufficient evidence to justify reference of the matter to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission.

19. The Committee may recommend that the Governing Body draw the attention of the governments concerned to the anomalies which it has observed and invite them to take appropriate measures to remedy the situation.

- 3. See 2006 Digest, para. 1.
- 4. See 2006 Digest, para. 6.
- 5. See 2006 *Digest*, para. 9.

The Committee's competence to examine complaints

20. The Committee has considered that it is not within its competence to reach a decision on violations of ILO Conventions on working conditions since such allegations do not concern freedom of association.

21. The Committee has recalled that questions concerning social security legislation fall outside its competence.

22. The questions raised related to landownership and tenure governed by specific national legislation have nothing to do with the problems of the exercise of trade union rights.

23. It is not within the Committee's terms of reference to give an opinion on the type or characteristics – including the degree of legislative regulation – of the industrial relations system in any particular country⁶.

24. The Committee always takes account of national circumstances, such as the history of labour relations and the social and economic context, but the freedom of association principles apply uniformly and consistently among countries⁷.

25. Where the government concerned considers that the questions raised are purely political in character, the Committee has decided that, even though allegations may be political in origin or present certain political aspects, they should be examined in substance if they raise questions directly concerning the exercise of trade union rights.

26. The question of whether issues raised in a complaint concern penal law or the exercise of trade union rights cannot be decided unilaterally by the government against which a complaint is made. It is for the Committee to rule on the matter after examining all the available information⁸.

27. When it has had to deal with precise and detailed allegations regarding draft legislation, the Committee it has taken the view that the fact that such allegations relate to a text that does not have the force of law should not in itself prevent it from expressing its opinion on the merits of the allegations made. It has considered it desirable that, in such cases, the government and the complainant should be made aware of the Committee's point of view with regard to the proposed bill before it is enacted, since it is open to the government, on whose initiative such a matter depends, to make any amendments thereto.

28. 28 Where national legislation provides for appeal procedures before the courts or independent tribunals, and these procedures have not been used for the matters on which the complaint is based, the Committee takes this into account when examining the complaint.

- 6. See 287th Report, Case No. 1627, para. 32.
- 7. See 2006 Digest, para. 10.
- 8. See 268th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 693.

29. When a case is being examined by an independent national jurisdiction whose procedures offer appropriate guarantees, and the Committee considers that the decision to be taken could provide additional information, it will suspend its examination of the case for a reasonable time to await this decision, provided that the delay thus encountered does not risk prejudicing the party whose rights have allegedly been infringed.

30. Although the use of internal legal procedures, whatever the outcome, is undoubtedly a factor to be taken into consideration, the Committee has always considered that, in view of its responsibilities, its competence to examine allegations is not subject to the exhaustion of national procedures.

Receivability of complaints

31. Complaints lodged with the ILO, either directly or through the United Nations, must come either from organizations of workers or employers or from governments. Allegations are receivable only if they are submitted by a national organization directly interested in the matter, by international organizations of employers or workers having consultative status with the ILO, or other international organizations of employers or workers where the allegations relate to matters directly affecting their affiliated organizations. Such complaints may be presented whether or not the country concerned has ratified the freedom of association Conventions.

32. The Committee has full freedom to decide whether an organization may be deemed to be an employers' or workers' organization within the meaning of the ILO Constitution, and it does not consider itself bound by any national definition of the term.

33. The Committee has not regarded any complaint as being irreceivable simply because the government in question had dissolved, or proposed to dissolve, the organization on behalf of which the complaint was made, or because the person or persons making the complaint had taken refuge abroad.

34. The fact that a trade union has not deposited its by-laws, as may be required by national laws, is not sufficient to make its complaint irreceivable since the principles of freedom of association provide precisely that the workers shall be able, without previous authorization, to establish organizations of their own choosing.

35. The fact that an organization has not been officially recognized does not justify the rejection of allegations when it is clear from the complaints that this organization has at least a de facto existence.

36. In cases in which the Committee is called upon to examine complaints presented by an organization concerning which no precise information is available, the Director-General is authorized to request the organization to furnish information on the

size of its membership, its statutes, its national or international affiliations and, in general, any other information calculated, in any examination of the receivability of the complaint, to lead to a better appreciation of the precise nature of the complainant organization.

37. The Committee will only take cognizance of complaints presented by persons who, through fear of reprisals, request that their names or the origin of the complaints should not be disclosed, if the Director-General, after examining the complaint in question, informs the Committee that it contains allegations of some degree of gravity which have not previously been examined by the Committee. The Committee can then decide what action, if any, should be taken with regard to such complaints.

Repetitive nature of complaints

38. In any case in which a complaint concerns exactly the same infringements as those on which the Committee has already given a decision, the Director-General may, in the first instance, refer the complaint to the Committee, which will decide whether it is appropriate to take action on it.

39. The Committee has taken the view that it could only reopen a case which it had already examined in substance and in which it had submitted final recommendations to the Governing Body if new evidence is adduced and brought to its notice. Similarly, the Committee does not re-examine allegations on which it has already given an opinion: for example, when a complaint refers to a law that it has already examined and, as such, does not contain new elements⁹.

Form of the complaint

40. Complaints must be presented in writing, duly signed by a representative of a body entitled to present them, and they must be as fully supported as possible by evidence of specific infringements of trade union rights.

41. When the Committee receives, either directly or through the United Nations, mere copies of communications sent by organizations to third parties, such communications do not constitute formal complaints and do not call for action on its part.

42. Complaints originating from assemblies or gatherings which are not bodies having a permanent existence or even bodies organized as definite entities and with which it is impossible to correspond, either because they have only a temporary existence or because the complaints do not contain any addresses of the complainants, are not receivable.

9. See 297th Report, para. 13.

Rules concerning relations with complainants

43. Complaints which do not relate to specific infringements of trade union rights are referred by the Director-General to the Committee on Freedom of Association for opinion, and the Committee decides whether or not any action should be taken on them. In cases of this kind, the Director-General is not bound to wait until the Committee meets, but may contact the complainant organization directly to inform it that the Committee's mandate only permits it to deal with questions concerning freedom of association and to ask it to specify, in this connection, the particular points that it wishes to have examined by the Committee.

44. The Director-General, on receiving a new complaint concerning specific cases of infringement of freedom of association, either directly from the complainant organization or through the United Nations, informs the complainant that any information he may wish to furnish in substantiation of the complaint should be communicated to him within a period of one month. In the event that supporting information is sent to the ILO after the expiry of the one month period provided for in the procedures it will be for the Committee to determine whether this information constitutes new evidence which the complainant would not have been in a position to adduce within the appointed period; in the event that the Committee considers that this is not the case, the information in question is regarded as irreceivable. On the other hand, if the complainant does not furnish the necessary information in substantiation of a complaint (where it does not appear to be sufficiently substantiated) within a period of one month from the date of the Director-General's acknowledgement of receipt of the complaint, it is for the Committee to decide whether any further action in the matter is appropriate.

45. In cases in which a considerable number of copies of an identical complaint are received from separate organizations, the Director-General is not required to request each separate complainant to furnish further information; it is normally sufficient for the Director-General to address the request to the central organization in the country to which the bodies presenting the copies of the identical complaint belong or, where the circumstances make this impracticable, to the authors of the first copy received, it being understood that this does not preclude the Director-General from communicating with more than one of the said bodies if this appears to be warranted by any special circumstances of the particular case. The Director-General will transmit to the government concerned the first copy received, but will also inform the government of the names of the other complainants presenting the copies of the identical complaints.

46. When a complaint has been communicated to the government concerned and the latter has presented its observations thereon, and when the statements contained in the complaint and the government's observations merely cancel one another out but do not contain any valid evidence, thereby making it impossible for the Committee to reach an informed opinion, the Committee is authorized to seek further information in writing from the complainant in regard to questions concerning the

terms of the complaint requiring further elucidation. In such cases, it has been understood that, on the one hand, the government concerned, as defendant, would have an opportunity to reply in its turn to any additional comments the complainants may make, and, on the other hand, that this method would not be followed automatically in all cases but only in cases where it appears that such a request to the complainants would be helpful in establishing the facts.

47. Subject to the two conditions mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the Committee may, moreover, inform the complainants, in appropriate cases, of the substance of the government's observations and invite them to submit their comments thereon within a given period of time. In addition, the Director-General may ascertain whether, in the light of the observations sent by the government concerned, further information or comments from the complainants are necessary on matters relating to the complaint and, if so, may write directly to the complainants, in the name of the Committee and without waiting for its next session, requesting the desired information or the comments on the government's observations by a given date, the government's right to reply being respected as is pointed out in the preceding paragraph.

48. In order to keep the complainant regularly informed of the principal stages in the procedure, the complainant is notified, after each session of the Committee, that the complaint has been put before the Committee and, if the Committee has not reached a conclusion appearing in its report, that – as appropriate – examination of the case has been adjourned in the absence of a reply from the government or the Committee has asked the government for certain additional information.

Prescription

49. While no formal rules fixing any particular period of prescription are embodied in the procedure for the examination of complaints, it may be difficult – if not impossible – for a government to reply in detail to allegations regarding matters which occurred a long time ago.

Withdrawal of complaints

50. When the Committee has been confronted with a request submitted to it for the withdrawal of a complaint, it has always considered that the desire expressed by an organization which has submitted a complaint to withdraw this complaint constitutes an element of which full account should be taken, but it is not sufficient in itself for the Committee to automatically cease to proceed further with the case. In such cases, the Committee has decided that it alone is competent to evaluate in full freedom the reasons put forward to explain the withdrawal of a complaint and to endeavour to establish whether these appear to be sufficiently plausible so that it may be concluded that the withdrawal is being made in full independence. In this

connection, the Committee has noted that there might be cases in which the withdrawal of a complaint by the organization presenting it was the result not of the fact that the complaint had become without purpose, but of pressure exercised by the government against the complainants, the latter being threatened with an aggravation of the situation if they did not consent to this withdrawal.

Rules for relations with the governments concerned

51. By membership of the International Labour Organization, each member State is bound to respect a certain number of principles, including the principles of freedom of association which have become customary rules above the Conventions¹⁰.

52. If the original complaint or any further information received in response to the acknowledgement of the complaint is sufficiently substantiated, the complaint and any such further information are communicated by the Director-General to the government concerned as quickly as possible; at the same time the government is requested to forward to the Director-General, before a given date, fixed in advance with due regard to the date of the next meeting of the Committee, any observations which it may care to make. When communicating allegations to governments, the Director-General draws their attention to the importance which the Governing Body attaches to receiving the governments' replies within the specified period, in order that the Committee may be in a position to examine cases as soon as possible after the occurrence of the events to which the allegations relate. If the Director-General has any difficulty in deciding whether a particular complaint can be regarded as sufficiently substantiated to justify him in communicating it to the government concerned for its observations, it is open to him to consult the Committee before taking a decision on the matter.

53. In cases in which the allegations concern specific enterprises, or in appropriate cases, the letter by which the allegations are transmitted to the government requests it to obtain the views of all the organizations and institutions concerned so that it can provide a reply to the Committee that is as complete as possible. However, the application of this rule of procedure should not result in practice in delay in having recourse to urgent appeals made to governments, nor in the examination of cases.

54. A distinction is drawn between urgent cases, which are addressed on a priority basis, and less urgent cases. Matters involving human life or personal freedom, or new or changing conditions affecting the freedom of action of a trade union movement as a whole, cases arising out of a continuing state of emergency and cases involving the dissolution of an organization, are treated as cases of urgency. Priority of treatment is also given to cases on which a report has already been submitted to the Governing Body.

10. Report of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association concerning the situation in Chile, 1975, para. 466.

55. In all cases, if the first reply from the government in question is of too general a character, the Committee requests the Director-General to obtain all necessary additional information from the government, on as many occasions as it judges appropriate.

56. The Director-General is further empowered to ascertain without, however, making any appreciation of the substance of a case, whether the observations of governments on the subject matter of a complaint or governments' replies to requests for further information are sufficient to permit the Committee to examine the complaint and, if not, to write directly to the government concerned, in the name of the Committee, and without waiting for its next session, to inform it that it would be desirable if it were to furnish more precise information on the points raised by the Committee or the complainant.

57. The purpose of the whole procedure set up in the ILO for the examination of allegations of violations of freedom of association is to promote respect for trade union rights in law and in fact. If the procedure protects governments against unreasonable accusations, governments on their side should recognize the importance for their own reputation of formulating, so as to allow objective examination, detailed replies to the allegations brought against them. The Committee wishes to stress that, in all the cases presented to it since it was first set up, it has always considered that the replies from governments against whom complaints are made should not be limited to general observations.

58. In cases where governments delay in forwarding their observations on the complaints communicated to them, or the further information requested of them, the Committee mentions these governments in a special introductory paragraph to its reports after the lapse of a reasonable time, which varies according to the degree of urgency of the case and of the questions involved. This paragraph contains an urgent appeal to the governments concerned and, as soon as possible afterwards, special communications are sent to these governments by the Director-General on behalf of the Committee.

59. These governments are warned that at its following session the Committee may submit a report on the substance of the matter, even if the information awaited from the governments in question has still not been received.

60. Cases in respect of which governments continue to fail to cooperate with the Committee, or in which certain difficulties persist, are mentioned in a special paragraph of the introduction to the Committee's report. The governments concerned are then immediately informed that the chairman of the Committee will, on behalf of the Committee, make contact with their representatives attending the session of the Governing Body or the International Labour Conference. The chairman will draw their attention to the particular cases involved and, where appropriate, to the gravity of the difficulties in question, discuss with them the reasons for the delay in transmitting the observations requested by the Committee and examine with them various

means of remedying the situation. The chairman then reports to the Committee on the results of such contacts.

61. In appropriate cases, where replies are not forthcoming, ILO external offices may approach governments in order to elicit the information requested of them, either during the examination of the case or in connection with the action to be taken on the Committee's recommendations, approved by the Governing Body. With this end in view the ILO external offices are sent detailed information with regard to complaints concerning their particular area and are requested to approach governments which delay in transmitting their replies, in order to draw their attention to the importance of supplying the observations or information requested of them.

62. In cases where the governments implicated are obviously unwilling to cooperate, the Committee may recommend, as an exceptional measure, that wider publicity be given to the allegations, to the recommendations of the Governing Body and to the negative attitude of the governments concerned.

63. The procedure for the examination of complaints of alleged infringements of the exercise of trade union rights provides for the examination of complaints presented against member States of the ILO. Evidently, it is possible for the consequences of events which gave rise to the presentation of the initial complaint to continue after the setting up of a new State which has become a Member of the ILO, but if such a case should arise, the complainants would be able to have recourse, in respect of the new State, to the procedure established for the examination of complaints relating to infringements of the exercise of trade union rights.

64. There exists a link of continuity between successive governments of the same State and, while a government cannot be held responsible for events which took place under a former government, it is clearly responsible for any continuing consequences which these events may have had since its accession to power.

65. Where a change of regime has taken place in a country, the new government should take all necessary steps to remedy any continuing effects which the events on which the complaint is based may have had since its accession to power, even though those events took place under its predecessor.

Requests for the postponement of the examination of cases

66. With regard to requests for the postponement of the examination of cases by the complainant organization or the government concerned, the practice followed by the Committee consists of deciding the question in full freedom when the reasons given for the request have been evaluated and taking into account the circumstances of the case¹¹.

11. See 274th Report, Cases Nos. 1455, 1456, 1696 and 1515, para. 10.

On-the-spot missions

67. At various stages in the procedure, an ILO representative may be sent to the country concerned, for example in the context of direct contacts, with a view to seeking a solution to the difficulties encountered, either during the examination of the case or at the stage of the action to be taken on the recommendations of the Governing Body. Such contacts, however, can only be established at the invitation of the governments concerned or at least with their consent. In addition, upon the receipt of a complaint containing allegations of a particularly serious nature, and after having received the prior approval of the chairman of the Committee, the Director-General may appoint a representative whose mandate would be to carry out preliminary contacts for the following purposes, viz: to transmit to the competent authorities in the country the concern to which the events described in the complaint have given rise; to explain to these authorities the principles of freedom of association involved; to obtain from the authorities their initial reaction, as well as any comments and information with regard to the matters raised in the complaint; to explain to the authorities the special procedure in cases of alleged infringements of trade union rights and, in particular, the direct contact method which may subsequently be requested by the government in order to facilitate a full appraisal of the situation by the Committee and the Governing Body; to request and encourage the authorities to communicate as soon as possible a detailed reply containing the observations of the government on the complaint. The report of the representative of the Director-General is submitted to the Committee at its next meeting for consideration together with all the other information made available. The ILO representative can be an ILO official or an independent person appointed by the Director-General. It goes without saying, however, that the mission of the ILO representative is above all to ascertain the facts and to seek possible solutions on the spot. The Committee and the Governing Body remain fully competent to appraise the situation at the outcome of these direct contacts.

68. The representative of the Director-General charged with an on-the-spot mission will not be able to perform his task properly and therefore be fully and objectively informed on all aspects of the case if he is not able to meet freely with all the parties involved¹².

Hearing of the parties

69. The Committee will decide, in the appropriate instances and taking into account all the circumstances of the case, whether it should hear the parties, or one of them, during its sessions so as to obtain more complete information on the matter. It may do this especially: (a) in appropriate cases where the complainants and the governments have submitted contradictory statements on the substance of the matters at

^{12.} See 229th Report, Case No. 1097, para. 51.

issue, and where the Committee might consider it useful for the representatives of the parties to furnish orally more detailed information as requested by the Committee; (b) in cases in which the Committee might consider it useful to have an exchange of views with the governments in question, on the one hand, and with the complainants, on the other, on certain important matters in order to appreciate more fully the factual situation and the eventual developments in the situation which might lead to a solution of the problems involved, and to seek to conciliate on the basis of the principles of freedom of association; (c) in other cases where particular difficulties have arisen in the examination of the questions involved or in the implementation of its recommendations, and where the Committee might consider it appropriate to discuss the matters with the representative of the government concerned.

Effect given to the Committee's recommendations

70. In all cases where it suggests that the Governing Body should make recommendations to a government, the Committee adds to its conclusions on such cases a paragraph proposing that the government concerned be invited to state, after a reasonable period has elapsed and taking account of the circumstances of the case, what action it has been able to take on the recommendations made to it.

71. A distinction is made between countries which have ratified one or more Conventions on freedom of association and those which have not.

72. In the first case (ratified Conventions) examination of the action taken on the recommendations of the Governing Body is normally entrusted to the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations, whose attention is specifically drawn in the concluding paragraph of the Committee's reports to discrepancies between national laws and practice and the terms of the Conventions, or to the incompatibility of a given situation with the provisions of these instruments. Clearly, this possibility is not such as to hinder the Committee from examining, through the procedure outlined below, the effect given to certain recommendations made by it; this can be of use taking into account the nature or urgency of certain questions.

73. In the second case (non-ratified Conventions), if there is no reply, or if the reply given is partly or entirely unsatisfactory, the matter may be followed up periodically, the Committee instructing the Director-General at suitable intervals, according to the nature of each case, to remind the government concerned of the matter and to request it to supply information as to the action taken on the recommendations approved by the Governing Body. The Committee itself, from time to time, reports on the situation.

74. The Committee may recommend the Governing Body to attempt to secure the consent of the government concerned to the reference of the case to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission. The Committee submits to each session of the

Governing Body a progress report on all cases which the Governing Body has determined warrant further examination. In every case in which the government against which the complaint is made has refused to consent to referral to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission or has not within four months replied to a request for such consent, the Committee may include in its report to the Governing Body recommendations as to the "appropriate alternative action" which, in the opinion of the Committee, the Governing Body might take. In certain cases, the Governing Body itself has discussed the measures to be taken where a government has not consented to a referral to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission.

Chronological index of cases

Annex II

INO.	Country
1	Peru
2	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
3	Dominican Republic
3	Egypt
5	India
5 6 7	Iran, Islamic Republic of
7	Italy
8	Israel
9	Netherlands
10	Chile
11	Brazil
12	Argentina
13	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
14	Czechoslovakia
15	France
16	France
17	France
18	Greece
19	Hungary
20	Lebanon
21	New Zealand
22	Philippines
23	United Kingdom
24	United Kingdom
25	United Kingdom
26	United Kingdom
27	United Kingdom
28	United Kingdom
29	United Kingdom
30	United Kingdom
31	United Kingdom

No.	Country
32	United Kingdom
33	United States
34	Sri Lanka
35	Hungary
36	Saudi Arabia
37	United Kingdom
38	United Kingdom
39	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
40	France
41	United Kingdom
42	United States
43	Chile
44	Colombia
45	United States
46	United States
47	India
48	Japan
49	Pakistan
50	Turkey
51	Germany
52	Italy
53	Spain
54	Argentina
55	Greece
56	Uruguay
57	United Kingdom
58	Poland
59	United Kingdom
60	Japan
61	France
62	Netherlands

No.	Country
63	South Africa
64	Italy
65	Cuba
66	Greece
67	Egypt
68	Colombia
69	France
70	United States
71	United States
72	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
73	United Kingdom
74	Myanmar
75	France
76	Costa Rica
77	France
78	Switzerland
79	Belgium
80	Germany
81	Chile
82	Lebanon
83	Brazil
84	Mexico
85	Austria
86	Italy
87	India
88	France
89	United States
90	France
91	United Kingdom
92	Peru
93	Iran, Islamic Republic of

No.	Country
94	Cuba
95	United States
96	United Kingdom
97	India
98	France
99	France
100	El Salvador
101	United Kingdom
102	South Africa
103	United Kingdom
104	Iran, Islamic Republic of
105	Greece
106	Argentina
107	Myanmar
108	Costa Rica
109	Guatemala
110	Pakistan
111	Russian Federation
112	Greece
113	France
114	United States
115	Greece
116	Iran, Islamic Republic of
117	Argentina
118	France
119	South Africa
120	France
121	Greece
122	Venezuela, Bolivarian
123	Republic of
123	German democratic Republic
124	Colombia
125	Brazil
126	Costa Rica
127	Guatemala
128	Netherlands
129	Peru
130	Switzerland
131	Guatemala
132	Greece
133	Netherlands
134	Chile
135	Myanmar
136	United Kingdom
137	Brazil

No.	Country
138	United States
139	Austria
140	Argentina
141	Chile
142	Honduras
143	Spain
144	Guatemala
145	South Africa
146	Colombia
147	South Africa
148	Poland
149	India
150	United Kingdom
151	Dominican Republic
152	United Kingdom
153	Chile
154	Chile
155	Russian Federation
156	France
157	Greece
158	Hungary
159	Cuba
160	Hungary
161	France
162	United Kingdom
163	Myanmar
164	United States
165	Argentina
166	Greece
167	Jordan
168	Paraguay
169	Turkey
170	France
171	Canada
172	Argentina
173	United States
174	Greece
175	Serbia
176	Greece
177	Honduras
178	United Kingdom
179	Japan
180	United Kingdom
181	Ecuador
182	United Kingdom
183	South Africa

No.	Country
184	Haiti
185	
186	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
187	United Kingdom
188	Denmark
189	Honduras
190	Argentina
191	Sudan
192	Argentina
193	Myanmar
194	United Kingdom
195	France
196	Greece
197	Pakistan
198	Greece
199	Argentina
200	South Africa
201	Greece
202	Thailand
203	Hungary
204	
205	Brazil
206	Uruguay
207	Greece
208	France
209	Egypt
210	Haiti
211	Canada
212	United States
213	Germany
214	Guinea
215	Greece
216	Argentina
217	Paraguay
218	France
219	Iraq
220	Argentina
221	United Kingdom
222	Greece
223	Morocco
224	Greece
225	Mexico
226	Haiti
227	Chile
228	Greece

No.	Country
229	South Africa
230	Paraguay
231	Argentina
232	Morocco
233	France
233	Greece
235	Cameroon
236	Iran, Islamic Republic of
237	Morocco
238	Greece
239	Costa Rica
240	Greece
241	France
242	Morocco
243	Myanmar
244	Belgium
245	Greece
246	Cuba
247	Greece
248	Senegal
249	Greece
250	Belgium
251	United Kingdom
252	United Kingdom
253	Cuba
254	Democratic Republic
a	of the Congo
255	Morocco
256	Greece
257	France
258	Argentina
259	Argentina
260	Iraq Courth Africa
261	South Africa
262	Cameroon
263 264	Greece
264 265	Uruguay
	Iran, Islamic Republic of
266 267	Portugal
267	Argentina Argentina
269	
209	Myanmar Chile
270	Chile
272	South Africa
272	Argentina
2/3	1 i Bolitina

No.	Country
274	Libya
275	United Kingdom
276	Jordan
277	Senegal
278	South Africa
279	United Kingdom
280	
281	Belgium
282	Burundi
283	Cuba
284	South Africa
285	Peru
286	Portugal
287	India
288	South Africa
289	Senegal
290	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo
291	United Kingdom
292	United Kingdom
293	Germany
294	Spain
295	Greece
296	Pakistan
297	Russian Federation
298	United Kingdom
299	Greece
300	South Africa
301	Liberia
302	Morocco
303	Ghana
304	Spain
305	Chile
306	Syrian Arab Republic
307	Somalia
308	Argentina
309	Greece
310	Japan
311	South Africa
312	Dominican Republic
313	Benin
314	South Africa
315	United Kingdom
316	Ecuador
317	Norway
318	Могоссо

No.	Country
-	Country
319	El Salvador
320	Pakistan
321	South Africa
322	Sierra Leone
323	Peru
324	Italy
325	United Kingdom
326	Burkina Faso
327	Democratic Republic of the Congo
328	Finland
329	Cuba
330	Iraq
331	Peru
332	Brazil
333	Greece
334	Argentina
335	Peru
336	Benin
337	France
338	Cameroon
339	Могоссо
340	South Africa
341	Greece
342	Iraq
343	Sri Lanka
344	Mali
345	United Kingdom
346	Argentina
347	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
348	Honduras
349	Panama
350	Dominican Republic
351	Spain
352	Guatemala
353	Greece
354	Chile
355	Jamaica
356	Spain
357	Democratic Republic of the Congo
358	Mexico
359	Morocco
360	Dominican Republic
361	Morocco

No.	Country
362	Morocco
363	Colombia
364	
	Ecuador
365	Democratic Republic of the Congo
366	United Kingdom
367	Democratic Republic of the Congo
368	Austria
369	Argentina
370	Portugal
371	Germany
372	Democratic Republic of the Congo
373	Haiti
373	Costa Rica
375	Cyprus
375	Belgium
370	Democratic Republic
3//	of the Congo
378	Honduras
379	Costa Rica
380	United Kingdom
381	Honduras
382	Greece
383	Spain
384	Ecuador
385	Brazil
386	India
387	Viet Nam
388	Costa Rica
389	Cameroon
390	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
391	Ecuador
392	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo
393	Syrian Arab Republic
394	Mexico
395	Colombia
396	Guatemala
397	Spain
398	Japan
399	Argentina
400	Spain
401	Burundi

No.	Country
402	Democratic Republic of the Congo
403	Burkina Faso
404	South Africa
405	Peru
406	United Kingdom
407	Pakistan
408	Honduras
409	Bolivia, Plurinational
410	State of
-	Paraguay
411	Dominican Republic Netherlands
412	
413	Greece
414	United Kingdom
415	United Kingdom
416	Pakistan
417	Viet Nam
418	Cameroon
419	Congo
420	India
421	United Kingdom
422	Ecuador
423	Honduras
424	India
425	Cuba
426	
427	Democratic Republic of the Congo
428	Dominican Republic
429	Spain
430	United States
431	Malta
432	Portugal
433	Ecuador
434	Colombia
435	Bahrain
436	India
437	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo
438	Greece
439	Paraguay
440	United States
441	Paraguay
442	Guatemala
443	Bolivia, Plurinational State of

No.Country444Costa Rica4445Morocco4446Panama447Dominican Republic448Uganda449United Kingdom450El Salvador451Bolivia, Plurinational State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico451Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Viprus483Viet Nam484India	No.	Country
445Morocco446Panama447Dominican Republic448Uganda449United Kingdom450El Salvador451Bolivia, Plurinational State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic of the Congo468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Fcuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
446Panama447Dominican Republic448Uganda449United Kingdom450El Salvador451Bolivia, Plurinational State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
447Dominican Republic448Uganda449United Kingdom450El Salvador451Bolivia, Plurinational State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico451Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	-	
448Uganda449United Kingdom450El Salvador451Bolivia, Plurinational State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Xicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Viprus483Viet Nam	-	
449United Kingdom450El Salvador451Bolivia, Plurinational State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico451Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
450El Salvador451Bolivia, Plurinational State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico451Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		-
451Bolivia, Plurinational State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico451Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
State of452Colombia453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
453Greece454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	451	
454Honduras455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic of the Congo468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	452	Colombia
455Ireland456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic of the Congo468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	453	Greece
456Bolivia, Plurinational State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic of the Congo468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	454	Honduras
State of457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic of the Congo468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	455	Ireland
457Mexico458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic of the Congo468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	456	Bolivia, Plurinational
458Cuba459Uruguay460Mexico461Spain462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic of the Congo468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		State of
 459 Uruguay 460 Mexico 461 Spain 462 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 463 Democratic Republic of the Congo 464 Greece 465 United Kingdom 466 Panama 467 Dominican Republic 468 Democratic Republic of the Congo 469 Cuba 470 Greece 471 Italy 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 483 Viet Nam 	-	
 460 Mexico 461 Spain 462 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 463 Democratic Republic of the Congo 464 Greece 465 United Kingdom 466 Panama 467 Dominican Republic 468 Democratic Republic of the Congo 469 Cuba 470 Greece 471 Italy 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 483 Viet Nam 	458	Cuba
 461 Spain 462 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 463 Democratic Republic of the Congo 464 Greece 465 United Kingdom 466 Panama 467 Dominican Republic 468 Democratic Republic of the Congo 469 Cuba 470 Greece 471 Italy 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 483 Viet Nam 	459	Uruguay
462Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	460	Mexico
Republic of463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic of the Congo468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	-	
463Democratic Republic of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam	462	
of the Congo464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
464Greece465United Kingdom466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece483Viet Nam	463	
 465 United Kingdom 466 Panama 467 Dominican Republic 468 Democratic Republic of the Congo 469 Cuba 470 Greece 471 Italy 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 	101	
466Panama467Dominican Republic468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
 467 Dominican Republic 468 Democratic Republic of the Congo 469 Cuba 470 Greece 471 Italy 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		
468Democratic Republic of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
of the Congo469Cuba470Greece471Italy472South Africa473Ecuador474Ecuador475Chile476Peru477Ecuador478United Kingdom479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece483Viet Nam		
 469 Cuba 470 Greece 471 Italy 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 	400	
 470 Greece 471 Italy 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 	469	
 471 Italy 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		
 472 South Africa 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		
 473 Ecuador 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		,
 474 Ecuador 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		
 475 Chile 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		
 476 Peru 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		
 477 Ecuador 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		
 478 United Kingdom 479 Nicaragua 480 Tunisia 481 Greece 482 Cyprus 483 Viet Nam 		
479Nicaragua480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
480Tunisia481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
481Greece482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
482Cyprus483Viet Nam		
483 Viet Nam		
404 111018		
	484	Inuia

NI-	Country .
No.	Country
485	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
486	Morocco
480	
488	Belgium
489	
490	Colombia
491	Sri Lanka
492	Mexico
493	India
494	Sudan
495	France
496	Honduras
497	Spain
498	Greece
499	France
500	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo
501	Indonesia
502	Jordan
503	Argentina
504	Spain
505	Morocco
506	Liberia
507	Spain
508	Greece
509	Spain
510	Paraguay
511	Nicaragua
512	Cyprus
513	Morocco
514	Colombia
515	France
516	Peru
517	Greece
518	Colombia
519	Greece
520	Spain
521	United Kingdom
522	Dominican Republic
523	Canada
524	Morocco
525	United Kingdom
526	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
527	Colombia

No.	Country
528	Morocco
529	Peru
530	Uruguay
531	Panama
532	Peru
533	India
534	Colombia
535	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
536	Gabon
537	Indonesia
538	India
539	El Salvador
540	Spain
541	Argentina
542	Benin
543	Turkey
544	Dominican Republic
545	Viet Nam
546	Colombia
547	Peru
548	Haiti
549	Chile
550	Guatemala
551	Cuba
552	Argentina
553	Argentina
554	Brazil
555	Libya
556	Morocco
557	Dominican Republic
558	Brazil
559	Trinidad and Tobago
560	Morocco
561	Uruguay
562	Dominican Republic
563	Costa Rica
564	Nicaragua
565	France
566	Dominican Republic
567	Israel
568	Morocco
569	Chad
570	Nicaragua
571	Bolivia, Plurinational State of

No.	Country
572	Panama
573	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
574	Argentina
575	India
576	Argentina
577	Morocco
578	Ghana
579	Guatemala
580	United States
581	Panama
	Brazil
583	
584	Nicaragua
585	Pakistan
586	Panama
587	Costa Rica
588	Argentina
589	India
590	Luxembourg
591	Senegal
592	Jamaica
593	Argentina
594	India
595	Brazil
596	Panama
597	Togo
598	Ecuador
599	Netherlands
600	Yemen
601	Colombia
602	Guyana
603	Mexico
604	Uruguay
605	
606	
607	Uruguay
608	India
609	Argentina
610	Panama
611	Costa Rica
612	Spain
613	Mauritius
614	Peru
615	Dominican Republic
616	Brazil
615	Dominican Republic

No.	Country
617	Venezuela, Bolivarian
017	Republic of
618	Malaysia
619	Honduras
620	Panama
621	Sweden
622	Spain
623	Brazil
624	United Kingdom
625	Venezuela, Bolivarian
020	Republic of
626	Guatemala
627	United States
628	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
629	Nicaragua
630	Spain
631	Turkey
632	Brazil
633	Argentina
634	Italy
635	Costa Rica
636	Argentina
637	Spain
638	Lesotho
639	United States
640	India
641	Colombia
642	United Kingdom
643	Colombia
644	Mali
645	Ecuador
646	Costa Rica
647	Portugal
648	United Kingdom
649	El Salvador
650	El Salvador
651	Argentina
652	Philippines
653	Argentina
654	Portugal
655	Belgium
656	Argentina
657	Spain
658	Spain
659	Guatemala

No.	Country
660	Mauritania
661	Spain
662	Nicaragua
663	Paraguay
664	Colombia
665	Costa Rica
666	Portugal
667	Spain
668	Jordan
669	Argentina
670	Cyprus
671	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
672	Dominican Republic
673	Madagascar
674	Indonesia
675	Colombia
676	Nicaragua
677	Sudan
678	Spain
679	Spain
680	United Kingdom
681	Central African Republic
682	Costa Rica
683	Ecuador
684	Spain
685	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
686	Japan
687	Colombia
688	Chile
689	Mauritius
690	United Kingdom
691	Argentina
692	Brazil
693	Uruguay
694	Honduras
695	India
696	Mexico
697	Spain
698	Senegal
699	Canada
700	Guyana
701	Colombia
702	Costa Rica
703	Chile

No.	Country
704	Spain
705	United States
706	Uruguay
707	Argentina
708	Bulgaria
709	Mauritius
710	Argentina
711	Morocco
712	Guatemala
713	Peru
714	
715	
716	0
717	
718	Dominican Republic
719	Colombia
720	India
721	India
722	Spain
723	Colombia
724	Philippines
725	Japan
726	Uruguay
727	Nigeria
728	Jamaica
729	Bangladesh
730	Jordan
731	Argentina
732	Togo
733	Guatemala
734	Colombia
735	Spain
736	Spain
737	Japan
738	•
739	Japan
740	Japan
741	Japan
742	Japan
743	Japan
744	Japan
745	Japan
746	Canada
747	Guatemala
748	Brazil
749	Senegal

No.	Country
750	Spain
751	Viet Nam
752	El Salvador
753	Japan
754	Jamaica
755	Japan
756	India
757	
758	
759	United Kingdom
760	Spain
761	Mauritius
762	Peru
763	Uruguay
764	Colombia
765	Chile
766	Yemen
767	South Africa
768	Dominican Republic
769	Nicaragua
770	Greece
771	Uruguay
772	Israel
773	Mexico
774	Central African Republic
775	Uganda
776	Jamaica
777	India
778	France
779	Argentina
780	Spain
781	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
782	Liberia
783	Costa Rica
784	Greece
785	Colombia
786	Uruguay
787	Brazil
788	Peru
789	Guatemala
790	Jamaica
791	Israel
792	Japan
793	India
794	Greece

No.	Country
795	Liberia
796	Bahamas
797	Jordan
798	Cyprus
799	Turkey
800	Brazil
801	Uruguay
802	
803	Spain
804	Pakistan
805	Malta
805	Bolivia, Plurinational
800	State of
807	United States
808	Côte d'Ivoire
809	Argentina
810	France
811	Jordan
812	Spain
813	Colombia
814	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
815	
816	0
817	
818	Canada
819	Dominican Republic
820	Honduras
821	Costa Rica
822	Dominican Republic
823	Chile
824	Benin
825	Nicaragua
826	Costa Rica
827	Mexico
828	India
829	Italy
830	Brazil
831	Mexico
832	India
833	India
834	Greece
835	Spain
836	Argentina
837	India
838	Spain

	•
No.	Country
839	Jordan
	Sudan
	Canada
842	Argentina
843	India
844	El Salvador
845	Canada
846	Australia
847	Dominican Republic
848	Spain
849	Nicaragua
850	
851	Greece
852	South Africa
	Chad
854	Paraguay
855	Honduras
856	Guatemala
857	United Kingdom
858	Ecuador
859	Costa Rica
860	
861	
862	India
	Turkey
864	
	Ecuador
	France
867	United Kingdom
868	Peru
869	India
870	
	Colombia
	Greece
	El Salvador
	Spain
875	
876	Greece
877	Greece
878	Nigeria
879	Malaysia
880	Madagascar
881	India
882	United Kingdom
883	United Kingdom
884	Peru
004	

No	Country
No.	
885	Ecuador
886	Canada
887	Ethiopia
888	Ecuador
889	Colombia
890	Guyana
891	Guatemala
892	Fiji
893	Canada
894	Ecuador
895	Morocco
896	Honduras
897	Paraguay
898	United States
899	Tunisia
900	Spain
901	Nicaragua
902	Australia
903	Canada
903	El Salvador
904	Russian Federation
<u> </u>	
906	Peru
907	Colombia
908	Morocco
909	Poland
910	Greece
911	Malaysia
912	Peru
913	Sri Lanka
914	Nicaragua
915	Spain
916	Peru
917	Costa Rica
918	Belgium
919	Colombia
920	United Kingdom
921	Greece
922	India
923	Spain
924	Guatemala
925	Yemen
926	Italy
927	Brazil
928	Malaysia
929	Honduras
930	Turkey
_ 550	i di i log

No.	Country
931	Canada
932	Greece
933	Peru
934	Morocco
935	Greece
936	New Zealand
937	Spain
938	Honduras
939	Greece
940	Sudan
941	Guyana
942	India
943	Dominican Republic
944	Egypt
945	Argentina
946	Paraguay
947	Greece
948	Colombia
949	Malta
950	Dominican Republic
951	Peru
952	Spain
953	El Salvador
954	Guatemala
955	Bangladesh
956	New Zealand
957	Guatemala
958	Brazil
959	Honduras
960	Peru
961	Greece
962	Turkey
963	Grenada
964	Canada
965	Malaysia
966	Portugal
967	Peru
968	Greece
969	Peru
970	Greece
971	Dominican Republic
972	Peru
973	El Salvador
974	Peru
975	Guatemala
976	Greece

No.	Country
977	Colombia
978	Guatemala
979	Spain
	Costa Rica
980	
981	Belgium
982	Costa Rica
983	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
984	Kenya
985	Turkey
986	Dominican Republic
987	El Salvador
988	Sri Lanka
989	Greece
990	Sri Lanka
991	Costa Rica
992	Morocco
993	Morocco
994	Colombia
995	India
996	Greece
997	Turkey
998	Greece
999	Turkey
1000	El Salvador
1001	Spain
1002	Brazil
1003	Sri Lanka
1004	Haiti
1005	United Kingdom
1006	Greece
1007	Nicaragua
1008	Greece
1009	Colombia
1010	Spain
1011	Senegal
1012	Ecuador
1013	Burkina Faso
1014	Dominican Republic
1015	Thailand
1016	El Salvador
1017	Morocco
1018	Morocco
1019	Greece
1020	Mali
1021	Greece
•	

No.	Country
	Malaysia
	Colombia
1024	India
1025	Haiti
1026	Guatemala
1027	Paraguay
1028	
1029	Turkey
1030	France
1031	Nicaragua
	Ecuador
1033	Jamaica
1034	Brazil
1035	India
1036	
1037	Sudan
1038	United Kingdom
	Spain
	Central African Republic
	Brazil
1042	Portugal
1043	Bahrain
	Dominican Republic
	Portugal
1046	
	Nicaragua
1048	Pakistan
1049	
1050	
1051	
	Panama
	Dominican Republic
	Morocco
1055	Canada
	Honduras
	Greece Greece
	Dominican Republic
1060	Argentina
1061	Spain
1062	Greece
1063 1064	Costa Rica
	Uruguay
1065 1066	Colombia Romania
1067	Argentina

No.	Country
1068	Greece
1069	ñ
1070	
1071	Canada
	Colombia
1073	
	United States
	Pakistan
1076	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
1077	Morocco
1078	Spain
1079	Colombia
1080	Zambia
1081	Peru
1082	Greece
1083	Colombia
1084	Nicaragua
1085	
1086	Greece
1087	Portugal
	Mauritania
1089	Burkina Faso
1090	Spain
1091	India
1092	Uruguay
1093	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
1094	Chile
1095	Chile
1096	Chile
1097	Poland
1098	Uruguay
1099	Norway
1100	India
1101	Colombia
1102	Panama
1103	Nicaragua
1104	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
1105	Colombia
1106	Dominican Republic
1107	India
1108	Costa Rica
1109	Chile
1110	Thailand

	Country
	India
	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
	India
1114	Nicaragua
1115	Morocco
	Morocco
1117	Chile
1118	Dominican Republic
	Argentina
1120	
1121	Sierra Leone
	Costa Rica
1123	Nicaragua
1124	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
1125	Argentina
	Chile
	Colombia
1128	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
1129	Nicaragua
	United States
1131	Burkina Faso
1132	Uruguay
	Nicaragua
1134	Cyprus
1135	Ghana
1136	Chile
1137	Chile
1138	Peru
1139	Jordan
	Colombia
	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
	Thailand
	United States
1144	Chile
1145	Honduras
1146	Iraq
1147	Canada
1148	Nicaragua
1149	Honduras
1150	El Salvador
1151	Japan
1152	Chile

	Country
	Uruguay
1154	Cameroon
	Colombia
	Chile
	Philippines
	Jamaica
	Nicaragua
-	Suriname
	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
1162	Chile
1163	Cyprus
1164	Malta
1165 .	
	Honduras
	Greece
	El Salvador
	Nicaragua
	Chile
	Canada
	Canada
<u> </u>	Canada
	Portugal
	Pakistan
	Guatemala
	Dominican Republic
	Israel
	Dominican Republic
	Australia
	Peru Belgium
	Chile
	Chile
	Nicaragua
	Chile
	Iran, Islamic Republic of
	Dominican Republic
	Kenya
	Peru
1191	Chile
	Philippines
	Greece
1155	Chile
	onno
1194 1195	Guatemala
1194 1195	

No.	Country
1198	Cuba
1199	
1200	
	Morocco
	Greece
	Spain
	Paraguay
1205	Chile
1206	Peru
1207	Uruguay
1208	Nicaragua
	Uruguay
1210	Colombia
1211	Bahrain
1212	Chile
1213	Greece
1214	Bangladesh
1215	Guatemala
1216	Honduras
1217	Chile
	Costa Rica
1219	Liberia
1220	Argentina
1221	Dominican Republic
1222	Bahamas
1223	Djibouti
1224	Greece
1225	Brazil
1226	Canada
1227	India
1228	Peru
1229	Chile
1230	Ecuador
1231	Peru
1232	India
1233	El Salvador
1234	Canada
1235	Canada
1236	Uruguay
1237	Brazil
1238	Greece
1239	Colombia
1240	Colombia
1241	Australia
1242	Costa Rica
1243	Grenada

No. Country 1244 Spain 1245 Cyprus 1246 Bangladesh 1247 Canada 1248 Colombia 1249 Spain 1250 Belgium 1251 Portugal 1252 Colombia 1253 Morocco 1254 Uruguay 1255 Norway 1256 Portugal 1257 Uruguay 1258 El Salvador 1259 Bangladesh 1260 Canada 1261 United Kingdom 1262 Guatemala 1263 Japan 1264 Barbados 1265 United States 1266 Burkina Faso 1267 Papua New Guinea 1268 Honduras 1269 El Salvador 1270 Brazil 1271 Honduras 1272 Chile 1273 <th>No.</th> <th>Country</th>	No.	Country
1245Cyprus1246Bangladesh1247Canada1248Colombia1249Spain1250Belgium1251Portugal1252Colombia1253Morocco1254Uruguay1255Norway1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1284Grenada1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1285Chile1286El Salvador1288Dominican Republic		
1246Bangladesh1247Canada1248Colombia1249Spain1250Belgium1251Portugal1252Colombia1253Morocco1254Uruguay1255Norway1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1284Grenada1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1285Chile1286El Salvador1288Dominican Republic		
1247Canada1248Colombia1249Spain1250Belgium1251Portugal1252Colombia1253Morocco1254Uruguay1255Norway1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1284Grenada1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1285Dolle1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1285Dolle1285Dolle1285Dolle1285Dolle1285Dolle1285Dolle		
1248Colombia1249Spain1250Belgium1251Portugal1252Colombia1253Morocco1254Uruguay1255Norway1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1284Grenada1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1285Chile1286El Salvador1288Dominican Republic		
1249Spain1250Belgium1251Portugal1252Colombia1253Morocco1254Uruguay1255Norway1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1284Grenada1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1285Dominican Republic1288Dominican Republic		
1250Belgium1251Portugal1252Colombia1253Morocco1254Uruguay1255Norway1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1284Grenada1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1284Sota Rica1288Dominican Republic		
1251 Portugal1252 Colombia1253 Morocco1254 Uruguay1255 Norway1256 Portugal1257 Uruguay1258 El Salvador1259 Bangladesh1260 Canada1261 United Kingdom1262 Guatemala1263 Japan1264 Barbados1265 United States1266 Burkina Faso1267 Papua New Guinea1268 Honduras1269 El Salvador1270 Brazil1271 Honduras1272 Chile1273 El Salvador1274 Uruguay1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1284 Dominican Republic1285 Chile1284 Dominican Republic1285 Chile1288 Dominican Republic1288 Dominican Republic		
1252 Colombia1253 Morocco1254 Uruguay1255 Norway1256 Portugal1257 Uruguay1258 El Salvador1259 Bangladesh1260 Canada1261 United Kingdom1262 Guatemala1263 Japan1264 Barbados1265 United States1266 Burkina Faso1267 Papua New Guinea1268 Honduras1269 El Salvador1270 Brazil1271 Honduras1272 Chile1273 El Salvador1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1285 Chile1284 Dominican Republic1285 Chile1284 Dominican Republic1285 Chile1288 Dominican Republic1288 Dominican Republic		
1253 Morocco1254 Uruguay1255 Norway1256 Portugal1257 Uruguay1258 El Salvador1259 Bangladesh1260 Canada1261 United Kingdom1262 Guatemala1263 Japan1264 Barbados1265 United States1266 Burkina Faso1267 Papua New Guinea1268 Honduras1269 El Salvador1270 Brazil1271 Honduras1272 Chile1273 El Salvador1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1288 Dominican Republic1288 Dominican Republic		
1254Uruguay1255Norway1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1288Dominican Republic1288Dominican Republic		
1255Norway1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1285Chile1284Grenada1285Chile1284Dominican Republic1285Chile1284Grenada1285Chile1288Dominican Republic		
1256Portugal1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1288Dominican Republic		
1257Uruguay1258El Salvador1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1285Chile1286El Salvador1288Dominican Republic		
1258 EI Salvador1259 Bangladesh1260 Canada1261 United Kingdom1262 Guatemala1263 Japan1264 Barbados1265 United States1266 Burkina Faso1267 Papua New Guinea1268 Honduras1269 EI Salvador1270 Brazil1271 Honduras1272 Chile1273 EI Salvador1274 Uruguay1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 EI Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1285 Chile1286 EI Salvador1288 Dominican Republic		
1259Bangladesh1260Canada1261United Kingdom1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic		
1260 Canada1261 United Kingdom1262 Guatemala1263 Japan1264 Barbados1265 United States1266 Burkina Faso1267 Papua New Guinea1268 Honduras1269 El Salvador1270 Brazil1271 Honduras1272 Chile1273 El Salvador1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic		
1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic		
1262Guatemala1263Japan1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic	1261	United Kingdom
1264Barbados1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic	1262	Guatemala
1265United States1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic	1263	Japan
1266Burkina Faso1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic	1264	Barbados
1267Papua New Guinea1268Honduras1269El Salvador1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic	1265	United States
1268 Honduras1269 El Salvador1270 Brazil1271 Honduras1272 Chile1273 El Salvador1274 Uruguay1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic	1266	Burkina Faso
1269 El Salvador1270 Brazil1271 Honduras1272 Chile1273 El Salvador1274 Uruguay1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic	1267	Papua New Guinea
1270Brazil1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic	1268	Honduras
1271Honduras1272Chile1273El Salvador1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic	1269	El Salvador
1272 Chile1273 El Salvador1274 Uruguay1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic	1270	Brazil
1273 El Salvador1274 Uruguay1275 Paraguay1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic	1271	Honduras
1274Uruguay1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic		
1275Paraguay1276Chile1277Dominican Republic1278Chile1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic		
1276 Chile1277 Dominican Republic1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic		
 1277 Dominican Republic 1278 Chile 1279 Portugal 1280 Chile 1281 El Salvador 1282 Morocco 1283 Nicaragua 1284 Grenada 1285 Chile 1286 El Salvador 1287 Costa Rica 1288 Dominican Republic 	1275	Paraguay
1278 Chile1279 Portugal1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic		
1279Portugal1280Chile1281El Salvador1282Morocco1283Nicaragua1284Grenada1285Chile1286El Salvador1287Costa Rica1288Dominican Republic		
1280 Chile1281 El Salvador1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic		
1281 El Salvador 1282 Morocco 1283 Nicaragua 1284 Grenada 1285 Chile 1286 El Salvador 1287 Costa Rica 1288 Dominican Republic		
1282 Morocco1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic		
1283 Nicaragua1284 Grenada1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic		
1284 Grenada1285 Chile1286 El Salvador1287 Costa Rica1288 Dominican Republic		
1285 Chile 1286 El Salvador 1287 Costa Rica 1288 Dominican Republic		
1286 El Salvador 1287 Costa Rica 1288 Dominican Republic		
1287 Costa Rica 1288 Dominican Republic		
1288 Dominican Republic		
1289 Peru		
	1289	Peru

Ne	Country
No.	Country
	Uruguay
1291 1292	Colombia
	Spain
1293	Dominican Republic
1294	Brazil
1295	United Kingdom
	Antigua and Barbuda
1297	
	Nicaragua
	Uruguay
1300	
1301	Paraguay
1302	Colombia
1303	Portugal
1304	
1305	Costa Rica
1306	Mauritania
	Honduras
1308	
1309	
	Costa Rica
1311	Guatemala
1312	Greece
1313	Brazil
1314	
1315	Portugal
1316	Uruguay
1317	Nicaragua
1318	Germany
1319	
1320	•
1321	
	Dominican Republic
	Philippines
	Australia
1325	Sudan
1326	Bangladesh
1327	
1328	Paraguay
1329	Canada
1330	Guyana
1331	Brazil
1332	Pakistan
1333	Jordan
1334	New Zealand
1335	Malta

No.	Country
1336	Mauritius
	Nepal
1337	
1338	Denmark
1339	
1340	
1341	
	Spain
1343	
	Nicaragua
1345	Australia
1346	India
1347	Bolivia, Plurinational
1040	State of
1348	
	Malta
	Canada
	Nicaragua
	Israel
	Philippines
1354	Greece
1355	Senegal
1356	Canada
	Greece
1358	Spain
1359	
1360	
1361	Nicaragua
1362	Spain
1363	
	France
1365	Portugal
1366	Spain
	Peru
1368	Paraguay
	Honduras
1370	Portugal
1371	Australia
1372	Nicaragua
1373	Belgium
1374	Spain
1375	Spain
1376	Colombia
1377	Brazil
1378	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
1379	Fiji

No.	Country
	Malaysia
	Ecuador
	Portugal
	Pakistan
	Greece
1385	New Zealand
1386	
	Ireland
	Morocco
	Norway
	Israel
	United Kingdom
1392	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
	Dominican Republic
1394	Canada
1395	Costa Rica
1396	Haiti
1397	Argentina
1398	Honduras
1399	Spain
1400	Ecuador
1401	United States
1402	Czechoslovakia
1403	Uruguay
1404	Uruguay
1405	Burkina Faso
1406	Zambia
	Mexico
1408	
	Republic of
1409	Argentina
1410	Liberia
1411	Ecuador
1412	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
	Bahrain
1414	Israel
1415	Australia
1416	United States
1417	Brazil
1418	Denmark
1419	Panama
1420	United States
1421	Denmark
1422	Colombia

	A 1
	Country
1423	Côte d'Ivoire
	Portugal
1425	,
1426	Philippines
1427	Brazil
1428	India
1429	Colombia
1430	Canada
1431	Indonesia
1432	Peru
1433	Spain
1434	
1435	Paraguay
1436	
1437	United States
1438	
	United Kingdom
	Paraguay
	El Salvador
	Nicaragua
1443	Denmark
-	Philippines
1445	Peru
	Paraguay
1447	Saint Lucia
1448	
1449	
1450	
	Canada
	Ecuador
1452	
1455	Republic of
1454	Nicaragua
1455	
	Argentina
	Colombia
	Iceland
1458	-
	Guatemala
1460	Uruguay
1461	Brazil
1462	Burkina Faso
1463	Liberia
1464	Honduras
1465	Colombia
1466	Spain
1467	United States

No.	Country
1468	India
1469	Netherlands
1470	
1471	India
1472	Spain
1473	Morocco
1474	Spain
1475	Panama
1476	Panama
1477	Colombia
1478	Peru
1479	India
1480	Malaysia
1481	Brazil
1482	Paraguay
1483	Costa Rica
1484	Peru
1485	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1486	Portugal
1487	Brazil
1488	Guatemala
1489	Cyprus
1490	Morocco
1491	Trinidad and Tobago
1492	Romania
1493	Cyprus
1494	El Salvador
1495	Philippines
1496	Argentina
1497	Portugal
1498	Ecuador
1499	Morocco
1500	China
1501	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1502	Peru
1503	Peru
1504	Dominican Republic
1505	Barbados
1506	El Salvador
1507	Turkey
1508	Sudan
1509	Brazil
1510	Paraguay
1511	Australia

No.	Country
	Guatemala
	Malta
1513	
1515	Argentina Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
1517	India
	United Kingdom
	Paraguay
1520	
	Turkey
	Colombia
	United States
	El Salvador
1525	Pakistan
1526	Canada
1527	Peru
1528	Germany
1529	Philippines
1530	Nigeria
1531	Panama
1532	Argentina
1533	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
1534	Pakistan
1535	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1536	Spain
1537	Niger
1538	Honduras
1539	Guatemala
1540	United Kingdom
1541	Peru
1542	Malaysia
	United States
	Ecuador
1545	Poland
1546	Paraguay
1547	Canada
1548	Peru
1549	Dominican Republic
1550	India
1551	Argentina
1552	Malaysia
1553	United Kingdom
1554	Honduras
·	

No.	Country
1555	Colombia
1556	Iraq
-	United States
	Ecuador
	Australia
	Argentina
	Spain
1562	
1563	Iceland
1564	Sierra Leone
1565	Greece
1566	Peru
1567	Argentina
1568	Honduras
1569	Panama
1570	Philippines
1571	Romania
1572	Philippines
1573	Paraguay
1574	Morocco
1575	Zambia
1576	Norway
	Turkey
1578	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1579	Peru
	Panama
	Thailand
	Turkey
	Turkey
1584	Greece
1585	Philippines
1586	Nicaragua
1587 1588	Canada
	Guatemala Morocco
L	
1590 1591	
	India Chad
1592 1593	
1593	Central African Republic Côte d'Ivoire
1594	Guatemala
1595	
1596	Uruguay Mauritania
1597	Peru
1598	
1099	Gabon

NI-	C
No.	Country
1600	Czechoslovakia
1601	Canada
1602	Spain
1603	Canada
1604	
1605	
1606	
1607	Canada
1608	Lebanon
1609	Peru
1610	Philippines
1611	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1612	
1610	Republic of
1613	Spain
1614	
1615	
1616	
	Ecuador
	United Kingdom
	United Kingdom
1620	Colombia
1621	Sri Lanka
1622	Fiji
1623	Bulgaria
1624	Canada
1625	
1626	,
	Republic of
1627	Uruguay
1628	Cuba
1629	Korea, Republic of
1630	
1631	
	Greece
1633	United Kingdom
1634	Russian Federation
1635	Portugal
1636	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1637	Togo
1638	Malawi
1639	Argentina
1640	Morocco
1641	Denmark
1642	Peru

No.	Country
	Morocco
1644	Poland
1645	
	Morocco
	Côte d'Ivoire
1648	
1649	Nicaragua
1650	
1651	India
1652	China
1653	Argentina
1654	Paraguay
1655	Nicaragua
1656	Paraguay
	Portugal
1658	Dominican Republic
1659	El Salvador
1660	Argentina
1661	Peru
1662	Argentina
1663	Peru
1664	Ecuador
1665	Ecuador
1666	
	Ecuador
	Cyprus
	Chad
1670	Canada
	Morocco
1672	Venezuela, Bolivarian
1070	Republic of
	Nicaragua
	Denmark
1675	
16/6	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
1677	Poland
1678	Costa Rica
1679	Argentina
1680	Norway
1681	Canada
1682	Haiti
1683	Russian Federation
1684	Argentina
1685	Venezuela, Bolivarian
1000	Republic of

NI-	Country
	Country
1686	Colombia
	Morocco
	Sudan
	Côte d'Ivoire
1690	
	Morocco
1692	Germany
	El Salvador
1694	Portugal
1695	Costa Rica
1696	Pakistan
1697	,
1698	New Zealand
1699	Cameroon
	Nicaragua
1701	Egypt
1702	Colombia
1703	Guinea
1704	Lebanon
1705	Paraguay
1706	Peru
1707	Malta
1708	Peru
1709	Morocco
1710	Chile
1711	Haiti
1712	Morocco
1713	Kenya
1714	Morocco
1715	Canada
1716	Haiti
1717	Cabo Verde
	Philippines
1719	Nicaragua
	Brazil
	Colombia
1722	Canada
1723	Argentina
1724	Morocco
1725	Denmark
1726	Pakistan
1727	Turkey
1728	Argentina
1729	Ecuador
1730	United Kingdom
1731	Peru

	,
No.	Country
1732	Dominican Republic
1733	Canada
1734	Guatemala
1735	Canada
1736	Argentina
1737	Canada
1738	Canada
1739	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
1740	Guatemala
1741	Argentina
1742	Hungary
1743	Canada
1744	Argentina
1745	Argentina
1746	Ecuador
1747	Canada
1748	Canada
1749	Canada
1750	
1751	Dominican Republic
1752	Myanmar
1753	Burundi
1754	El Salvador
1755	Turkey
1756	Indonesia
1757	El Salvador
1758	Canada
1759	Peru
1760	Sweden
1761	Colombia
1762	Czech Republic
1763	Norway
1764	Nicaragua
1765	Bulgaria
1766	Portugal
1767	Ecuador
1768	Iceland
1769	Russian Federation
1770	Costa Rica
1771	Pakistan
1772	Cameroon
1773	Indonesia
1774	Australia
1775	Belize
1776	Nicaragua

No	Country
1770	Argentina
1770	Guatemala
1779	Canada
	Costa Rica
1/81	Costa Rica
	Portugal
1783	Paraguay
1784	
	Poland
	Guatemala
1787	Colombia
	Romania
	Korea, Republic of
	Paraguay
	Chad
1792	Kenya
1793	Nigeria
1794	Peru
1795	Honduras
1796	
1797	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
	Spain
	Kazakhstan
	Canada
	Canada
1802	Canada
1803	Djibouti
1804	Peru
	Cuba
	Canada
	Ukraine
	Costa Rica
	Kenya
1810	Turkey
1811	Paraguay
1812	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1813	Peru
1814	Ecuador
1815	Spain
1816	Paraguay
1817	India
1818	Democratic Republic
1010	of the Congo
1819	China

No.	Country
	Germany
1821	Ethiopia
1822	Venezuela, Bolivarian
1022	Republic of
1823	
	El Salvador
	Morocco
1826	Philippines
	Venezuela, Bolivarian
102/	Republic of
1828	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1829	Chile
1830	Turkey
	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
1832	Argentina
	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo
1834	Kazakhstan
1835	Czech Republic
1836	Colombia
1837	Argentina
	Burkina Faso
1839	Brazil
1840	India
1841	Burundi
1842	El Salvador
1843	Sudan
1844	Mexico
1845	Peru
	Côte d'Ivoire
	Guatemala
	Ecuador
	Belarus
	Congo
	Djibouti
	United Kingdom
1853	El Salvador
1854	India
1855	Peru
1855	Uruguay
1850	
L	
1858 1859	France Canada
1859	
1860	Dominican Republic
1901	Denmark

Na	Country
No.	Country
1862	Bangladesh
1863	Guinea
1864	
1865	,
	Brazil
1867	Argentina
1868	Costa Rica
1869	Latvia
1870	Congo
1871	Brazil
1872	Argentina
1873	Barbados
1874	El Salvador
1875	Costa Rica
1876	Guatemala
1877	Morocco
1878	Peru
1879	Costa Rica
1880	Peru
1881	Argentina
1882	Denmark
1883	Kenya
1884	Swaziland
1885	Belarus
1886	Uruguay
1887	Argentina
1888	Ethiopia
1889	Brazil
1890	India
1891	Romania
1892	Guatemala
1893	
	Mauritania
1895	
	Republic of
1896	Colombia
1897	Japan
	Guatemala
1899	Argentina
1900	Canada
1901	Costa Rica
1902	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1903	Pakistan
1904	Romania
1905	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo

No. Country 1906 Peru 1907 Mexico 1908 Ethiopia 1909 Zimbabwe 1910 Democratic Republic of the Congo 1911 Ecuador 1912 United Kingdom 1913 Panama 1914 Philippines 1915 Ecuador 1916 Colombia 1917 Comoros 1918 Croatia 1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1933 <		-
1907Mexico1908Ethiopia1909Zimbabwe1910Democratic Republic of the Congo1911Ecuador1912United Kingdom1913Panama1914Philippines1915Ecuador1916Colombia1917Comoros1918Croatia1919Spain1920Lebanon1921Niger1922Djibouti1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Denmark1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1934China1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	No.	Country
1908 Ethiopia 1909 Zimbabwe 1910 Democratic Republic of the Congo 1911 Ecuador 1912 United Kingdom 1913 Panama 1914 Philippines 1915 Ecuador 1916 Colombia 1917 Comoros 1918 Croatia 1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936		
1909 Zimbabwe 1910 Democratic Republic of the Congo 1911 Ecuador 1912 United Kingdom 1913 Panama 1914 Philippines 1915 Ecuador 1916 Colombia 1917 Comoros 1918 Croatia 1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936 Guatemala 1937		
1910 Democratic Republic of the Congo 1911 Ecuador 1912 United Kingdom 1913 Panama 1914 Philippines 1915 Ecuador 1916 Colombia 1917 Comoros 1918 Croatia 1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936 Guatemala 1937 Zimbabwe 1938 Croatia 1939 Argentina 1939		
of the Congo 1911 Ecuador 1912 United Kingdom 1913 Panama 1914 Philippines 1915 Ecuador 1916 Colombia 1917 Comoros 1918 Croatia 1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936 Guatemala 1937 Zimbabwe 1938 Croatia 1		
1912 United Kingdom 1913 Panama 1914 Philippines 1915 Ecuador 1916 Colombia 1917 Comoros 1918 Croatia 1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936 Guatemala 1937 Zimbabwe 1938 Croatia 1939 Argentina 1940 Mauritius 1941 Chile 1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1910	
1913 Panama 1914 Philippines 1915 Ecuador 1916 Colombia 1917 Comoros 1918 Croatia 1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936 Guatemala 1937 Zimbabwe 1938 Croatia 1939 Argentina 1940 Mauritius 1941 Chile 1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1911	Ecuador
1914Philippines1915Ecuador1916Colombia1917Comoros1918Croatia1919Spain1920Lebanon1921Niger1922Djibouti1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1912	United Kingdom
1915Ecuador1916Colombia1917Comoros1918Croatia1919Spain1920Lebanon1921Niger1922Djibouti1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1913	Panama
1916 Colombia 1917 Comoros 1918 Croatia 1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936 Guatemala 1937 Zimbabwe 1938 Croatia 1939 Argentina 1940 Mauritius 1941 Chile 1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1914	Philippines
1917Comoros1918Croatia1919Spain1920Lebanon1921Niger1922Djibouti1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1915	Ecuador
1918Croatia1919Spain1920Lebanon1921Niger1922Djibouti1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1916	Colombia
1919 Spain 1920 Lebanon 1921 Niger 1922 Djibouti 1923 Croatia 1924 Argentina 1925 Colombia 1926 Peru 1927 Mexico 1928 Canada 1929 France 1930 China 1931 Panama 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936 Guatemala 1937 Zimbabwe 1938 Croatia 1939 Argentina 1940 Mauritius 1941 Chile 1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1917	Comoros
1920Lebanon1921Niger1922Djibouti1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1918	Croatia
1921Niger1922Djibouti1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1919	Spain
1922Djibouti1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1920	Lebanon
1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1921	Niger
1923Croatia1924Argentina1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1922	Djibouti
1925Colombia1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		
1926Peru1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1924	Argentina
1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		
1927Mexico1928Canada1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1926	Peru
1929France1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1927	Mexico
1930China1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1928	Canada
1931Panama1932Panama1933Denmark1934Cambodia1935Nigeria1936Guatemala1937Zimbabwe1938Croatia1939Argentina1940Mauritius1941Chile1942China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1929	France
 1932 Panama 1933 Denmark 1934 Cambodia 1935 Nigeria 1936 Guatemala 1937 Zimbabwe 1938 Croatia 1939 Argentina 1940 Mauritius 1941 Chile 1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region 	1930	China
1933 Denmark1934 Cambodia1935 Nigeria1936 Guatemala1937 Zimbabwe1938 Croatia1939 Argentina1940 Mauritius1941 Chile1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1931	Panama
1934 Cambodia1935 Nigeria1936 Guatemala1937 Zimbabwe1938 Croatia1939 Argentina1940 Mauritius1941 Chile1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1932	Panama
1934 Cambodia1935 Nigeria1936 Guatemala1937 Zimbabwe1938 Croatia1939 Argentina1940 Mauritius1941 Chile1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1933	Denmark
1936 Guatemala1937 Zimbabwe1938 Croatia1939 Argentina1940 Mauritius1941 Chile1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		
1936 Guatemala1937 Zimbabwe1938 Croatia1939 Argentina1940 Mauritius1941 Chile1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region	1935	Nigeria
1938 Croatia1939 Argentina1940 Mauritius1941 Chile1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		
1938 Croatia1939 Argentina1940 Mauritius1941 Chile1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		Zimbabwe
1939 Argentina 1940 Mauritius 1941 Chile 1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		
1940 Mauritius 1941 Chile 1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		
1941 Chile 1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		
1942 China - Hong Kong Special Administrative Region		
Special Administrative Region	-	
		Special Administrative
1943 Canada		
1944 Peru		
1945 Chile	1945	Chile
1946 Chile		
1947 Argentina	1947	Argentina
1948 Colombia	1948	Colombia

No	Country
	Bahrain
	Denmark
	Canada
1952	Venezuela, Bolivarian
1050	Republic of
	Argentina
	Côte d'Ivoire
	Colombia
1956	Guinea - Bissau
	Bulgaria
	Denmark
	United Kingdom
	Guatemala
1961	Cuba
	Colombia
1963	Australia
1964	Colombia
	Panama
1966	Costa Rica
1967	Panama
1968	Spain
1969	Cameroon
1970	Guatemala
	Denmark
1972	Poland
1973	Colombia
1974	Mexico
1975	Canada
1976	Zambia
1977	Togo
1978	Gabon
1979	Peru
1980	Luxembourg
	Turkey
	Brazil
1983	Portugal
1984	Costa Rica
1985	Canada
1986	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1987	El Salvador
1988	Comoros
1989	Bulgaria
1990	Mexico
1991	Japan
1992	Brazil

No.	Country
1993	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
1994	Senegal
1995	Cameroon
1996	Uganda
1997	Brazil
1998	Bangladesh
1999	Canada
2000	Morocco
2001	Ukraine
2002	Chile
2003	Peru
2004	Peru
2005	Central African Republic
2006	Pakistan
2007	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
2008	Guatemala
2009	Mauritius
2010	Ecuador
2011	Estonia
2012	Russian Federation
2013	Mexico
2014	Uruguay
2015	Colombia
2016	Brazil
2017	Guatemala
2018	Ukraine
2019	Swaziland
2020	Nicaragua
2021	Guatemala
2022	New Zealand
2023	Cabo Verde
2024	Costa Rica
2025	Canada
2026	United States
2027	Zimbabwe
2028	Gabon
2029	Argentina
2030	Costa Rica
2031	China
2032	Guatemala
2033	Uruguay
2034	Nicaragua
2035	Haiti
2036	Paraguay
_000	

No.	Country
	Argentina
	Ukraine
	Mexico
	Spain
2040	Argentina
	Djibouti
2042	
	Cabo Verde
	Argentina
	Colombia
	Bulgaria
	Morocco
2049	
	Guatemala
2051	Colombia
2052	
	Bosnia and Herzegovina
	Argentina
	Morocco
	Central African Republic
2057	Romania
2058	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2059	
	Denmark
2061	
2062	Argentina
2063	Paraguay
2064	Spain
2065	Argentina
2066	
2067	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
	Colombia
	Costa Rica
2070	Mexico
2071	Togo
2072	
2073	Chile
2074	Cameroon
2075	Ukraine
2076	Peru
2077	El Salvador
2078	Lithuania
2079	Ukraine
2080	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
·	

No.Country2081Zimbabwe2082Morocco2083Canada2084Costa Rica2085El Salvador2086Paraguay2087Uruguay2088Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2098Romania2090Belarus2091Romania2092Nicaragua2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon2125Thailand	No.	Country
2082 Morocco2083 Canada2084 Costa Rica2085 El Salvador2086 Paraguay2087 Uruguay2088 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2089 Romania2090 Belarus2091 Romania2092 Nicaragua2093 Korea, Republic of2094 Slovakia2095 Argentina2096 Pakistan2097 Colombia2098 Peru2099 Brazil2100 Honduras2101 Nicaragua2102 Bahamas2103 Guatemala2104 Costa Rica2105 Paraguay2106 Mauritius2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2083 Canada2084 Costa Rica2085 El Salvador2086 Paraguay2087 Uruguay2088 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2089 Romania2090 Belarus2091 Romania2092 Nicaragua2093 Korea, Republic of2094 Slovakia2095 Argentina2096 Pakistan2097 Colombia2098 Peru2099 Brazil2100 Honduras2101 Nicaragua2102 Bahamas2103 Guatemala2104 Costa Rica2105 Paraguay2106 Mauritius2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2084Costa Rica2085El Salvador2086Paraguay2087Uruguay2088Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2089Romania2090Belarus2091Romania2092Nicaragua2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2085El Salvador2086Paraguay2087Uruguay2088Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2089Romania2090Belarus2091Romania2092Nicaragua2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2086 Paraguay2087 Uruguay2088 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2089 Romania2090 Belarus2091 Romania2092 Nicaragua2093 Korea, Republic of2094 Slovakia2095 Argentina2096 Pakistan2097 Colombia2098 Peru2099 Brazil2100 Honduras2101 Nicaragua2102 Bahamas2103 Guatemala2104 Costa Rica2105 Paraguay2106 Mauritius2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon	L	
2087Uruguay2088Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2089Romania2090Belarus2091Romania2092Nicaragua2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2088Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2089Romania2090Belarus2091Romania2092Nicaragua2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2110Oyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
Republic of2089 Romania2090 Belarus2091 Romania2092 Nicaragua2093 Korea, Republic of2094 Slovakia2095 Argentina2096 Pakistan2097 Colombia2098 Peru2099 Brazil2100 Honduras2101 Nicaragua2102 Bahamas2103 Guatemala2104 Costa Rica2105 Paraguay2106 Mauritius2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2090Belarus2091Romania2092Nicaragua2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon	2088	Republic of
2091Romania2092Nicaragua2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon	2089	Romania
2092Nicaragua2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		Belarus
2093Korea, Republic of2094Slovakia2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2094 Slovakia2095 Argentina2096 Pakistan2097 Colombia2098 Peru2099 Brazil2100 Honduras2101 Nicaragua2102 Bahamas2103 Guatemala2104 Costa Rica2105 Paraguay2106 Mauritius2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2110 Oyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2095Argentina2096Pakistan2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2096 Pakistan2097 Colombia2098 Peru2099 Brazil2100 Honduras2101 Nicaragua2102 Bahamas2103 Guatemala2104 Costa Rica2105 Paraguay2106 Mauritius2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2097Colombia2098Peru2099Brazil2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2098 Peru2099 Brazil2100 Honduras2101 Nicaragua2102 Bahamas2103 Guatemala2104 Costa Rica2105 Paraguay2106 Mauritius2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2099 Brazil2100 Honduras2101 Nicaragua2102 Bahamas2103 Guatemala2104 Costa Rica2105 Paraguay2106 Mauritius2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon	2097	Colombia
2100Honduras2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2101Nicaragua2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2102Bahamas2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon	2100	Honduras
2103Guatemala2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		Nicaragua
2104Costa Rica2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon	2102	
2105Paraguay2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2106Mauritius2107Chile2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		Costa Rica
2107 Chile2108 Ecuador2109 Morocco2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2108Ecuador2109Morocco2110Cyprus2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2109 Morocco2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2110 Cyprus2111 Peru2112 Nicaragua2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2111Peru2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2112Nicaragua2113Mauritania2114Japan2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon	2110	Cyprus
2113 Mauritania2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2114 Japan2115 Mexico2116 Indonesia2117 Argentina2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2115Mexico2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon	-	
2116Indonesia2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		
2117Argentina2118Hungary2119Canada2120Nepal2121Spain2122Guatemala2123Spain2124Lebanon		Mexico
2118 Hungary2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2119 Canada2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2120 Nepal2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2121 Spain2122 Guatemala2123 Spain2124 Lebanon		
2122 Guatemala 2123 Spain 2124 Lebanon		Nepal
2123 Spain 2124 Lebanon		Spain
2124 Lebanon		
2125 Thailand		Lebanon
	2125	Thailand

2126Turkey2127Bahamas2128Gabon2129Chad2130Argentina2131Argentina2132Madagascar2133The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia2134Panama2135Chile2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	No.	Country
2127 Bahamas 2128 Gabon 2129 Chad 2130 Argentina 2131 Argentina 2132 Madagascar 2133 The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia 2134 Panama 2135 Chile 2136 Mexico 2137 Uruguay 2138 Ecuador 2139 Japan 2140 Bosnia and Herzegovina 2141 Chile 2142 Colombia 2143 Swaziland 2144 Georgia 2145 Canada 2146 Serbia 2147 Turkey 2148 Togo 2149 Romania 2150 Chile 2151 Colombia 2152 Mexico 2153 Algeria 2154 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 2155 Mexico 2156 Brazil 2157 Argentina 2158 India <th></th> <th></th>		
2128Gabon2129Chad2130Argentina2131Argentina2132Madagascar2133The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia2134Panama2135Chile2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2129Chad2130Argentina2131Argentina2132Madagascar2133The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia2134Panama2135Chile2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2130Argentina2131Argentina2132Madagascar2133The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia2134Panama2135Chile2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2131Argentina2132Madagascar2133The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia2134Panama2135Chile2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155India2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2150Peru2151Nexico2152Republic of2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155India2156Peru2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2132Madagascar2133The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia2134Panama2135Chile2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2133The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia2134Panama2135Chile2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
Republic of Macedonia 2134 Panama 2135 Chile 2136 Mexico 2137 Uruguay 2138 Ecuador 2139 Japan 2140 Bosnia and Herzegovina 2141 Chile 2142 Colombia 2143 Swaziland 2144 Georgia 2145 Canada 2146 Serbia 2147 Turkey 2148 Togo 2149 Romania 2150 Chile 2151 Colombia 2152 Mexico 2153 Algeria 2154 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 2155 Mexico 2156 Brazil 2157 Argentina 2158 India 2159 Colombia 2150 Colombia 2151 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 2158 India <td< td=""><td></td><td></td></td<>		
2135Chile2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		Republic of Macedonia
2136Mexico2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2137Uruguay2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2135	Chile
2138Ecuador2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2136	Mexico
2139Japan2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2137	Uruguay
2140Bosnia and Herzegovina2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2150Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2138	Ecuador
2141Chile2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2139	Japan
2142Colombia2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2140	Bosnia and Herzegovina
2143Swaziland2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2141	Chile
2144Georgia2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2142	Colombia
2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2143	Swaziland
2145Canada2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2144	Georgia
2146Serbia2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2147Turkey2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2148Togo2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2149Romania2150Chile2151Colombia2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2150 Chile2151 Colombia2152 Mexico2153 Algeria2154 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155 Mexico2156 Brazil2157 Argentina2158 India2159 Colombia2160 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162 Peru2163 Nicaragua2164 Morocco2165 El Salvador	2149	Romania
2152Mexico2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2150	
2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2151	Colombia
2153Algeria2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2152	Mexico
2154Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		Algeria
Republic of2155Mexico2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2156Brazil2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		
2157Argentina2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2155	Mexico
2158India2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2156	Brazil
2159Colombia2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador		Argentina
2160Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2158	India
Republic of2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2159	Colombia
2161Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2162Peru2163Nicaragua2164Morocco2165El Salvador	2160	
Republic of 2162 Peru 2163 Nicaragua 2164 Morocco 2165 El Salvador		
2162 Peru2163 Nicaragua2164 Morocco2165 El Salvador	2161	
2163 Nicaragua2164 Morocco2165 El Salvador		
2164 Morocco 2165 El Salvador		
2165 El Salvador		
2166 Canada		
	2166	Canada
2167 Guatemala	2167	Guatemala

No.	Country
2168	Argentina
	Pakistan
2109	Iceland
2171	Sweden
2172	Chile
2173	Canada
	Uruguay
2175	Morocco
2176	Japan
2177	Japan
2178	Denmark
	Guatemala
2180	Canada
2181	Thailand
2182	Canada
2183	Japan
2184	Zimbabwe
2185	Russian Federation
2186	China - Hong Kong
	Special Administrative
	Region
	Guyana
2188	Bangladesh
2189	China
2190	El Salvador
2191	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2192	Togo
2193	France
2194	
2195	Philippines
2196	Canada
2197	South Africa
2198	Kazakhstan
2199	Russian Federation
2200	Turkey
2201	Ecuador
2202	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2203	Guatemala
2204	Argentina
2205	Nicaragua
2206	Nicaragua
2207	Mexico
2208	El Salvador
2209	Uruguay

No.	Country
	Spain
2211	Peru
	Greece
	Colombia
	El Salvador
2215	
L	Russian Federation
2217	
2218	
	Argentina
	Kenya
2221	Argentina
2222	Cambodia
2223	Argentina
2224	Argentina
	Bosnia and Herzegovina
	Colombia
	United States
2228	
	Pakistan
	Guatemala
	Costa Rica
2232	
	France
	Mexico
2235	
	Indonesia
	Colombia
2238	
	Colombia
	Argentina
	Guatemala
2242	Pakistan
2243	Morocco
2244	Russian Federation
2245	
2246	Russian Federation
2247	Mexico
2248	Peru
2249	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
2250	Argentina
2251	Russian Federation
2252	Philippines
2253	China – Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

No.Country2254Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of2255Sri Lanka2256Argentina2257Canada2258Cuba2259Guatemala2260Brazil	
Republic of2255 Sri Lanka2256 Argentina2257 Canada2258 Cuba2259 Guatemala	
2255 Sri Lanka 2256 Argentina 2257 Canada 2258 Cuba 2259 Guatemala	
2256 Argentina 2257 Canada 2258 Cuba 2259 Guatemala	
2257 Canada 2258 Cuba 2259 Guatemala	
2258 Cuba 2259 Guatemala	
2259 Guatemala	
2260 Brazil	
0001 0	
2261 Greece	
2262 Cambodia	
2263 Argentina	
2264 Nicaragua	
2265 Switzerland	
2266 Lithuania	
2267 Nigeria	
2268 Myanmar	
2269 Uruguay	
2270 Uruguay	
2271 Uruguay	
2272 Costa Rica	
2273 Pakistan	
2274 Nicaragua	
2275 Nicaragua	
2276 Burundi	
2277 Canada	
2278 Canada	
2279 Peru	
2280 Uruguay	
2281 Mauritius	
2282 Mexico	
2283 Argentina	
2284 Peru	
2285 Peru	
2286 Peru	
2287 Sri Lanka	
2288 Niger	
2289 Peru	
2292 United States	
2293 Peru	
2294 Brazil	
2295 Guatemala	
2296 Chile	
2297 Colombia	
2298 Guatemala	

No.	Country
	El Salvador
	Costa Rica
2301	
2302	
2303	Turkey
2304	Japan
2305	Canada
2306	Belgium
2307	Chile
	Mexico
	United States
2310	
2311	Nicaragua
2312	<u> </u>
2313	Zimbabwe
2314	Canada
2315	Japan
2316	Fiji
2317	Moldova, Republic of
2318	Cambodia
2319	Japan
2320	Chile
2321	Haiti
2322	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
2323	Iran, Islamic Republic of
2324	Canada
2325	Portugal
2326	Australia
2327	Bangladesh
2328	Zimbabwe
2329	Turkey
2330	Honduras
2331	Colombia
2332	Poland
2333	Canada
2334	Portugal
2335	Chile
2336	Indonesia
2337	Chile
2338	Mexico
2339	Guatemala
2340	Nepal
2341	Guatemala
2342	Panama
2343	Canada

No.	Country
	Argentina Albania
	Mexico
	Mexico
2347	Iraq
	•
2349	
	Moldova, Republic of Turkey
2351	
	Venezuela, Bolivarian
2303	Republic of
2354	Nicaragua
	Colombia
2356	Colombia
2357	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2358	Romania
2359	Uruguay
	El Salvador
2361	
	Colombia
	Colombia
2364	
2365	Zimbabwe
	Turkey
2367	Costa Rica
2368	El Salvador
2369	Argentina
<u> </u>	Argentina
	Bangladesh
2372	Panama
2373	Argentina
2374	Cambodia
2375	Peru
2376	Côte d'Ivoire
	Argentina
2378	Uganda
2379	Netherlands
2380	Sri Lanka
2381	Lithuania
2382	Cameroon
2383	
2384	Colombia
2385	Costa Rica
2386	Peru
2387	Georgia

No.	Country
2388	
	Ukraine
2389	Peru
2390	Guatemala
2391	Madagascar
2392	Chile
2393	Mexico
2394	0
2395	Poland
2396	El Salvador
2397	Guatemala
2398	Mauritius
2399	Pakistan
2400	Peru
2401	Canada
2402	Bangladesh
2403	Canada
2404	Morocco
2405	Canada
2406	South Africa
2407	
	Cabo Verde
2409	Costa Rica
2410	
2411	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2412	Nepal
2413	Guatemala
2414	Argentina
2415	Serbia
2416	Morocco
2417	Argentina
2418	El Salvador
2419	Sri Lanka
2420	
2421	Guatemala
2422	Venezuela, Bolivarian
2422	Republic of
2423	El Salvador
2424	Colombia
2425	Burundi
2426	Burundi
2427	
2428	Venezuela, Bolivarian
2720	Republic of
2429	Niger
2430	Canada

	•
No.	Country
	Equatorial Guinea
	Nigeria
	Bahrain
	Colombia
	El Salvador
	Denmark
	United Kingdom
	Argentina
	Cameroon
2440	Argentina
2441	Indonesia
2442	Mexico
2443	Cambodia
2444	Mexico
	Guatemala
2446	Mexico
	Malta
2448	Colombia
2449	Eritrea
2450	Djibouti
2451	Indonesia
2452	Peru
2453	Iraq
2454	Montenegro
2455	Morocco
2456	Argentina
2457	France
	Argentina
2459	Argentina
2460	United States
2461	Argentina
2462	Chile
2463	Argentina
2464	Barbados
2465	Chile
2466	Thailand
	Canada
	Cambodia
2469	Colombia
2470	Brazil
2471	Djibouti
2472	Indonesia
2473	United Kingdom
2474	Poland
2475	France
2476	Cameroon

Ro. County 2477 Argentina 2478 Mexico 2479 Mexico 2480 Colombia 2481 Colombia 2482 Guatemala 2483 Dominican Republic 2484 Norway 2485 Argentina 2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2510 Panama	No	Country
2478 Mexico 2479 Mexico 2480 Colombia 2481 Colombia 2482 Guatemala 2483 Dominican Republic 2484 Norway 2485 Argentina 2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania <th></th> <th></th>		
2479 Mexico 2480 Colombia 2481 Colombia 2482 Guatemala 2483 Dominican Republic 2484 Norway 2485 Argentina 2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama <td></td> <td></td>		
2480 Colombia 2481 Colombia 2482 Guatemala 2483 Dominican Republic 2484 Norway 2485 Argentina 2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Ric		
2481 Colombia 2482 Guatemala 2483 Dominican Republic 2484 Norway 2485 Argentina 2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2490 Datswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India </td <td></td> <td></td>		
2482 Guatemala 2483 Dominican Republic 2484 Norway 2485 Argentina 2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2490 Argentina 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina		
2483 Dominican Republic 2484 Norway 2485 Argentina 2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2484 Norway 2485 Argentina 2485 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2497 Colombia 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2485 Argentina 2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2490 Ostawana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia		
2486 Romania 2487 El Salvador 2488 Philippines 2489 Colombia 2490 Costa Rica 2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras		
2488Philippines2489Colombia2490Costa Rica2491Benin2492Luxembourg2493Colombia2494Indonesia2495Costa Rica2496Burkina Faso2497Colombia2498Colombia2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon		
2488Philippines2489Colombia2490Costa Rica2491Benin2492Luxembourg2493Colombia2494Indonesia2495Costa Rica2496Burkina Faso2497Colombia2498Colombia2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon	2487	El Salvador
2489Colombia2490Costa Rica2491Benin2492Luxembourg2493Colombia2494Indonesia2495Costa Rica2496Burkina Faso2497Colombia2498Colombia2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon	2488	
2491 Benin 2492 Luxembourg 2493 Colombia 2494 Indonesia 2495 Costa Rica 2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon	2489	Colombia
2492Luxembourg2493Colombia2494Indonesia2495Costa Rica2496Burkina Faso2497Colombia2498Colombia2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon	2490	Costa Rica
2493Colombia2494Indonesia2495Costa Rica2496Burkina Faso2497Colombia2498Colombia2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon	2491	Benin
2494Indonesia2495Costa Rica2496Burkina Faso2497Colombia2498Colombia2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon	2492	Luxembourg
2495Costa Rica2496Burkina Faso2497Colombia2498Colombia2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon		
2496 Burkina Faso 2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2497 Colombia 2498 Colombia 2499 Argentina 2500 Botswana 2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2498Colombia2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon		
2499Argentina2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon		
2500Botswana2501Uruguay2502Greece2503Mexico2504Colombia2505El Salvador2506Greece2507Estonia2508Iran, Islamic Republic of2509Romania2510Panama2511Costa Rica2512India2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon		
2501 Uruguay 2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2502 Greece 2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2503 Mexico 2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2504 Colombia 2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2505 El Salvador 2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2506 Greece 2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2507 Estonia 2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2508 Iran, Islamic Republic of 2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2509 Romania 2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2510 Panama 2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2511 Costa Rica 2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2512 India 2513 Argentina 2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2513Argentina2514El Salvador2515Argentina2516Ethiopia2517Honduras2518Costa Rica2519Sri Lanka2520Pakistan2521Gabon		
2514 El Salvador 2515 Argentina 2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2516 Ethiopia 2517 Honduras 2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon	2515	Argentina
2518 Costa Rica 2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon		
2519 Sri Lanka 2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon	2517	Honduras
2520 Pakistan 2521 Gabon	2518	Costa Rica
2521 Gabon		Sri Lanka
		Pakistan
2522 Colombia		
	2522	Colombia

No.	Country
2523	Brazil
2524	United States
2525	Montenegro
2526	Paraguay
2527	Peru
2528	Philippines
2529	Belgium
2530	Uruguay
2531	Argentina
2532	Peru
2533	Peru
2534	Cabo Verde
2535	Argentina
2536	Mexico
2537	Turkey
2538	Ecuador
2539	Peru
2540	Guatemala
2541	Mexico
2542	Costa Rica
2543	Estonia
2544	Nicaragua
2545	Norway
2546	Philippines
2547	United States
2548	Burundi
2549	Argentina
2550	Guatemala
2551	El Salvador
2552	Bahrain
2553	Peru
2554	Colombia
	Chile
2556	
2557	El Salvador
2558	Honduras
2559	Peru
2560	Colombia
2561	Argentina
2562	Argentina
2563	Argentina
2564	Chile
2565	Colombia
2566	Iran, Islamic Republic of
2567	Iran, Islamic Republic of
2568	Guatemala

No.	Country
	Korea, Republic of
	Benin
	El Salvador
2572	El Salvador
2573	
	Colombia
	Panama
	Mexico
	Argentina
	Venezuela, Bolivarian
2070	Republic of
2580	Guatemala
2581	
	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
2583	Colombia
	Burundi
	Indonesia
2586	Greece
2587	Peru
	Brazil
	Indonesia
	Nicaragua
2591	Myanmar
	Tunisia
2593	Argentina
2594	Peru
2595	
2596	
2597	
2598	
	Colombia
	Colombia
	Nicaragua
	Korea, Republic of
2603	Argentina
2604	Costa Rica
2605	Ukraine
2606	Argentina
2607	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo
2608	United States
2609	Guatemala
2611	Romania
2612	Colombia

No.	Country
	Nicaragua
	Argentina
2615	El Salvador
2615	Mauritius
2617	Colombia
-	Rwanda
	Comoros
	Korea, Republic of
	Lebanon
2622	
	Argentina
2624	
	Ecuador
2626	Chile
2627	
	Netherlands
	El Salvador
	El Salvador
	Uruguay
	Romania
2633	Côte d'Ivoire
	Thailand
	Brazil
	Brazil
2637	Malaysia
2638	Peru
2639	Peru
2640	Peru
2641	Argentina
2642	Russian Federation
2643	Colombia
	Colombia
2645	Zimbabwe
2646	Brazil
	Argentina
	Paraguay
2649	
2650	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
2651	Argentina
2652	Philippines
2653	Chile
2654	Canada
2655	Cambodia
2656	Brazil
2657	Colombia

No.	Country
2658	Colombia
2659	
	Argentina
2661	Peru
	Colombia
2663	
2664	
	Mexico
2666	
2667	Peru
2668	Colombia
	Philippines
2670	Argentina
2671	Peru
2672	Tunisia
2673	Guatemala
2674	,
0075	Republic of
	Peru
	Colombia
2677	Panama
	Georgia
2679	Mexico
2680	India
2681	Paraguay
2682	Panama
2683	United States
2684	Ecuador
2685	
2686	Democratic Republic
0007	of the Congo
2687	Peru
2688	Peru
2689	Peru
2690	Peru
	Argentina
2692	
2693	Paraguay
2694	Mexico
2695	Peru
2696	Bulgaria
2697	Peru
2698	Australia
2699	Uruguay
2700	Guatemala
2701	Algeria

NI-	C t
No.	Country
2702	Argentina
	Peru
2704	
2705	Ecuador
2706	Panama
2707	Korea, Republic of
2708	Guatemala
2709	Guatemala
2710	Colombia
2711	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2712	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo
2713	
0714	of the Congo
2714	Democratic Republic of the Congo
2715	Democratic Republic
2/15	of the Congo
2716	Philippines
2710	Malaysia
2717	Argentina
	Colombia
2719 2720	Colombia
2720	Colombia
2722	Botswana
2723	Fiji
2724	Peru
2725	
2726	0
2727	
0700	Republic of Costa Rica
2728	
2729	Portugal
2730	
2731	Colombia
	Argentina
2733	Albania
2734	Mexico
2735	Indonesia
2736	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2737	Indonesia
2738	Russian Federation
2739	Brazil
2740	Iraq
2741	United States
•	

No	Country
2742	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
2743	Argentina
	Russian Federation
	Philippines
	Costa Rica
2747	Iran, Islamic Republic of
2748	Poland
2749	France
2750	France
2751	Panama
2752	Montenegro
2753	,
2754	Indonesia
2755	
2756	Mali
2757	
2758	Russian Federation
2759	Spain
2760	Thailand
2761	Colombia
2762	Nicaragua
2763	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
	El Salvador
	Bangladesh
2766	Mexico
2767	Costa Rica
2768	Guatemala
2769	El Salvador
2770	Chile
2771	Peru
2772	Cameroon
2773	Brazil
2774	Mexico
2775	Hungary
2776	Argentina
2777	Hungary
2778	Costa Rica
2779	Uruguay
2780	Ireland
2781	El Salvador
2782	El Salvador
2783	Cambodia
2784	Argentina
2785	Spain
	- 1

	-
	Country
	Dominican Republic
	Chile
	Argentina
	Turkey
2790	
2791	Colombia
2792	Brazil
	Colombia
	Kiribati
2795	Brazil
	Colombia
2797	Democratic Republic
	of the Congo
2798	Argentina
	Pakistan
2801	Colombia
2802	
2803	
	Colombia
	Germany
2806	United Kingdom
2807	, ,
2808	Cameroon
2809	Argentina
2810	Peru
2811	Guatemala
2812	Cameroon
2813	Peru
2814	Chile
2815	Philippines
2816	Peru
2817	Argentina
2818	El Salvador
2819	Dominican Republic
2820	Greece
2821	Canada
2822	Colombia
2823	Colombia
2824	Colombia
2825	Peru
2826	Peru
2827	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2828	Mexico
2829	Korea, Republic of
2830	Colombia

No.	Country
2831	
2832	
2832	•
	Paraguay
	Colombia
	El Salvador
	Argentina
	Greece
	Uruguay
	Guatemala
	France
	Cameroon
	Ukraine
2844	
	Colombia
	Colombia
	Argentina
2848	Canada
2849	
	Malaysia
	El Salvador
2852	Colombia
2853	Colombia
2854	Peru
2855	Pakistan
2856	Peru
2857	Canada
	Brazil
2859	Guatemala
2860	Sri Lanka
2861	Argentina
	Zimbabwe
2863	Chile
2864	Pakistan
2865	Argentina
2866	
2867	
	State of
2868	Panama
2869	Guatemala
2870	Argentina
2871	El Salvador
2872	Guatemala
2873	Argentina
2874	Peru
2875	Honduras

No.	Country
	Uruguay
	Colombia
	El Salvador
L	El Salvador
	Colombia
	Argentina
2882	Bahrain
2883	
2884	
2885	
2886	Canada
2887	Mauritius
2888	Poland
2889	Pakistan
2890	Ukraine
2891	Peru
2892	Turkey
	El Salvador
2894	Canada
	Colombia
	El Salvador
	El Salvador
2898	
	Honduras
2900	
	Mauritius
	Pakistan
2903	El Salvador
2903	
	Netherlands
	Argentina
	Lithuania
	El Salvador
	El Salvador
2910	
2911	Peru
2912	Chile
2913	Guinea
2914	Gabon
2915	Peru
2916	Nicaragua
2917	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2918	Spain
2919	Mexico
2920	Mexico

No.	Country
	-
2921	Panama
2922	Panama
2923	El Salvador
	Colombia
2925	
2020	of the Congo
2926	Ecuador
-	Guatemala
2928	Ecuador
2929	Costa Rica
2930	El Salvador
2931	France
2932	El Salvador
2933	Colombia
2934	
	Colombia
2936	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2937	Paraguay
2938	Benin
	Brazil
2940	Bosnia and Herzegovina
2941	Peru
2942	Argentina
2943	Norway
2944	Algeria
2945	Lebanon
2946	Colombia
2947	Spain
2948	Guatemala
2949	Swaziland
2950	Colombia
2951	Cameroon
2952	Lebanon
2953	Italy
2954	Colombia
2955	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
2956	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
2957	El Salvador
2958	Colombia
2959	Guatemala
2960	Colombia
2961	Lebanon
2962	India
2963	Chile

No	Country
	Pakistan
2965	
2966	
	Guatemala
2968	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
2060	Mauritius
	Ecuador
	Canada
	Poland
	Mexico
	Colombia
	Costa Rica
	Turkey
	Jordan
2978	Guatemala
	Argentina
2980	El Salvador
2981	Mexico
2982	Peru
	Canada
2984	The former Yugoslav
	Republic of Macedonia
	El Salvador
2986	El Salvador
2987	Argentina
2988	Qatar
2989	Guatemala
2990	Honduras
2991	India
2992	Costa Rica
2993	Colombia
2994	Tunisia
2995	Colombia
2996	
	Argentina
2998	
2999	Peru
3000	Chile
3000	Bolivia, Plurinational
5501	State of
3002	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
3003	Canada
3004	Chad
3005	Chile

No	Country
	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
	El Salvador
	El Salvador
3009	
	Paraguay
	Turkey
3012	El Salvador
3013	El Salvador
	Montenegro
	Canada
	Venezuela, Bolivarian
0010	Republic of
3017	Chile
3018	Pakistan
3019	Paraguay
	Colombia
3021	Turkey
	Thailand
3023	Switzerland
3024	Morocco
3025	Egypt
3026	Peru
3027	Colombia
3028	Egypt
	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
3030	
3031	Panama
3032	Honduras
	Peru
	Colombia
	Guatemala
3036	Venezuela, Bolivarian
0007	Republic of
	Philippines
	Norway
3039	Denmark
3040	Guatemala
3041	Cameroon
3042	Guatemala
3043	Peru
3044	Croatia
3045	Nicaragua
3046	Argentina
3047	Korea, Republic of

No.	Country
3048	Panama
3049	Panama
3050	Indonesia
3051	Japan
3052	Mauritius
3053	Chile
3054	El Salvador
3055	Panama
3056	Peru
3057	Canada
3058	Djibouti
3059	,
3060	Republic of Mexico
3061	Colombia
3062	Guatemala
3062	Colombia
3064 3065	Cambodia
3065	Peru
	Peru Demografia Demuklia
3067	Democratic Republic of the Congo
3068	Dominican Republic
3069	Peru
3070	Benin
3071	Dominican Republic
3072	Portugal
3073	Lithuania
3074	Colombia
3075	Argentina
3076	Maldives, Republic of
3077	Honduras
3078	Argentina
3079	Dominican Republic
3080	Costa Rica
3080	Liberia
3081	Venezuela, Bolivarian
3062	Republic of
3083	Argentina
3084	Turkey
3085	Algeria
3086	Mauritius
3087	Colombia
3088	Colombia
3089	Guatemala
3090	Colombia

No.	Country
3091	Colombia
	Colombia
	Spain
	Guatemala
3094	
3095	
	Colombia
	Turkey
	El Salvador
3100	
	Paraguay
3102	Chile
3102	Colombia
3103	Algeria
3104	Togo
3105	Panama
3100	Canada
3107	Chile
3108	
31109	
	Poland
3112	
3113	Somalia
3113	Colombia
	Argentina
3116	Chile
3117	El Salvador
3118	Australia
	Philippines
3120	
3121	Cambodia
3122	Costa Rica
3123	Paraguay
3124	Indonesia
3125	India
	Malaysia
3120	Paraguay
3127	Zimbabwe
3128	Romania
3129	Croatia
3130	Colombia
3132	Peru
3132	Colombia
3133	Cameroon
3134	Honduras
3135	El Salvador
1 2120	

No.	Country
3137	Colombia
3138	Korea, Republic of
3139	Guatemala
3140	Montenegro
3141	Argentina
3142	Cameroon
3143	Canada
3144	Colombia
3145	Russian Federation
3146	Paraguay
3147	Norway
3148	Ecuador
3149	Colombia
3150	Colombia
3151	Canada
3152	Honduras
3153	Mauritius
3154	El Salvador
3155	Bosnia and Herzegovina
3156	Mexico
3157	
	Paraguay
3159	Philippines
3160	Peru
3161	El Salvador
3162	Costa Rica
3163	Mexico
3164	Thailand
3165	Argentina
3166	Panama
3167	El Salvador
3168	Peru
3169	
3170	
	Myanmar
3172	Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of
3173	Peru
3174	Peru
3175	Uruguay
3176	Indonesia
3177	Nicaragua
3178	Venezuela, Bolivarian
	Republic of
3179	Guatemala
3180	Thailand

No.	Country
3181	Cameroon
3182	Romania
3183	Burundi
	China
	Philippines
	South Africa
	Venezuela, Bolivarian
0107	Republic of
3188	Guatemala
3189	Bolivia, Plurinational
	State of
3190	Peru
3191	Chile
3192	Argentina
3193	Peru
3194	El Salvador
3195	Peru
3196	Thailand
3197	Peru
3198	Chile
3199	Peru
3200	Peru
3201	Mauritania
3202	Liberia
3203	Bangladesh
3204	Peru
3205	Mexico
3206	Chile
3207	Mexico
3208	Colombia
3209	0
3210	Algeria
3211	Costa Rica
3212	Cameroon
3213	Colombia
3214	
3215	El Salvador
3216	Colombia
3217	Colombia
3218	Colombia
3219	Brazil
3220	Argentina
3221	Guatemala
3222	Guatemala
3223	Colombia
3224	Peru

No.	Country
	Argentina
	Mexico
	Korea, Republic of
3228	Peru
3228	
3230	
	Cameroon
	Argentina
	Argentina
	Colombia
3235	Mexico
	Philippines
	Korea, Republic of
3238	Korea, Republic of
3239	Peru
3240	
3241	Costa Rica
	Paraguay
3243	
3244	
3245	
3246	
3247	
	Argentina
3249	
	Guatemala
3251	Guatemala
3252	
	Costa Rica
3254	Colombia
3255	El Salvador
3256	El Salvador
3257	Argentina
3258	El Salvador
3259	Brazil
3260	Colombia
3261	Luxembourg
3262	Korea, Republic of
3263	Bangladesh
3264	Brazil
3265	Peru
3266	Guatemala
3267	Peru
3268	Honduras
3269	Afghanistan
3270	France

 3271 Cuba 3272 Argentina 3273 Brazil 3274 Canada 3275 Madagascar 3276 Cabo Verde 3277 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 3278 Australia 3279 Ecuador 3280 Colombia 3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia 3283 Kazakhstan 	No.	Country
3273 Brazil 3274 Canada 3275 Madagascar 3276 Cabo Verde 3277 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 3278 Australia 3279 Ecuador 3280 Colombia 3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia	3271	Cuba
 3274 Canada 3275 Madagascar 3276 Cabo Verde 3277 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 3278 Australia 3279 Ecuador 3280 Colombia 3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia 	3272	Argentina
 3275 Madagascar 3276 Cabo Verde 3277 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 3278 Australia 3279 Ecuador 3280 Colombia 3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia 	3273	Brazil
 3276 Cabo Verde 3277 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 3278 Australia 3279 Ecuador 3280 Colombia 3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia 	3274	Canada
 3277 Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of 3278 Australia 3279 Ecuador 3280 Colombia 3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia 	3275	Madagascar
Republic of3278Australia3279Ecuador3280Colombia3281Colombia3282Colombia	3276	Cabo Verde
3279 Ecuador 3280 Colombia 3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia	3277	
3280 Colombia 3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia	3278	Australia
3281 Colombia 3282 Colombia	3279	Ecuador
3282 Colombia	3280	Colombia
	3281	Colombia
3283 Kazakhstan	3282	Colombia
	3283	Kazakhstan

No.	Country
3284	El Salvador
3285	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
3286	Guatemala
3287	Honduras
3288	Bolivia, Plurinational State of
3289	Pakistan
3290	Gabon
3291	Mexico
3292	Costa Rica
3293	Brazil
3294	Argentina
3295	Colombia

No.	Country
3296	Mozambique
3297	Dominican Republic
3298	Chile
3299	Chile
3300	Paraguay
3301	Chile
3302	Argentina
3303	Guatemala
3304	Dominican Republic
3306	Peru
3307	Paraguay
3308	Argentina
3309	Colombia
3310	Peru

Freedom of association

The task of the tripartite Committee on Freedom of Association, set up by the Governing Body of the International Labour Office in 1951, is to deal with complaints of infringement of freedom of association submitted to it either by governments or by organizations of employers or of workers.

Since its establishment, the Committee has dealt with more than 3,200 cases covering most aspects of freedom of association and the protection of trade union rights. In this compilation, the Committee's decisions are brought together in concise form for easy reference, in conformity with the request made by the International Labour Conference at its 54th Session in a resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties.

The compilation is intended to raise awareness and guide reflections for the effective respect for the fundamental principles of freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining.

